413-585-8904

Linda Flannery
21340 Dickinson Rd , New Boston, MI 48164

December 8, 2006 9:46 PM

Representative John Dingell
U.S. House of Representatives
2328 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Dingell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a

letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Linda Flannery
21340 Dickinson Rd
New Boston, MI 48164

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joshua Mumme
2602D Custer Parkway , Richardson, TX 75080

December 8, 2006 9:44 PM

Senator John Cornyn
U.S. Senate
517 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joshua Mumme
2602D Custer Parkway
Richardson, TX 75080

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joshua Mumme
2602D Custer Parkway , Richardson, TX 75080

December 8, 2006 9:44 PM

Representative Sam Johnson
U.S. House of Representatives
1211 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Johnson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joshua Mumme
2602D Custer Parkway
Richardson, TX 75080

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joshua Mumme
2602D Custer Parkway , Richardson, TX 75080

December 8, 2006 9:44 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joshua Mumme
2602D Custer Parkway
Richardson, TX 75080

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joshua Mumme
2602D Custer Parkway , Richardson, TX 75080

December 8, 2006 9:44 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joshua Mumme
2602D Custer Parkway
Richardson, TX 75080

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joshua Mumme
2602D Custer Parkway , Richardson, TX 75080

December 8, 2006 9:44 PM

Representative Sam Johnson
U.S. House of Representatives
1211 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Johnson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joshua Mumme
2602D Custer Parkway
Richardson, TX 75080

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Pusloskie
11 Valley lane , Avon, NY 14414

December 8, 2006 9:42 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Pusloskie
11 Valley lane
Avon, NY 14414

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

bertha kriegler
527 plymouth ave , schenectady, NY 12308

December 8, 2006 9:42 PM

Representative Michael McNulty
U.S. House of Representatives
2210 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McNulty,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
bertha kriegler
527 plymouth ave
schenectady, NY 12308

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

bertha kriegler
527 plymouth ave , schenectady, NY 12308

December 8, 2006 9:42 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
bertha kriegler
527 plymouth ave
schenectady, NY 12308

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Pusloskie
11 Valley lane , Avon, NY 14414

December 8, 2006 9:42 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Pusloskie
11 Valley lane
Avon, NY 14414

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

bertha kriegler
527 plymouth ave , schenectady, NY 12308

December 8, 2006 9:42 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
bertha kriegler
527 plymouth ave
schenectady, NY 12308

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Pusloskie
11 Valley lane , Avon, NY 14414

December 8, 2006 9:42 PM

Representative Thomas Reynolds
U.S. House of Representatives
332 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Reynolds,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Pusloskie
11 Valley lane
Avon, NY 14414

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Pusloskie
11 Valley lane , Avon, NY 14414

December 8, 2006 9:42 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Pusloskie
11 Valley lane
Avon, NY 14414

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

bertha kriegler
527 plymouth ave , schenectady, NY 12308

December 8, 2006 9:42 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
bertha kriegler
527 plymouth ave
schenectady, NY 12308

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

bertha kriegler
527 plymouth ave , schenectady, NY 12308

December 8, 2006 9:42 PM

Representative Michael McNulty
U.S. House of Representatives
2210 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McNulty,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
bertha kriegler
527 plymouth ave
schenectady, NY 12308

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Pusloskie
11 Valley lane , Avon, NY 14414

December 8, 2006 9:42 PM

Representative Thomas Reynolds
U.S. House of Representatives
332 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Reynolds,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Pusloskie
11 Valley lane
Avon, NY 14414

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Andrea Yarger
523 Juniper Shores Lane , Dayton, MT 59914

December 8, 2006 9:41 PM

Representative Denny Rehberg
U.S. House of Representatives
516 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rehberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Andrea Yarger
523 Juniper Shores Lane
Dayton, MT 59914

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Andrea Yarger
523 Juniper Shores Lane , Dayton, MT 59914

December 8, 2006 9:41 PM

Senator Conrad Burns
U.S. Senate
187 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Burns,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Andrea Yarger
523 Juniper Shores Lane
Dayton, MT 59914

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Andrea Yarger
523 Juniper Shores Lane , Dayton, MT 59914

December 8, 2006 9:41 PM

Senator Max Baucus
U.S. Senate
511 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Baucus,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Andrea Yarger
523 Juniper Shores Lane
Dayton, MT 59914

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Andrea Yarger
523 Juniper Shores Lane , Dayton, MT 59914

December 8, 2006 9:41 PM

Representative Denny Rehberg
U.S. House of Representatives
516 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rehberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Andrea Yarger
523 Juniper Shores Lane
Dayton, MT 59914

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Andrea Yarger
523 Juniper Shores Lane , Dayton, MT 59914

December 8, 2006 9:41 PM

Senator Conrad Burns
U.S. Senate
187 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Burns,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Andrea Yarger
523 Juniper Shores Lane
Dayton, MT 59914

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Judith Conoyer
220 Canary lane , St Charles, MO 63301-1299

December 8, 2006 9:40 PM

Senator Jim Talent
U.S. Senate
493 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Talent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Judith Conoyer
220 Canary lane
St Charles, MO 63301-1299

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Judith Conoyer
220 Canary lane , St Charles, MO 63301-1299

December 8, 2006 9:40 PM

Senator Christopher Bond
U.S. Senate
274 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bond,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Judith Conoyer
220 Canary lane
St Charles, MO 63301-1299

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Judith Conoyer
220 Canary lane , St Charles, MO 63301-1299

December 8, 2006 9:40 PM

Senator Jim Talent
U.S. Senate
493 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Talent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Judith Conoyer
220 Canary lane
St Charles, MO 63301-1299

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

eve lewis
164 cushing st , hingham, MA 02043

December 8, 2006 9:39 PM

Representative Bill Delahunt
U.S. House of Representatives
2454 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Delahunt,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
eve lewis
164 cushing st
hingham, MA 02043

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Erich Vieth
4035 Flora Place , Saint Louis, MO 63110

December 8, 2006 9:39 PM

Senator Christopher Bond
U.S. Senate
274 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bond,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Erich Vieth
4035 Flora Place
Saint Louis, MO 63110

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Erich Vieth
4035 Flora Place , Saint Louis, MO 63110

December 8, 2006 9:39 PM

Representative Russ Carnahan
U.S. House of Representatives
1232 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Carnahan,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Erich Vieth
4035 Flora Place
Saint Louis, MO 63110

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

eve lewis
164 cushing st , hingham, MA 02043

December 8, 2006 9:39 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
eve lewis
164 cushing st
hingham, MA 02043

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Erich Vieth
4035 Flora Place , Saint Louis, MO 63110

December 8, 2006 9:39 PM

Senator Jim Talent
U.S. Senate
493 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Talent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Erich Vieth
4035 Flora Place
Saint Louis, MO 63110

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

eve lewis
164 cushing st , hingham, MA 02043

December 8, 2006 9:39 PM

Senator John Kerry
U.S. Senate
304 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kerry,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
eve lewis
164 cushing st
hingham, MA 02043

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

eve lewis
164 cushing st , hingham, MA 02043

December 8, 2006 9:39 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
eve lewis
164 cushing st
hingham, MA 02043

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

eve lewis
164 cushing st , hingham, MA 02043

December 8, 2006 9:39 PM

Representative Bill Delahunt
U.S. House of Representatives
2454 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Delahunt,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
eve lewis
164 cushing st
hingham, MA 02043

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Erich Vieth
4035 Flora Place , Saint Louis, MO 63110

December 8, 2006 9:39 PM

Senator Jim Talent
U.S. Senate
493 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Talent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Erich Vieth
4035 Flora Place
Saint Louis, MO 63110

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Erich Vieth
4035 Flora Place , Saint Louis, MO 63110

December 8, 2006 9:39 PM

Representative Russ Carnahan
U.S. House of Representatives
1232 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Carnahan,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Erich Vieth
4035 Flora Place
Saint Louis, MO 63110

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Penny Moonstone
PO Box 1712 , St. Johns, AZ 85936

December 8, 2006 9:37 PM

Senator Jon Kyl
U.S. Senate
730 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Penny Moonstone
PO Box 1712
St. Johns, AZ 85936

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Penny Moonstone
PO Box 1712 , St. Johns, AZ 85936

December 8, 2006 9:37 PM

Senator John McCain
U.S. Senate
241 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Penny Moonstone
PO Box 1712
St. Johns, AZ 85936

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Penny Moonstone
PO Box 1712 , St. Johns, AZ 85936

December 8, 2006 9:37 PM

Representative Rick Renzi
U.S. House of Representatives
418 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Renzi,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Penny Moonstone
PO Box 1712
St. Johns, AZ 85936

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William Sanders
1939 Ambergate Lane #8, Cordova, TN 38016-3715

December 8, 2006 9:37 PM

Senator Lamar Alexander
U.S. Senate
302 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Alexander,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William Sanders
1939 Ambergate Lane
#8
Cordova, TN 38016-3715

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

linda cavallero
18 Independence La , shrewsbury, MA 01545

December 8, 2006 9:37 PM

Senator John Kerry
U.S. Senate
304 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kerry,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
linda cavallero
18 Independence La
shrewsbury, MA 01545

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Penny Moonstone
PO Box 1712 , St. Johns, AZ 85936

December 8, 2006 9:37 PM

Senator John McCain
U.S. Senate
241 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Penny Moonstone
PO Box 1712
St. Johns, AZ 85936

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Penny Moonstone
PO Box 1712 , St. Johns, AZ 85936

December 8, 2006 9:37 PM

Senator Jon Kyl
U.S. Senate
730 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Penny Moonstone
PO Box 1712
St. Johns, AZ 85936

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Penny Moonstone
PO Box 1712 , St. Johns, AZ 85936

December 8, 2006 9:37 PM

Representative Rick Renzi
U.S. House of Representatives
418 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Renzi,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Penny Moonstone
PO Box 1712
St. Johns, AZ 85936

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael Natrin
197 W. Cook Ave. , Smyrna, DE 19977

December 8, 2006 9:37 PM

Senator Joseph Biden
U.S. Senate
201 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Biden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael Natrin
197 W. Cook Ave.
Smyrna, DE 19977

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael Natrin
197 W. Cook Ave. , Smyrna, DE 19977

December 8, 2006 9:37 PM

Representative Mike Castle
U.S. House of Representatives
1233 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Castle,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael Natrin
197 W. Cook Ave.
Smyrna, DE 19977

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William Sanders
1939 Ambergate Lane #8, Cordova, TN 38016-3715

December 8, 2006 9:37 PM

Senator Bill Frist
U.S. Senate
509 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Frist,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William Sanders
1939 Ambergate Lane
#8
Cordova, TN 38016-3715

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael Natrin
197 W. Cook Ave. , Smyrna, DE 19977

December 8, 2006 9:37 PM

Senator Tom Carper
U.S. Senate
513 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Carper,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael Natrin
197 W. Cook Ave.
Smyrna, DE 19977

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael Natrin
197 W. Cook Ave. , Smyrna, DE 19977

December 8, 2006 9:37 PM

Senator Joseph Biden
U.S. Senate
201 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Biden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael Natrin
197 W. Cook Ave.
Smyrna, DE 19977

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael Natrin
197 W. Cook Ave. , Smyrna, DE 19977

December 8, 2006 9:37 PM

Senator Tom Carper
U.S. Senate
513 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Carper,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael Natrin
197 W. Cook Ave.
Smyrna, DE 19977

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael Natrin
197 W. Cook Ave. , Smyrna, DE 19977

December 8, 2006 9:37 PM

Representative Mike Castle
U.S. House of Representatives
1233 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Castle,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael Natrin
197 W. Cook Ave.
Smyrna, DE 19977

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

linda cavallero
18 Independence La , shrewsbury, MA 01545

December 8, 2006 9:37 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
linda cavallero
18 Independence La
shrewsbury, MA 01545

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William Sanders
1939 Ambergate Lane #8, Cordova, TN 38016-3715

December 8, 2006 9:37 PM

Senator Lamar Alexander
U.S. Senate
302 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Alexander,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William Sanders
1939 Ambergate Lane
#8
Cordova, TN 38016-3715

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William Sanders
1939 Ambergate Lane #8, Cordova, TN 38016-3715

December 8, 2006 9:37 PM

Senator Bill Frist
U.S. Senate
509 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Frist,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William Sanders
1939 Ambergate Lane
#8
Cordova, TN 38016-3715

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

linda cavallero
18 Independence La , shrewsbury, MA 01545

December 8, 2006 9:37 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
linda cavallero
18 Independence La
shrewsbury, MA 01545

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Harvey Lloyd
2411 Havenwood Ct , Carson City, NV 89706

December 8, 2006 9:36 PM

Representative Jim Gibbons
U.S. House of Representatives
100 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Gibbons,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Harvey Lloyd
2411 Havenwood Ct
Carson City, NV 89706

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Harvey Lloyd
2411 Havenwood Ct , Carson City, NV 89706

December 8, 2006 9:36 PM

Senator John Ensign
U.S. Senate
356 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Ensign,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Harvey Lloyd
2411 Havenwood Ct
Carson City, NV 89706

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Harvey Lloyd
2411 Havenwood Ct , Carson City, NV 89706

December 8, 2006 9:36 PM

Senator Harry Reid
U.S. Senate
528 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Reid,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Harvey Lloyd
2411 Havenwood Ct
Carson City, NV 89706

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Harvey Lloyd
2411 Havenwood Ct , Carson City, NV 89706

December 8, 2006 9:36 PM

Senator Harry Reid
U.S. Senate
528 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Reid,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Harvey Lloyd
2411 Havenwood Ct
Carson City, NV 89706

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Harvey Lloyd
2411 Havenwood Ct , Carson City, NV 89706

December 8, 2006 9:36 PM

Representative Jim Gibbons
U.S. House of Representatives
100 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Gibbons,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Harvey Lloyd
2411 Havenwood Ct
Carson City, NV 89706

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sharry Vickers
804 West Walnut Street , Chatham, IL 62629-1039

December 8, 2006 9:35 PM

Senator Barack Obama
U.S. Senate
713 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Government creates monopolies by allowing this sort of behavior. The whole purpose of breaking up the "Baby Bells" was to not allow monopolies. Now, we're right back where we started. Tragically for consumers, the telecoms have not held up their end of the deal by building out fiberoptic networks by 2007, even though they have made record profits. The consumer gets trampled on again, and again, and again. The telecoms want control, and there is no end to their greed. It's as simple as that.

Sincerely,
Sharry Vickers
804 West Walnut Street
Chatham, IL 62629-1039

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jennifer M Weishaar
255 N Michigan St #58 , Lawrence, KS 66044-1069

December 8, 2006 9:35 PM

Senator Sam Brownback
U.S. Senate
303 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Brownback,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jennifer M Weishaar
255 N Michigan St #58
Lawrence, KS 66044-1069

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jennifer M Weishaar
255 N Michigan St #58 , Lawrence, KS 66044-1069

December 8, 2006 9:35 PM

Representative Dennis Moore
U.S. House of Representatives
1727 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Moore,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jennifer M Weishaar
255 N Michigan St #58
Lawrence, KS 66044-1069

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sharry Vickers
804 West Walnut Street , Chatham, IL 62629-1039

December 8, 2006 9:35 PM

Representative John Shimkus
U.S. House of Representatives
513 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Shimkus,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Government creates monopolies by allowing this sort of behavior. The whole purpose of breaking up the "Baby Bells" was to not allow monopolies. Now, we're right back where we started. Tragically for consumers, the telecoms have not held up their end of the deal by building out fiberoptic networks by 2007, even though they have made record profits. The consumer gets trampled on again, and again, and again. The telecoms want control, and there is no end to their greed. It's as simple as that.

Sincerely,
Sharry Vickers
804 West Walnut Street
Chatham, IL 62629-1039

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jennifer M Weishaar
255 N Michigan St #58 , Lawrence, KS 66044-1069

December 8, 2006 9:35 PM

Senator Pat Roberts
U.S. Senate
109 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Roberts,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jennifer M Weishaar
255 N Michigan St #58
Lawrence, KS 66044-1069

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sharry Vickers
804 West Walnut Street , Chatham, IL 62629-1039

December 8, 2006 9:35 PM

Senator Dick Durbin
U.S. Senate
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Government creates monopolies by allowing this sort of behavior. The whole purpose of breaking up the "Baby Bells" was to not allow monopolies. Now, we're right back where we started. Tragically for consumers, the telecoms have not held up their end of the deal by building out fiberoptic networks by 2007, even though they have made record profits. The consumer gets trampled on again, and again, and again. The telecoms want control, and there is no end to their greed. It's as simple as that.

Sincerely,
Sharry Vickers
804 West Walnut Street
Chatham, IL 62629-1039

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sharry Vickers
804 West Walnut Street , Chatham, IL 62629-1039

December 8, 2006 9:35 PM

Representative John Shimkus
U.S. House of Representatives
513 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Shimkus,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Government creates monopolies by allowing this sort of behavior. The whole purpose of breaking up the "Baby Bells" was to not allow monopolies. Now, we're right back where we started. Tragically for consumers, the telecoms have not held up their end of the deal by building out fiberoptic networks by 2007, even though they have made record profits. The consumer gets trampled on again, and again, and again. The telecoms want control, and there is no end to their greed. It's as simple as that.

Sincerely,
Sharry Vickers
804 West Walnut Street
Chatham, IL 62629-1039

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jennifer M Weishaar
255 N Michigan St #58 , Lawrence, KS 66044-1069

December 8, 2006 9:35 PM

Representative Dennis Moore
U.S. House of Representatives
1727 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Moore,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jennifer M Weishaar
255 N Michigan St #58
Lawrence, KS 66044-1069

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Doreen Stewart
460 monponsett St , halfax, MA 02338

December 8, 2006 9:35 PM

Representative Barney Frank
U.S. House of Representatives
2252 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Frank,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Doreen Stewart
460 monponsett St
halfax, MA 02338

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Doreen Stewart
460 monponsett St , halfax, MA 02338

December 8, 2006 9:35 PM

Senator John Kerry
U.S. Senate
304 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kerry,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Doreen Stewart
460 monponsett St
halfax, MA 02338

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Doreen Stewart
460 monponsett St , halfax, MA 02338

December 8, 2006 9:35 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Doreen Stewart
460 monponsett St
halfax, MA 02338

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jennifer M Weishaar
255 N Michigan St #58 , Lawrence, KS 66044-1069

December 8, 2006 9:35 PM

Senator Sam Brownback
U.S. Senate
303 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Brownback,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jennifer M Weishaar
255 N Michigan St #58
Lawrence, KS 66044-1069

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jennifer M Weishaar
255 N Michigan St #58 , Lawrence, KS 66044-1069

December 8, 2006 9:35 PM

Senator Pat Roberts
U.S. Senate
109 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Roberts,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jennifer M Weishaar
255 N Michigan St #58
Lawrence, KS 66044-1069

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Doreen Stewart
460 monponsett St , halfax, MA 02338

December 8, 2006 9:35 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Doreen Stewart
460 monponsett St
halfax, MA 02338

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Doreen Stewart
460 monponsett St , halfax, MA 02338

December 8, 2006 9:35 PM

Representative Barney Frank
U.S. House of Representatives
2252 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Frank,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Doreen Stewart
460 monponsett St
halfax, MA 02338

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Eli Dumitru
3522 1/2 Alley Lane , Medford, OR 97501

December 8, 2006 9:34 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Restore American pride

Dear Senator Wyden,

The credibility of this Administration is already low and still falling. Please join the emerging majority of Americans who are turning the tide on corporate greed and cynicism and finding hope for creating an America we can be proud of.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and

Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Eli Dumitru
3522 1/2 Alley Lane
Medford, OR 97501

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Eli Dumitru
3522 1/2 Alley Lane , Medford, OR 97501

December 8, 2006 9:34 PM

Representative Greg Walden
U.S. House of Representatives
1210 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Restore American pride

Dear Representative Walden,

The credibility of this Administration is already low and still falling. Please join the emerging majority of Americans who are turning the tide on corporate greed and cynicism and finding hope for creating an America we can be proud of.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and

Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Eli Dumitru
3522 1/2 Alley Lane
Medford, OR 97501

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Eli Dumitru
3522 1/2 Alley Lane , Medford, OR 97501

December 8, 2006 9:34 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Restore American pride

Dear Senator Smith,

The credibility of this Administration is already low and still falling. Please join the emerging majority of Americans who are turning the tide on corporate greed and cynicism and finding hope for creating an America we can be proud of.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and

Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Eli Dumitru
3522 1/2 Alley Lane
Medford, OR 97501

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Eli Dumitru
3522 1/2 Alley Lane , Medford, OR 97501

December 8, 2006 9:34 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Restore American pride

Dear Senator Smith,

The credibility of this Administration is already low and still falling. Please join the emerging majority of Americans who are turning the tide on corporate greed and cynicism and finding hope for creating an America we can be proud of.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and

Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Eli Dumitru
3522 1/2 Alley Lane
Medford, OR 97501

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Eli Dumitru
3522 1/2 Alley Lane , Medford, OR 97501

December 8, 2006 9:34 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Restore American pride

Dear Senator Wyden,

The credibility of this Administration is already low and still falling. Please join the emerging majority of Americans who are turning the tide on corporate greed and cynicism and finding hope for creating an America we can be proud of.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and

Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Eli Dumitru
3522 1/2 Alley Lane
Medford, OR 97501

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Eli Dumitru
3522 1/2 Alley Lane , Medford, OR 97501

December 8, 2006 9:34 PM

Representative Greg Walden
U.S. House of Representatives
1210 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Restore American pride

Dear Representative Walden,

The credibility of this Administration is already low and still falling. Please join the emerging majority of Americans who are turning the tide on corporate greed and cynicism and finding hope for creating an America we can be proud of.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and

Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Eli Dumitru
3522 1/2 Alley Lane
Medford, OR 97501

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jamie Cranfill
4534 S. Triton Dr Apt D, Murray, UT 84107

December 8, 2006 9:34 PM

Senator Robert Bennett
U.S. Senate
431 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bennett,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jamie Cranfill
4534 S. Triton Dr
Apt D
Murray, UT 84107

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jamie Cranfill
4534 S. Triton Dr Apt D, Murray, UT 84107

December 8, 2006 9:34 PM

Senator Orrin Hatch
U.S. Senate
104 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hatch,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jamie Cranfill
4534 S. Triton Dr
Apt D
Murray, UT 84107

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jamie Cranfill
4534 S. Triton Dr Apt D, Murray, UT 84107

December 8, 2006 9:34 PM

Representative Jim Matheson
U.S. House of Representatives
1222 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Matheson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jamie Cranfill
4534 S. Triton Dr
Apt D
Murray, UT 84107

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jamie Cranfill
4534 S. Triton Dr Apt D, Murray, UT 84107

December 8, 2006 9:34 PM

Representative Jim Matheson
U.S. House of Representatives
1222 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Matheson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jamie Cranfill
4534 S. Triton Dr
Apt D
Murray, UT 84107

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marye Lovelady
5010 Nighthawk Way , Oceanside, CA 92056

December 8, 2006 9:34 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marye Lovelady
5010 Nighthawk Way
Oceanside, CA 92056

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Linda Miller-Roseman
10 Tiger Lane , Center Moriches, NY 11934-3215

December 8, 2006 9:34 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Linda Miller-Roseman
10 Tiger Lane
Center Moriches, NY 11934-3215

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marye Lovelady
5010 Nighthawk Way , Oceanside, CA 92056

December 8, 2006 9:34 PM

Representative Darrell Issa
U.S. House of Representatives
211 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Issa,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marye Lovelady
5010 Nighthawk Way
Oceanside, CA 92056

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marye Lovelady
5010 Nighthawk Way , Oceanside, CA 92056

December 8, 2006 9:34 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marye Lovelady
5010 Nighthawk Way
Oceanside, CA 92056

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Linda Miller-Roseman
10 Tiger Lane , Center Moriches, NY 11934-3215

December 8, 2006 9:34 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Linda Miller-Roseman
10 Tiger Lane
Center Moriches, NY 11934-3215

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Linda Miller-Roseman
10 Tiger Lane , Center Moriches, NY 11934-3215

December 8, 2006 9:34 PM

Representative Timothy Bishop
U.S. House of Representatives
1133 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bishop,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Linda Miller-Roseman
10 Tiger Lane
Center Moriches, NY 11934-3215

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marye Lovelady
5010 Nighthawk Way , Oceanside, CA 92056

December 8, 2006 9:34 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marye Lovelady
5010 Nighthawk Way
Oceanside, CA 92056

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Linda Miller-Roseman
10 Tiger Lane , Center Moriches, NY 11934-3215

December 8, 2006 9:34 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Linda Miller-Roseman
10 Tiger Lane
Center Moriches, NY 11934-3215

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Linda Miller-Roseman
10 Tiger Lane , Center Moriches, NY 11934-3215

December 8, 2006 9:34 PM

Representative Timothy Bishop
U.S. House of Representatives
1133 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bishop,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Linda Miller-Roseman
10 Tiger Lane
Center Moriches, NY 11934-3215

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jamie Cranfill
4534 S. Triton Dr Apt D, Murray, UT 84107

December 8, 2006 9:34 PM

Senator Robert Bennett
U.S. Senate
431 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bennett,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jamie Cranfill
4534 S. Triton Dr
Apt D
Murray, UT 84107

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jamie Cranfill
4534 S. Triton Dr Apt D, Murray, UT 84107

December 8, 2006 9:34 PM

Senator Orrin Hatch
U.S. Senate
104 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hatch,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jamie Cranfill
4534 S. Triton Dr
Apt D
Murray, UT 84107

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ellen Beschler
5 East 51st Street, #4B , New York, NY 10022

December 8, 2006 9:33 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ellen Beschler
5 East 51st Street, #4B
New York, NY 10022

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ellen Beschler
5 East 51st Street, #4B , New York, NY 10022

December 8, 2006 9:33 PM

Representative Carolyn Maloney
U.S. House of Representatives
2331 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Maloney,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ellen Beschler
5 East 51st Street, #4B
New York, NY 10022

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ellen Beschler
5 East 51st Street, #4B , New York, NY 10022

December 8, 2006 9:33 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ellen Beschler
5 East 51st Street, #4B
New York, NY 10022

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ellen Beschler
5 East 51st Street, #4B , New York, NY 10022

December 8, 2006 9:33 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ellen Beschler
5 East 51st Street, #4B
New York, NY 10022

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ellen Beschler
5 East 51st Street, #4B , New York, NY 10022

December 8, 2006 9:33 PM

Representative Carolyn Maloney
U.S. House of Representatives
2331 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Maloney,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ellen Beschler
5 East 51st Street, #4B
New York, NY 10022

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Renee Kennedy
25 Donna St #1208 , Palm Harbor, FL 34684

December 8, 2006 9:33 PM

Representative Michael Bilirakis
U.S. House of Representatives
2408 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bilirakis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Renee Kennedy
25 Donna St #1208
Palm Harbor, FL 34684

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jordan Pakaki
4609 Marissa Dr , El Paso, TX 79924-1030

December 8, 2006 9:33 PM

Representative Silvestre Reyes
U.S. House of Representatives
2433 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Reyes,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jordan Pakaki
4609 Marissa Dr
El Paso, TX 79924-1030

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jordan Pakaki
4609 Marissa Dr , El Paso, TX 79924-1030

December 8, 2006 9:33 PM

Senator John Cornyn
U.S. Senate
517 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jordan Pakaki
4609 Marissa Dr
El Paso, TX 79924-1030

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Denise Lutton
37176 Murray road , PEARL RIVER, LA 70452

December 8, 2006 9:33 PM

Senator David Vitter
U.S. Senate
516 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Vitter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Denise Lutton
37176 Murray road
PEARL RIVER, LA 70452

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Denise Lutton
37176 Murray road , PEARL RIVER, LA 70452

December 8, 2006 9:33 PM

Senator Mary Landrieu
U.S. Senate
724 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Landrieu,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Denise Lutton
37176 Murray road
PEARL RIVER, LA 70452

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Renee Kennedy
25 Donna St #1208 , Palm Harbor, FL 34684

December 8, 2006 9:33 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Renee Kennedy
25 Donna St #1208
Palm Harbor, FL 34684

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Renee Kennedy
25 Donna St #1208 , Palm Harbor, FL 34684

December 8, 2006 9:33 PM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Renee Kennedy
25 Donna St #1208
Palm Harbor, FL 34684

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jordan Pakaki
4609 Marissa Dr , El Paso, TX 79924-1030

December 8, 2006 9:33 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jordan Pakaki
4609 Marissa Dr
El Paso, TX 79924-1030

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Denise Lutton
37176 Murray road , PEARL RIVER, LA 70452

December 8, 2006 9:33 PM

Representative Bobby Jindal
U.S. House of Representatives
1205 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Jindal,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Denise Lutton
37176 Murray road
PEARL RIVER, LA 70452

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Renee Kennedy
25 Donna St #1208 , Palm Harbor, FL 34684

December 8, 2006 9:33 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Renee Kennedy
25 Donna St #1208
Palm Harbor, FL 34684

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Renee Kennedy
25 Donna St #1208 , Palm Harbor, FL 34684

December 8, 2006 9:33 PM

Representative Michael Bilirakis
U.S. House of Representatives
2408 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bilirakis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Renee Kennedy
25 Donna St #1208
Palm Harbor, FL 34684

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Denise Lutton
37176 Murray road , PEARL RIVER, LA 70452

December 8, 2006 9:33 PM

Senator Mary Landrieu
U.S. Senate
724 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Landrieu,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Denise Lutton
37176 Murray road
PEARL RIVER, LA 70452

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Denise Lutton
37176 Murray road , PEARL RIVER, LA 70452

December 8, 2006 9:33 PM

Senator David Vitter
U.S. Senate
516 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Vitter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Denise Lutton
37176 Murray road
PEARL RIVER, LA 70452

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jordan Pakaki
4609 Marissa Dr , El Paso, TX 79924-1030

December 8, 2006 9:33 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jordan Pakaki
4609 Marissa Dr
El Paso, TX 79924-1030

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gene Browan
10313 W Floriade Dr , Sun City, AZ 85351-2230

December 8, 2006 9:31 PM

Senator Jon Kyl
U.S. Senate
730 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gene Browan
10313 W Floriade Dr
Sun City, AZ 85351-2230

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gene Browan
10313 W Floriade Dr , Sun City, AZ 85351-2230

December 8, 2006 9:31 PM

Senator John McCain
U.S. Senate
241 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gene Browan
10313 W Floriade Dr
Sun City, AZ 85351-2230

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gene Browan
10313 W Floriade Dr , Sun City, AZ 85351-2230

December 8, 2006 9:31 PM

Representative Trent Franks
U.S. House of Representatives
1237 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Franks,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gene Browan
10313 W Floriade Dr
Sun City, AZ 85351-2230

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jacob Stratton
1800 Reservoir rd #50 , Lima, OH 45804

December 8, 2006 9:31 PM

Senator George Voinovich
U.S. Senate
524 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Voinovich,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jacob Stratton
1800 Reservoir rd #50
Lima, OH 45804

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gene Browan
10313 W Floriade Dr , Sun City, AZ 85351-2230

December 8, 2006 9:31 PM

Senator Jon Kyl
U.S. Senate
730 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gene Browan
10313 W Floriade Dr
Sun City, AZ 85351-2230

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gene Browan
10313 W Floriade Dr , Sun City, AZ 85351-2230

December 8, 2006 9:31 PM

Representative Trent Franks
U.S. House of Representatives
1237 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Franks,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gene Browan
10313 W Floriade Dr
Sun City, AZ 85351-2230

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

d k
2898 So. 700 E. Ste. 104 , Salt Lake City, UT 84106-

December 8, 2006 9:31 PM

Senator Orrin Hatch
U.S. Senate
104 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hatch,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
d k
2898 So. 700 E. Ste. 104
Salt Lake City, UT 84106-

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gene Browan
10313 W Floriade Dr , Sun City, AZ 85351-2230

December 8, 2006 9:31 PM

Senator John McCain
U.S. Senate
241 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gene Browan
10313 W Floriade Dr
Sun City, AZ 85351-2230

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jacob Stratton
1800 Reservoir rd #50 , Lima, OH 45804

December 8, 2006 9:31 PM

Representative Michael Oxley
U.S. House of Representatives
2308 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Oxley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jacob Stratton
1800 Reservoir rd #50
Lima, OH 45804

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jacob Stratton
1800 Reservoir rd #50 , Lima, OH 45804

December 8, 2006 9:31 PM

Senator Mike DeWine
U.S. Senate
140 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jacob Stratton
1800 Reservoir rd #50
Lima, OH 45804

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

d k
2898 So. 700 E. Ste. 104 , Salt Lake City, UT 84106-

December 8, 2006 9:31 PM

Senator Robert Bennett
U.S. Senate
431 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bennett,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
d k
2898 So. 700 E. Ste. 104
Salt Lake City, UT 84106-

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jacob Stratton
1800 Reservoir rd #50 , Lima, OH 45804

December 8, 2006 9:31 PM

Senator Mike DeWine
U.S. Senate
140 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jacob Stratton
1800 Reservoir rd #50
Lima, OH 45804

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jacob Stratton
1800 Reservoir rd #50 , Lima, OH 45804

December 8, 2006 9:31 PM

Representative Michael Oxley
U.S. House of Representatives
2308 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Oxley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jacob Stratton
1800 Reservoir rd #50
Lima, OH 45804

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

d k
2898 So. 700 E. Ste. 104 , Salt Lake City, UT 84106-

December 8, 2006 9:31 PM

Senator Robert Bennett
U.S. Senate
431 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bennett,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
d k
2898 So. 700 E. Ste. 104
Salt Lake City, UT 84106-

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

d k
2898 So. 700 E. Ste. 104 , Salt Lake City, UT 84106-

December 8, 2006 9:31 PM

Senator Orrin Hatch
U.S. Senate
104 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hatch,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
d k
2898 So. 700 E. Ste. 104
Salt Lake City, UT 84106-

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jessica Simonetti
26 Bob Hill Road , Pound Ridge, NY 10576

December 8, 2006 9:30 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jessica Simonetti
26 Bob Hill Road
Pound Ridge, NY 10576

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

K. Roe
7485 Canford Ct , Winter Park, FL 32792

December 8, 2006 9:30 PM

Representative Ric Keller
U.S. House of Representatives
419 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Keller,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
K. Roe
7485 Canford Ct
Winter Park, FL 32792

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

K. Roe
7485 Canford Ct , Winter Park, FL 32792

December 8, 2006 9:30 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
K. Roe
7485 Canford Ct
Winter Park, FL 32792

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jessica Simonetti
26 Bob Hill Road , Pound Ridge, NY 10576

December 8, 2006 9:30 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jessica Simonetti
26 Bob Hill Road
Pound Ridge, NY 10576

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

K. Roe
7485 Canford Ct , Winter Park, FL 32792

December 8, 2006 9:30 PM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
K. Roe
7485 Canford Ct
Winter Park, FL 32792

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jessica Simonetti
26 Bob Hill Road , Pound Ridge, NY 10576

December 8, 2006 9:30 PM

Representative Sue Kelly
U.S. House of Representatives
2182 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Kelly,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jessica Simonetti
26 Bob Hill Road
Pound Ridge, NY 10576

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

K. Roe
7485 Canford Ct , Winter Park, FL 32792

December 8, 2006 9:30 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
K. Roe
7485 Canford Ct
Winter Park, FL 32792

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

K. Roe
7485 Canford Ct , Winter Park, FL 32792

December 8, 2006 9:30 PM

Representative Ric Keller
U.S. House of Representatives
419 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Keller,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
K. Roe
7485 Canford Ct
Winter Park, FL 32792

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jessica Simonetti
26 Bob Hill Road , Pound Ridge, NY 10576

December 8, 2006 9:30 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jessica Simonetti
26 Bob Hill Road
Pound Ridge, NY 10576

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jessica Simonetti
26 Bob Hill Road , Pound Ridge, NY 10576

December 8, 2006 9:30 PM

Representative Sue Kelly
U.S. House of Representatives
2182 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Kelly,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jessica Simonetti
26 Bob Hill Road
Pound Ridge, NY 10576

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

leah miller
2803 Victoria way e2 , coconut creek, FL 33066

December 8, 2006 9:29 PM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
leah miller
2803 Victoria way e2
coconut creek, FL 33066

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

leah miller
2803 Victoria way e2 , coconut creek, FL 33066

December 8, 2006 9:29 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
leah miller
2803 Victoria way e2
coconut creek, FL 33066

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

leah miller
2803 Victoria way e2 , coconut creek, FL 33066

December 8, 2006 9:29 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
leah miller
2803 Victoria way e2
coconut creek, FL 33066

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

M. J. Mullins
601 Lincoln Ct. , Deerfield Beach, FL 33442

December 8, 2006 9:29 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
M. J. Mullins
601 Lincoln Ct.
Deerfield Beach, FL 33442

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

M. J. Mullins
601 Lincoln Ct. , Deerfield Beach, FL 33442

December 8, 2006 9:29 PM

Representative Clay Shaw
U.S. House of Representatives
1236 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Shaw,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
M. J. Mullins
601 Lincoln Ct.
Deerfield Beach, FL 33442

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

leah miller
2803 Victoria way e2 , coconut creek, FL 33066

December 8, 2006 9:29 PM

Representative Robert Wexler
U.S. House of Representatives
213 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Wexler,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
leah miller
2803 Victoria way e2
coconut creek, FL 33066

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Andrew Low
PO Box 2441 , New York, NY 10108

December 8, 2006 9:29 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Andrew Low
PO Box 2441
New York, NY 10108

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Andrew Low
PO Box 2441 , New York, NY 10108

December 8, 2006 9:29 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Andrew Low
PO Box 2441
New York, NY 10108

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Andrew Low
PO Box 2441 , New York, NY 10108

December 8, 2006 9:29 PM

Representative Jerrold Nadler
U.S. House of Representatives
2334 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Nadler,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Andrew Low
PO Box 2441
New York, NY 10108

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elizabeth North
4904 North 13th Street , Arlington, VA 22205

December 8, 2006 9:29 PM

Senator John Warner
U.S. Senate
225 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Warner,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth North
4904 North 13th Street
Arlington, VA 22205

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joanne Lukacher
P.o. box 127 , Staatsburg, NY 12580

December 8, 2006 9:29 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joanne Lukacher
P.o. box 127
Staatsburg, NY 12580

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joanne Lukacher
P.o. box 127 , Staatsburg, NY 12580

December 8, 2006 9:29 PM

Representative John Sweeney
U.S. House of Representatives
416 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sweeney,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joanne Lukacher
P.o. box 127
Staatsburg, NY 12580

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joanne Lukacher
P.o. box 127 , Staatsburg, NY 12580

December 8, 2006 9:29 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joanne Lukacher
P.o. box 127
Staatsburg, NY 12580

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jason Tivy-DeBauche
3441 Portland Ave #4 , Minneapolis, MN 55407

December 8, 2006 9:29 PM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jason Tivy-DeBauche
3441 Portland Ave #4
Minneapolis, MN 55407

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jason Tivy-DeBauche
3441 Portland Ave #4 , Minneapolis, MN 55407

December 8, 2006 9:29 PM

Senator Mark Dayton
U.S. Senate
123 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dayton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jason Tivy-DeBauche
3441 Portland Ave #4
Minneapolis, MN 55407

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

M. J. Mullins
601 Lincoln Ct. , Deerfield Beach, FL 33442

December 8, 2006 9:29 PM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
M. J. Mullins
601 Lincoln Ct.
Deerfield Beach, FL 33442

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jason Tivy-DeBauche
3441 Portland Ave #4 , Minneapolis, MN 55407

December 8, 2006 9:29 PM

Representative Martin Sabo
U.S. House of Representatives
2336 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sabo,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jason Tivy-DeBauche
3441 Portland Ave #4
Minneapolis, MN 55407

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elizabeth North
4904 North 13th Street , Arlington, VA 22205

December 8, 2006 9:29 PM

Representative Jim Moran
U.S. House of Representatives
2239 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Moran,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth North
4904 North 13th Street
Arlington, VA 22205

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elizabeth North
4904 North 13th Street , Arlington, VA 22205

December 8, 2006 9:29 PM

Senator George Allen
U.S. Senate
204 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth North
4904 North 13th Street
Arlington, VA 22205

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

M. J. Mullins
601 Lincoln Ct. , Deerfield Beach, FL 33442

December 8, 2006 9:29 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
M. J. Mullins
601 Lincoln Ct.
Deerfield Beach, FL 33442

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jason Tivy-DeBauche
3441 Portland Ave #4 , Minneapolis, MN 55407

December 8, 2006 9:29 PM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jason Tivy-DeBauche
3441 Portland Ave #4
Minneapolis, MN 55407

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Andrew Low
PO Box 2441 , New York, NY 10108

December 8, 2006 9:29 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Andrew Low
PO Box 2441
New York, NY 10108

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joanne Lukacher
P.o. box 127 , Staatsburg, NY 12580

December 8, 2006 9:29 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joanne Lukacher
P.o. box 127
Staatsburg, NY 12580

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elizabeth North
4904 North 13th Street , Arlington, VA 22205

December 8, 2006 9:29 PM

Senator George Allen
U.S. Senate
204 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth North
4904 North 13th Street
Arlington, VA 22205

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elizabeth North
4904 North 13th Street , Arlington, VA 22205

December 8, 2006 9:29 PM

Representative Jim Moran
U.S. House of Representatives
2239 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Moran,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth North
4904 North 13th Street
Arlington, VA 22205

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Brooks
5310 Denwood Avenue , Memphis, TN 38120

December 8, 2006 9:28 PM

Representative Harold Ford
U.S. House of Representatives
325 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Ford,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Brooks
5310 Denwood Avenue
Memphis, TN 38120

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Brooks
5310 Denwood Avenue , Memphis, TN 38120

December 8, 2006 9:28 PM

Senator Lamar Alexander
U.S. Senate
302 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Alexander,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Brooks
5310 Denwood Avenue
Memphis, TN 38120

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Brooks
5310 Denwood Avenue , Memphis, TN 38120

December 8, 2006 9:28 PM

Senator Bill Frist
U.S. Senate
509 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Frist,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Brooks
5310 Denwood Avenue
Memphis, TN 38120

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Brooks
5310 Denwood Avenue , Memphis, TN 38120

December 8, 2006 9:28 PM

Senator Lamar Alexander
U.S. Senate
302 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Alexander,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Brooks
5310 Denwood Avenue
Memphis, TN 38120

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Brooks
5310 Denwood Avenue , Memphis, TN 38120

December 8, 2006 9:28 PM

Senator Bill Frist
U.S. Senate
509 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Frist,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Brooks
5310 Denwood Avenue
Memphis, TN 38120

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Brooks
5310 Denwood Avenue , Memphis, TN 38120

December 8, 2006 9:28 PM

Representative Harold Ford
U.S. House of Representatives
325 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Ford,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Brooks
5310 Denwood Avenue
Memphis, TN 38120

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Roy Schmidt
10944 San Pablo Ave. #332 , El Cerrito, CA 94530

December 8, 2006 9:26 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Roy Schmidt
10944 San Pablo Ave. #332
El Cerrito, CA 94530

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Roy Schmidt
10944 San Pablo Ave. #332 , El Cerrito, CA 94530

December 8, 2006 9:26 PM

Representative Ellen Tauscher
U.S. House of Representatives
1034 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Tauscher,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Roy Schmidt
10944 San Pablo Ave. #332
El Cerrito, CA 94530

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Roy Schmidt
10944 San Pablo Ave. #332 , El Cerrito, CA 94530

December 8, 2006 9:26 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Roy Schmidt
10944 San Pablo Ave. #332
El Cerrito, CA 94530

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bruce Emerick
908 E. Lakeshore Dr. , Carriere, MS 39426

December 8, 2006 9:26 PM

Representative Gene Taylor
U.S. House of Representatives
2311 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Taylor,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bruce Emerick
908 E. Lakeshore Dr.
Carriere, MS 39426

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ramsey wiggins
2006 Winsted Lane , austin, TX 78703-3050

December 8, 2006 9:26 PM

Senator John Cornyn
U.S. Senate
517 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ramsey wiggins
2006 Winsted Lane
austin, TX 78703-3050

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ditra Walsh
PO Box 158 , New York, NY 10014

December 8, 2006 9:26 PM

Representative Jerrold Nadler
U.S. House of Representatives
2334 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Nadler,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ditra Walsh
PO Box 158
New York, NY 10014

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ditra Walsh
PO Box 158 , New York, NY 10014

December 8, 2006 9:26 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ditra Walsh
PO Box 158
New York, NY 10014

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ditra Walsh
PO Box 158 , New York, NY 10014

December 8, 2006 9:26 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ditra Walsh
PO Box 158
New York, NY 10014

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Fox
15981 Beach Hwy. , Millersburg, MI 49759

December 8, 2006 9:26 PM

Senator Carl Levin
U.S. Senate
269 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Levin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Fox
15981 Beach Hwy.
Millersburg, MI 49759

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Roy Schmidt
10944 San Pablo Ave. #332 , El Cerrito, CA 94530

December 8, 2006 9:26 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Roy Schmidt
10944 San Pablo Ave. #332
El Cerrito, CA 94530

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ramsey wiggins
2006 Winsted Lane , austin, TX 78703-3050

December 8, 2006 9:26 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ramsey wiggins
2006 Winsted Lane
austin, TX 78703-3050

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bruce Emerick
908 E. Lakeshore Dr. , Carriere, MS 39426

December 8, 2006 9:26 PM

Senator Trent Lott
U.S. Senate
487 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lott,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bruce Emerick
908 E. Lakeshore Dr.
Carriere, MS 39426

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bruce Emerick
908 E. Lakeshore Dr. , Carriere, MS 39426

December 8, 2006 9:26 PM

Senator Thad Cochran
U.S. Senate
113 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cochran,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bruce Emerick
908 E. Lakeshore Dr.
Carriere, MS 39426

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Fox
15981 Beach Hwy. , Millersburg, MI 49759

December 8, 2006 9:26 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Fox
15981 Beach Hwy.
Millersburg, MI 49759

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Fox
15981 Beach Hwy. , Millersburg, MI 49759

December 8, 2006 9:26 PM

Representative Bart Stupak
U.S. House of Representatives
2352 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Stupak,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Fox
15981 Beach Hwy.
Millersburg, MI 49759

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Fox
15981 Beach Hwy. , Millersburg, MI 49759

December 8, 2006 9:26 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Fox
15981 Beach Hwy.
Millersburg, MI 49759

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bruce Emerick
908 E. Lakeshore Dr. , Carriere, MS 39426

December 8, 2006 9:26 PM

Senator Thad Cochran
U.S. Senate
113 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cochran,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bruce Emerick
908 E. Lakeshore Dr.
Carriere, MS 39426

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bruce Emerick
908 E. Lakeshore Dr. , Carriere, MS 39426

December 8, 2006 9:26 PM

Senator Trent Lott
U.S. Senate
487 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lott,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bruce Emerick
908 E. Lakeshore Dr.
Carriere, MS 39426

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bruce Emerick
908 E. Lakeshore Dr. , Carriere, MS 39426

December 8, 2006 9:26 PM

Representative Gene Taylor
U.S. House of Representatives
2311 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Taylor,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bruce Emerick
908 E. Lakeshore Dr.
Carriere, MS 39426

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ditra Walsh
PO Box 158 , New York, NY 10014

December 8, 2006 9:26 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ditra Walsh
PO Box 158
New York, NY 10014

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ramsey wiggins
2006 Winsted Lane , austin, TX 78703-3050

December 8, 2006 9:26 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ramsey wiggins
2006 Winsted Lane
austin, TX 78703-3050

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Zella McGettrick
3830 S. Broadway Ave. , Springfield, MO 65807

December 8, 2006 9:25 PM

Representative Roy Blunt
U.S. House of Representatives
217 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Blunt,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Zella McGettrick
3830 S. Broadway Ave.
Springfield, MO 65807

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charles La Rue
1432 Olive Lane , La Canada, CA 91011

December 8, 2006 9:25 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charles La Rue
1432 Olive Lane
La Canada, CA 91011

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ian Talcroft
1275 4th St.#254 , Santa Rosa, CA 95404

December 8, 2006 9:25 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ian Talcroft
1275 4th St.#254
Santa Rosa, CA 95404

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charles La Rue
1432 Olive Lane , La Canada, CA 91011

December 8, 2006 9:25 PM

Representative David Dreier
U.S. House of Representatives
233 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Dreier,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charles La Rue
1432 Olive Lane
La Canada, CA 91011

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ian Talcroft
1275 4th St.#254 , Santa Rosa, CA 95404

December 8, 2006 9:25 PM

Representative Lynn Woolsey
U.S. House of Representatives
2263 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Woolsey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ian Talcroft
1275 4th St.#254
Santa Rosa, CA 95404

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ian Talcroft
1275 4th St.#254 , Santa Rosa, CA 95404

December 8, 2006 9:25 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ian Talcroft
1275 4th St.#254
Santa Rosa, CA 95404

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ian Talcroft
1275 4th St.#254 , Santa Rosa, CA 95404

December 8, 2006 9:25 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ian Talcroft
1275 4th St.#254
Santa Rosa, CA 95404

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charles La Rue
1432 Olive Lane , La Canada, CA 91011

December 8, 2006 9:25 PM

Representative David Dreier
U.S. House of Representatives
233 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Dreier,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charles La Rue
1432 Olive Lane
La Canada, CA 91011

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charles La Rue
1432 Olive Lane , La Canada, CA 91011

December 8, 2006 9:25 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charles La Rue
1432 Olive Lane
La Canada, CA 91011

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charles La Rue
1432 Olive Lane , La Canada, CA 91011

December 8, 2006 9:25 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charles La Rue
1432 Olive Lane
La Canada, CA 91011

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Zella McGettrick
3830 S. Broadway Ave. , Springfield, MO 65807

December 8, 2006 9:25 PM

Senator Jim Talent
U.S. Senate
493 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Talent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Zella McGettrick
3830 S. Broadway Ave.
Springfield, MO 65807

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Zella McGettrick
3830 S. Broadway Ave. , Springfield, MO 65807

December 8, 2006 9:25 PM

Representative Roy Blunt
U.S. House of Representatives
217 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Blunt,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Zella McGettrick
3830 S. Broadway Ave.
Springfield, MO 65807

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Zella McGettrick
3830 S. Broadway Ave. , Springfield, MO 65807

December 8, 2006 9:25 PM

Senator Christopher Bond
U.S. Senate
274 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bond,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Zella McGettrick
3830 S. Broadway Ave.
Springfield, MO 65807

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Mielke
8179 Omaha Circle , Spring Hill, FL 34606

December 8, 2006 9:25 PM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Mielke
8179 Omaha Circle
Spring Hill, FL 34606

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Mielke
8179 Omaha Circle , Spring Hill, FL 34606

December 8, 2006 9:25 PM

Representative Ginny Brown-Waite
U.S. House of Representatives
414 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Brown-Waite,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Mielke
8179 Omaha Circle
Spring Hill, FL 34606

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

leah miller
2803 victoria way e2 , coconut creek, FL 33066

December 8, 2006 9:25 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
leah miller
2803 victoria way e2
coconut creek, FL 33066

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

leah miller
2803 victoria way e2 , coconut creek, FL 33066

December 8, 2006 9:25 PM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
leah miller
2803 victoria way e2
coconut creek, FL 33066

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

leah miller
2803 victoria way e2 , coconut creek, FL 33066

December 8, 2006 9:25 PM

Representative Robert Wexler
U.S. House of Representatives
213 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Wexler,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
leah miller
2803 victoria way e2
coconut creek, FL 33066

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Mielke
8179 Omaha Circle , Spring Hill, FL 34606

December 8, 2006 9:25 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Mielke
8179 Omaha Circle
Spring Hill, FL 34606

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ada Bello
600 East Cathedral Road Apt. D308, Philadelphia, PA 19128

December 8, 2006 9:25 PM

Representative Chaka Fattah
U.S. House of Representatives
2301 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Fattah,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ada Bello
600 East Cathedral Road
Apt. D308
Philadelphia, PA 19128

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ada Bello
600 East Cathedral Road Apt. D308, Philadelphia, PA 19128

December 8, 2006 9:25 PM

Senator Rick Santorum
U.S. Senate
511 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Santorum,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ada Bello
600 East Cathedral Road
Apt. D308
Philadelphia, PA 19128

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ada Bello
600 East Cathedral Road Apt. D308, Philadelphia, PA 19128

December 8, 2006 9:25 PM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ada Bello
600 East Cathedral Road
Apt. D308
Philadelphia, PA 19128

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

leah miller
2803 victoria way e2 , coconut creek, FL 33066

December 8, 2006 9:25 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
leah miller
2803 victoria way e2
coconut creek, FL 33066

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Mielke
8179 Omaha Circle , Spring Hill, FL 34606

December 8, 2006 9:25 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Mielke
8179 Omaha Circle
Spring Hill, FL 34606

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Mielke
8179 Omaha Circle , Spring Hill, FL 34606

December 8, 2006 9:25 PM

Representative Ginny Brown-Waite
U.S. House of Representatives
414 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Brown-Waite,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Mielke
8179 Omaha Circle
Spring Hill, FL 34606

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Zella McGettrick
3830 S. Broadway Ave. , Springfield, MO 65807

December 8, 2006 9:25 PM

Senator Jim Talent
U.S. Senate
493 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Talent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Zella McGettrick
3830 S. Broadway Ave.
Springfield, MO 65807

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ada Bello
600 East Cathedral Road Apt. D308, Philadelphia, PA 19128

December 8, 2006 9:25 PM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ada Bello
600 East Cathedral Road
Apt. D308
Philadelphia, PA 19128

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ada Bello
600 East Cathedral Road Apt. D308, Philadelphia, PA 19128

December 8, 2006 9:25 PM

Representative Chaka Fattah
U.S. House of Representatives
2301 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Fattah,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ada Bello
600 East Cathedral Road
Apt. D308
Philadelphia, PA 19128

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

tim poe
720 8th st , new martinsville, WV 26155

December 8, 2006 9:24 PM

Representative Alan Mollohan
U.S. House of Representatives
2302 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Mollohan,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
tim poe
720 8th st
new martinsville, WV 26155

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

tim poe
720 8th st , new martinsville, WV 26155

December 8, 2006 9:24 PM

Senator Robert Byrd
U.S. Senate
311 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Byrd,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
tim poe
720 8th st
new martinsville, WV 26155

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

tim poe
720 8th st , new martinsville, WV 26155

December 8, 2006 9:24 PM

Senator John Rockefeller
U.S. Senate
531 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Rockefeller,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
tim poe
720 8th st
new martinsville, WV 26155

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

tim poe
720 8th st , new martinsville, WV 26155

December 8, 2006 9:24 PM

Senator Robert Byrd
U.S. Senate
311 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Byrd,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
tim poe
720 8th st
new martinsville, WV 26155

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

tim poe
720 8th st , new martinsville, WV 26155

December 8, 2006 9:24 PM

Senator John Rockefeller
U.S. Senate
531 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Rockefeller,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
tim poe
720 8th st
new martinsville, WV 26155

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

tim poe
720 8th st , new martinsville, WV 26155

December 8, 2006 9:24 PM

Representative Alan Mollohan
U.S. House of Representatives
2302 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Mollohan,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
tim poe
720 8th st
new martinsville, WV 26155

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Drew Hempel
3029 Knox Ave S, #1 , Minneapolis, MN 55408

December 8, 2006 9:23 PM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Drew Hempel
3029 Knox Ave S, #1
Minneapolis, MN 55408

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Karen Hartman
9758 NE 127th Place , Kirkland, WA 98034

December 8, 2006 9:23 PM

Representative Jay Inslee
U.S. House of Representatives
403 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Inslee,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Karen Hartman
9758 NE 127th Place
Kirkland, WA 98034

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Karen Hartman
9758 NE 127th Place , Kirkland, WA 98034

December 8, 2006 9:23 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Karen Hartman
9758 NE 127th Place
Kirkland, WA 98034

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Drew Hempel
3029 Knox Ave S, #1 , Minneapolis, MN 55408

December 8, 2006 9:23 PM

Representative Martin Sabo
U.S. House of Representatives
2336 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sabo,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Drew Hempel
3029 Knox Ave S, #1
Minneapolis, MN 55408

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Drew Hempel
3029 Knox Ave S, #1 , Minneapolis, MN 55408

December 8, 2006 9:23 PM

Senator Mark Dayton
U.S. Senate
123 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dayton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Drew Hempel
3029 Knox Ave S, #1
Minneapolis, MN 55408

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Karen Hartman
9758 NE 127th Place , Kirkland, WA 98034

December 8, 2006 9:23 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Karen Hartman
9758 NE 127th Place
Kirkland, WA 98034

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Drew Hempel
3029 Knox Ave S, #1 , Minneapolis, MN 55408

December 8, 2006 9:23 PM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Drew Hempel
3029 Knox Ave S, #1
Minneapolis, MN 55408

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Karen Hartman
9758 NE 127th Place , Kirkland, WA 98034

December 8, 2006 9:23 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Karen Hartman
9758 NE 127th Place
Kirkland, WA 98034

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Karen Hartman
9758 NE 127th Place , Kirkland, WA 98034

December 8, 2006 9:23 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Karen Hartman
9758 NE 127th Place
Kirkland, WA 98034

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

janie poe
720 8th st , new martinsville, WV 26155

December 8, 2006 9:23 PM

Representative Alan Mollohan
U.S. House of Representatives
2302 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Mollohan,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
janie poe
720 8th st
new martinsville, WV 26155

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

janie poe
720 8th st , new martinsville, WV 26155

December 8, 2006 9:23 PM

Senator John Rockefeller
U.S. Senate
531 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Rockefeller,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
janie poe
720 8th st
new martinsville, WV 26155

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

janie poe
720 8th st , new martinsville, WV 26155

December 8, 2006 9:23 PM

Senator Robert Byrd
U.S. Senate
311 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Byrd,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
janie poe
720 8th st
new martinsville, WV 26155

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Karen Hartman
9758 NE 127th Place , Kirkland, WA 98034

December 8, 2006 9:23 PM

Representative Jay Inslee
U.S. House of Representatives
403 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Inslee,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Karen Hartman
9758 NE 127th Place
Kirkland, WA 98034

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

janie poe
720 8th st , new martinsville, WV 26155

December 8, 2006 9:23 PM

Senator Robert Byrd
U.S. Senate
311 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Byrd,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
janie poe
720 8th st
new martinsville, WV 26155

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

janie poe
720 8th st , new martinsville, WV 26155

December 8, 2006 9:23 PM

Senator John Rockefeller
U.S. Senate
531 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Rockefeller,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
janie poe
720 8th st
new martinsville, WV 26155

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

janie poe
720 8th st , new martinsville, WV 26155

December 8, 2006 9:23 PM

Representative Alan Mollohan
U.S. House of Representatives
2302 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Mollohan,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
janie poe
720 8th st
new martinsville, WV 26155

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elizabeth Oross
3752 62 Street #3A, Woodside, NY 11377

December 8, 2006 9:21 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Thank you very much for your attention to this extremely important issue.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Oross
3752 62 Street
#3A
Woodside, NY 11377

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elizabeth Oross
3752 62 Street #3A, Woodside, NY 11377

December 8, 2006 9:21 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Thank you very much for your attention to this extremely important issue.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Oross
3752 62 Street
#3A
Woodside, NY 11377

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elizabeth Oross
3752 62 Street #3A, Woodside, NY 11377

December 8, 2006 9:21 PM

Representative Joseph Crowley
U.S. House of Representatives
312 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Crowley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Thank you very much for your attention to this extremely important issue.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Oross
3752 62 Street
#3A
Woodside, NY 11377

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elizabeth Oross
3752 62 Street #3A, Woodside, NY 11377

December 8, 2006 9:21 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Thank you very much for your attention to this extremely important issue.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Oross
3752 62 Street
#3A
Woodside, NY 11377

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elizabeth Oross
3752 62 Street #3A, Woodside, NY 11377

December 8, 2006 9:21 PM

Representative Joseph Crowley
U.S. House of Representatives
312 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Crowley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Thank you very much for your attention to this extremely important issue.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Oross
3752 62 Street
#3A
Woodside, NY 11377

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joan Moyers
9104 Le Velle Ct. , Chevy Chase, MD 20815

December 8, 2006 9:19 PM

Representative Chris Van Hollen
U.S. House of Representatives
1419 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Van Hollen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joan Moyers
9104 Le Velle Ct.
Chevy Chase, MD 20815

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joan Moyers
9104 Le Velle Ct. , Chevy Chase, MD 20815

December 8, 2006 9:19 PM

Senator Paul Sarbanes
U.S. Senate
309 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sarbanes,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joan Moyers
9104 Le Velle Ct.
Chevy Chase, MD 20815

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joan Moyers
9104 Le Velle Ct. , Chevy Chase, MD 20815

December 8, 2006 9:19 PM

Senator Barbara Mikulski
U.S. Senate
503 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Mikulski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joan Moyers
9104 Le Velle Ct.
Chevy Chase, MD 20815

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joan Moyers
9104 Le Velle Ct. , Chevy Chase, MD 20815

December 8, 2006 9:19 PM

Senator Barbara Mikulski
U.S. Senate
503 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Mikulski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joan Moyers
9104 Le Velle Ct.
Chevy Chase, MD 20815

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joan Moyers
9104 Le Velle Ct. , Chevy Chase, MD 20815

December 8, 2006 9:19 PM

Senator Paul Sarbanes
U.S. Senate
309 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sarbanes,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joan Moyers
9104 Le Velle Ct.
Chevy Chase, MD 20815

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joan Moyers
9104 Le Velle Ct. , Chevy Chase, MD 20815

December 8, 2006 9:19 PM

Representative Chris Van Hollen
U.S. House of Representatives
1419 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Van Hollen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joan Moyers
9104 Le Velle Ct.
Chevy Chase, MD 20815

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

terre Dunivant
Islay Street , San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

December 8, 2006 9:19 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
terre Dunivant
Islay Street
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

terre Dunivant
Islay Street , San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

December 8, 2006 9:19 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
terre Dunivant
Islay Street
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

terre Dunivant
Islay Street , San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

December 8, 2006 9:19 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
terre Dunivant
Islay Street
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Janet McGill
P.O, Box 748 , West Dennis, MA 02670

December 8, 2006 9:19 PM

Representative Bill Delahunt
U.S. House of Representatives
2454 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Delahunt,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Janet McGill
P.O, Box 748
West Dennis, MA 02670

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Janet McGill
P.O, Box 748 , West Dennis, MA 02670

December 8, 2006 9:19 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Janet McGill
P.O, Box 748
West Dennis, MA 02670

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Janet McGill
P.O, Box 748 , West Dennis, MA 02670

December 8, 2006 9:19 PM

Senator John Kerry
U.S. Senate
304 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kerry,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Janet McGill
P.O, Box 748
West Dennis, MA 02670

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Janet McGill
P.O, Box 748 , West Dennis, MA 02670

December 8, 2006 9:19 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Janet McGill
P.O, Box 748
West Dennis, MA 02670

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Janet McGill
P.O, Box 748 , West Dennis, MA 02670

December 8, 2006 9:19 PM

Representative Bill Delahunt
U.S. House of Representatives
2454 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Delahunt,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Janet McGill
P.O, Box 748
West Dennis, MA 02670

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dan Crowell
631 SW Evans , Portland, OR 97219

December 8, 2006 9:18 PM

Representative Darlene Hooley
U.S. House of Representatives
2430 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hooley,

Below is the form letter and I belive what this says, but it does not hit the core issues of net neutrality is required for any real chance of a continued democratic process in this country. In addition small business will be impacted and that will result in recession. Net neutrality is not a "nice to have", it is critical for a government "by the people" and continued economic success.

Form Letter:

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by

agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dan Crowell
631 SW Evans
Portland, OR 97219

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dan Crowell
631 SW Evans , Portland, OR 97219

December 8, 2006 9:18 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

Below is the form letter and I belive what this says, but it does not hit the core issues of net neutrality is required for any real chance of a continued democratic process in this country. In addition small business will be impacted and that will result in recession. Net neutrality is not a "nice to have", it is critical for a government "by the people" and continued economic success.

Form Letter:

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by

agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dan Crowell
631 SW Evans
Portland, OR 97219

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dan Crowell
631 SW Evans , Portland, OR 97219

December 8, 2006 9:18 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

Below is the form letter and I belive what this says, but it does not hit the core issues of net neutrality is required for any real chance of a continued democratic process in this country. In addition small business will be impacted and that will result in recession. Net neutrality is not a "nice to have", it is critical for a government "by the people" and continued economic success.

Form Letter:

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by

agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dan Crowell
631 SW Evans
Portland, OR 97219

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dan Crowell
631 SW Evans , Portland, OR 97219

December 8, 2006 9:18 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

Below is the form letter and I belive what this says, but it does not hit the core issues of net neutrality is required for any real chance of a continued democratic process in this country. In addition small business will be impacted and that will result in recession. Net neutrality is not a "nice to have", it is critical for a government "by the people" and continued economic success.

Form Letter:

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by

agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dan Crowell
631 SW Evans
Portland, OR 97219

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dan Crowell
631 SW Evans , Portland, OR 97219

December 8, 2006 9:18 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

Below is the form letter and I belive what this says, but it does not hit the core issues of net neutrality is required for any real chance of a continued democratic process in this country. In addition small business will be impacted and that will result in recession. Net neutrality is not a "nice to have", it is critical for a government "by the people" and continued economic success.

Form Letter:

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by

agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dan Crowell
631 SW Evans
Portland, OR 97219

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dan Crowell
631 SW Evans , Portland, OR 97219

December 8, 2006 9:18 PM

Representative Darlene Hooley
U.S. House of Representatives
2430 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hooley,

Below is the form letter and I belive what this says, but it does not hit the core issues of net neutrality is required for any real chance of a continued democratic process in this country. In addition small business will be impacted and that will result in recession. Net neutrality is not a "nice to have", it is critical for a government "by the people" and continued economic success.

Form Letter:

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by

agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dan Crowell
631 SW Evans
Portland, OR 97219

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Laurie Mucha
360 Wellington , Chicago, IL 60657

December 8, 2006 9:17 PM

Senator Barack Obama
U.S. Senate
713 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Laurie Mucha
360 Wellington
Chicago, IL 60657

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Laurie Mucha
360 Wellington , Chicago, IL 60657

December 8, 2006 9:17 PM

Representative Jan Schakowsky
U.S. House of Representatives
1027 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Schakowsky,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Laurie Mucha
360 Wellington
Chicago, IL 60657

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Catherine west
926 - 15th Ave , Seattle, WA 98122

December 8, 2006 9:17 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Catherine west
926 - 15th Ave
Seattle, WA 98122

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Laurie Mucha
360 Wellington , Chicago, IL 60657

December 8, 2006 9:17 PM

Representative Jan Schakowsky
U.S. House of Representatives
1027 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Schakowsky,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Laurie Mucha
360 Wellington
Chicago, IL 60657

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Catherine west
926 - 15th Ave , Seattle, WA 98122

December 8, 2006 9:17 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Catherine west
926 - 15th Ave
Seattle, WA 98122

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Laurie Mucha
360 Wellington , Chicago, IL 60657

December 8, 2006 9:17 PM

Senator Dick Durbin
U.S. Senate
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Laurie Mucha
360 Wellington
Chicago, IL 60657

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Catherine west
926 - 15th Ave , Seattle, WA 98122

December 8, 2006 9:17 PM

Representative Jim McDermott
U.S. House of Representatives
1035 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McDermott,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Catherine west
926 - 15th Ave
Seattle, WA 98122

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Catherine west
926 - 15th Ave , Seattle, WA 98122

December 8, 2006 9:17 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Catherine west
926 - 15th Ave
Seattle, WA 98122

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Catherine west
926 - 15th Ave , Seattle, WA 98122

December 8, 2006 9:17 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Catherine west
926 - 15th Ave
Seattle, WA 98122

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Catherine west
926 - 15th Ave , Seattle, WA 98122

December 8, 2006 9:17 PM

Representative Jim McDermott
U.S. House of Representatives
1035 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McDermott,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Catherine west
926 - 15th Ave
Seattle, WA 98122

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mark Shimpy
9644Oakland St. , Angola, NY 14006

December 8, 2006 9:14 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mark Shimpy
9644Oakland St.
Angola, NY 14006

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mark Shimpy
9644Oakland St. , Angola, NY 14006

December 8, 2006 9:14 PM

Representative Brian Higgins
U.S. House of Representatives
431 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Higgins,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mark Shimpy
9644Oakland St.
Angola, NY 14006

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mark Shimpy
9644Oakland St. , Angola, NY 14006

December 8, 2006 9:14 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mark Shimpy
9644Oakland St.
Angola, NY 14006

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Edward Stanke
3147 Mt. Isabel Ct. , San Jose, CA 95148

December 8, 2006 9:14 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Edward Stanke
3147 Mt. Isabel Ct.
San Jose, CA 95148

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Edward Stanke
3147 Mt. Isabel Ct. , San Jose, CA 95148

December 8, 2006 9:14 PM

Representative Zoe Lofgren
U.S. House of Representatives
102 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lofgren,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Edward Stanke
3147 Mt. Isabel Ct.
San Jose, CA 95148

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ronald Monson
2201 SE Maple Vally Hwy #59 , Renton, WA 98057-3930

December 8, 2006 9:14 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ronald Monson
2201 SE Maple Vally Hwy #59
Renton, WA 98057-3930

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ronald Monson
2201 SE Maple Vally Hwy #59 , Renton, WA 98057-3930

December 8, 2006 9:14 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ronald Monson
2201 SE Maple Vally Hwy #59
Renton, WA 98057-3930

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Anthony Pecora
10611 NW 9th Rd , Gainesville, FL 32606

December 8, 2006 9:14 PM

Representative Cliff Stearns
U.S. House of Representatives
2370 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Stearns,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Anthony Pecora
10611 NW 9th Rd
Gainesville, FL 32606

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Anthony Pecora
10611 NW 9th Rd , Gainesville, FL 32606

December 8, 2006 9:14 PM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Anthony Pecora
10611 NW 9th Rd
Gainesville, FL 32606

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Anthony Pecora
10611 NW 9th Rd , Gainesville, FL 32606

December 8, 2006 9:14 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Anthony Pecora
10611 NW 9th Rd
Gainesville, FL 32606

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Edward Stanke
3147 Mt. Isabel Ct. , San Jose, CA 95148

December 8, 2006 9:14 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Edward Stanke
3147 Mt. Isabel Ct.
San Jose, CA 95148

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Edward Stanke
3147 Mt. Isabel Ct. , San Jose, CA 95148

December 8, 2006 9:14 PM

Representative Zoe Lofgren
U.S. House of Representatives
102 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lofgren,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Edward Stanke
3147 Mt. Isabel Ct.
San Jose, CA 95148

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Edward Stanke
3147 Mt. Isabel Ct. , San Jose, CA 95148

December 8, 2006 9:14 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Edward Stanke
3147 Mt. Isabel Ct.
San Jose, CA 95148

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Anthony Pecora
10611 NW 9th Rd , Gainesville, FL 32606

December 8, 2006 9:14 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Anthony Pecora
10611 NW 9th Rd
Gainesville, FL 32606

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Anthony Pecora
10611 NW 9th Rd , Gainesville, FL 32606

December 8, 2006 9:14 PM

Representative Cliff Stearns
U.S. House of Representatives
2370 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Stearns,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Anthony Pecora
10611 NW 9th Rd
Gainesville, FL 32606

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mark Shimpy
9644Oakland St. , Angola, NY 14006

December 8, 2006 9:14 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mark Shimpy
9644Oakland St.
Angola, NY 14006

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mark Shimpy
9644Oakland St. , Angola, NY 14006

December 8, 2006 9:14 PM

Representative Brian Higgins
U.S. House of Representatives
431 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Higgins,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mark Shimpy
9644Oakland St.
Angola, NY 14006

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ronald Monson
2201 SE Maple Vally Hwy #59 , Renton, WA 98057-3930

December 8, 2006 9:14 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ronald Monson
2201 SE Maple Vally Hwy #59
Renton, WA 98057-3930

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ronald Monson
2201 SE Maple Vally Hwy #59 , Renton, WA 98057-3930

December 8, 2006 9:14 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ronald Monson
2201 SE Maple Vally Hwy #59
Renton, WA 98057-3930

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

franchezska zamora
403 n. catalina ave , pasadena, CA 91106

December 8, 2006 9:13 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
franchezska zamora
403 n. catalina ave
pasadena, CA 91106

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

franchezska zamora
403 n. catalina ave , pasadena, CA 91106

December 8, 2006 9:13 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
franchezska zamora
403 n. catalina ave
pasadena, CA 91106

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

franchezska zamora
403 n. catalina ave , pasadena, CA 91106

December 8, 2006 9:13 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
franchezska zamora
403 n. catalina ave
pasadena, CA 91106

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

franchezska zamora
403 n. catalina ave , pasadena, CA 91106

December 8, 2006 9:13 PM

Representative Adam Schiff
U.S. House of Representatives
326 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Schiff,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
franchezska zamora
403 n. catalina ave
pasadena, CA 91106

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Rena Baskin
15 Franklin Street , Watertown, MA 02472

December 8, 2006 9:11 PM

Representative Ed Markey
U.S. House of Representatives
2108 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Markey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Rena Baskin
15 Franklin Street
Watertown, MA 02472

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Rena Baskin
15 Franklin Street , Watertown, MA 02472

December 8, 2006 9:11 PM

Senator John Kerry
U.S. Senate
304 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kerry,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Rena Baskin
15 Franklin Street
Watertown, MA 02472

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Rena Baskin
15 Franklin Street , Watertown, MA 02472

December 8, 2006 9:11 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Rena Baskin
15 Franklin Street
Watertown, MA 02472

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Rena Baskin
15 Franklin Street , Watertown, MA 02472

December 8, 2006 9:11 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Rena Baskin
15 Franklin Street
Watertown, MA 02472

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Rena Baskin
15 Franklin Street , Watertown, MA 02472

December 8, 2006 9:11 PM

Representative Ed Markey
U.S. House of Representatives
2108 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Markey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Rena Baskin
15 Franklin Street
Watertown, MA 02472

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Linda Ferland
60 N. Laurel St. , Ventura, CA 93001

December 8, 2006 9:09 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Linda Ferland
60 N. Laurel St.
Ventura, CA 93001

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Hilda Bolden
P O Box 12165 , Marina Del Rey, CA 90295

December 8, 2006 9:09 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Hilda Bolden
P O Box 12165
Marina Del Rey, CA 90295

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Hilda Bolden
P O Box 12165 , Marina Del Rey, CA 90295

December 8, 2006 9:09 PM

Representative Jane Harman
U.S. House of Representatives
2400 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Harman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Hilda Bolden
P O Box 12165
Marina Del Rey, CA 90295

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Hilda Bolden
P O Box 12165 , Marina Del Rey, CA 90295

December 8, 2006 9:09 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Hilda Bolden
P O Box 12165
Marina Del Rey, CA 90295

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Linda Ferland
60 N. Laurel St. , Ventura, CA 93001

December 8, 2006 9:09 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Linda Ferland
60 N. Laurel St.
Ventura, CA 93001

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Hilda Bolden
P O Box 12165 , Marina Del Rey, CA 90295

December 8, 2006 9:09 PM

Representative Jane Harman
U.S. House of Representatives
2400 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Harman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Hilda Bolden
P O Box 12165
Marina Del Rey, CA 90295

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Linda Ferland
60 N. Laurel St. , Ventura, CA 93001

December 8, 2006 9:09 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Linda Ferland
60 N. Laurel St.
Ventura, CA 93001

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Hilda Bolden
P O Box 12165 , Marina Del Rey, CA 90295

December 8, 2006 9:09 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Hilda Bolden
P O Box 12165
Marina Del Rey, CA 90295

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ben W. Twight, Ph.D.
5304 Baker Ave. NW , Seattle, WA, WA 98107-2068

December 8, 2006 9:09 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Merger Permission for Bell South & ATT

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, must be stopped!!. The FCC's job is to to protect consumers from MONOPOLY AND ANTI-COMPETITIVE MERGERS. NET-NEUTRALITY MUST BE PROTECTED.

The INTERNET IS A PUBLICLY DEVELOPED AND OWNED RESOURCE.

ALLOWING COMMERCIAL PRACTICES WHICH FAVOR COMMERCIAL EXPLOITATION AND FAVORTISM BY TELEPHONE INDUSTRY GIANTS IS A VIOLATION OF THE FCC'S PUBLIC TRUST.

ACTIONS CONTRARY TO THE PUBLIC TRUST MUST BE DEALT WITH SEVERELY BY ALL PUBLIC MEANS POSSIBLE, INCLUDING BUDGET CUTS, PERSONAL LAWSUITS AGAINST COMMISSIONERS, AND ALL OTHER POSSIBLE FORMS OF RETRIBUTION.

CHEATING THE PUBLIC OF ITS RIGHT TO A NEUTRAL INTERNET AND OTHER FORMS OF RELATED CORRUPTION MUST BE PUNISHED IMMEDIATELY.

Sincerely, Ben W. Twight, (retired professor of public policy--Penn State U)

Sincerely,
Ben W. Twight, Ph.D.
5304 Baker Ave. NW
Seattle, WA, WA 98107-2068

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ben W. Twight, Ph.D.
5304 Baker Ave. NW , Seattle, WA, WA 98107-2068

December 8, 2006 9:09 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Merger Permission for Bell South & ATT

Dear Senator Murray,

The proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, must be stopped!!. The FCC's job is to to protect consumers from MONOPOLY AND ANTI-COMPETITIVE MERGERS. NET-NEUTRALITY MUST BE PROTECTED.

The INTERNET IS A PUBLICLY DEVELOPED AND OWNED RESOURCE.

ALLOWING COMMERCIAL PRACTICES WHICH FAVOR COMMERCIAL EXPLOITATION AND FAVORTISM BY TELEPHONE INDUSTRY GIANTS IS A VIOLATION OF THE FCC'S PUBLIC TRUST.

ACTIONS CONTRARY TO THE PUBLIC TRUST MUST BE DEALT WITH SEVERELY BY ALL PUBLIC MEANS POSSIBLE, INCLUDING BUDGET CUTS, PERSONAL LAWSUITS AGAINST COMMISSIONERS, AND ALL OTHER POSSIBLE FORMS OF RETRIBUTION.

CHEATING THE PUBLIC OF ITS RIGHT TO A NEUTRAL INTERNET AND OTHER FORMS OF RELATED CORRUPTION MUST BE PUNISHED IMMEDIATELY.

Sincerely, Ben W. Twight, (retired professor of public policy--Penn State U)

Sincerely,
Ben W. Twight, Ph.D.
5304 Baker Ave. NW
Seattle, WA, WA 98107-2068

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Linda Ferland
60 N. Laurel St. , Ventura, CA 93001

December 8, 2006 9:09 PM

Representative Elton Gallegly
U.S. House of Representatives
2427 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Gallegly,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Linda Ferland
60 N. Laurel St.
Ventura, CA 93001

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ben W. Twight, Ph.D.
5304 Baker Ave. NW , Seattle, WA, WA 98107-2068

December 8, 2006 9:09 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Merger Permission for Bell South & ATT

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, must be stopped!!. The FCC's job is to to protect consumers from MONOPOLY AND ANTI-COMPETITIVE MERGERS. NET-NEUTRALITY MUST BE PROTECTED.

The INTERNET IS A PUBLICLY DEVELOPED AND OWNED RESOURCE.

ALLOWING COMMERCIAL PRACTICES WHICH FAVOR COMMERCIAL EXPLOITATION AND FAVORTISM BY TELEPHONE INDUSTRY GIANTS IS A VIOLATION OF THE FCC'S PUBLIC TRUST.

ACTIONS CONTRARY TO THE PUBLIC TRUST MUST BE DEALT WITH SEVERELY BY ALL PUBLIC MEANS POSSIBLE, INCLUDING BUDGET CUTS, PERSONAL LAWSUITS AGAINST COMMISSIONERS, AND ALL OTHER POSSIBLE FORMS OF RETRIBUTION.

CHEATING THE PUBLIC OF ITS RIGHT TO A NEUTRAL INTERNET AND OTHER FORMS OF RELATED CORRUPTION MUST BE PUNISHED IMMEDIATELY.

Sincerely, Ben W. Twight, (retired professor of public policy--Penn State U)

Sincerely,
Ben W. Twight, Ph.D.
5304 Baker Ave. NW
Seattle, WA, WA 98107-2068

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ben W. Twight, Ph.D.
5304 Baker Ave. NW , Seattle, WA, WA 98107-2068

December 8, 2006 9:09 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Merger Permission for Bell South & ATT

Dear Senator Murray,

The proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, must be stopped!!. The FCC's job is to to protect consumers from MONOPOLY AND ANTI-COMPETITIVE MERGERS. NET-NEUTRALITY MUST BE PROTECTED.

The INTERNET IS A PUBLICLY DEVELOPED AND OWNED RESOURCE.

ALLOWING COMMERCIAL PRACTICES WHICH FAVOR COMMERCIAL EXPLOITATION AND FAVORTISM BY TELEPHONE INDUSTRY GIANTS IS A VIOLATION OF THE FCC'S PUBLIC TRUST.

ACTIONS CONTRARY TO THE PUBLIC TRUST MUST BE DEALT WITH SEVERELY BY ALL PUBLIC MEANS POSSIBLE, INCLUDING BUDGET CUTS, PERSONAL LAWSUITS AGAINST COMMISSIONERS, AND ALL OTHER POSSIBLE FORMS OF RETRIBUTION.

CHEATING THE PUBLIC OF ITS RIGHT TO A NEUTRAL INTERNET AND OTHER FORMS OF RELATED CORRUPTION MUST BE PUNISHED IMMEDIATELY.

Sincerely, Ben W. Twight, (retired professor of public policy--Penn State U)

Sincerely,
Ben W. Twight, Ph.D.
5304 Baker Ave. NW
Seattle, WA, WA 98107-2068

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Beth Sweetwater
1639 Cat Lane , Santa Maria, CA 93454

December 8, 2006 9:08 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

This matter discussed below is of considerable interest to me. I find the lack of ethical behavior in the last few years astounding and I, as a citizen and voter strongly protest the mentioned letter below that is anti-consumer and pro-business. This unbalance is breaking not uniting this country. I ask you to not change the good faith negociations and force Robert McDowell's vote. As seen in the recent election, we, the people, are not happy with what is going on. Thank you.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by

agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Beth Sweetwater
1639 Cat Lane
Santa Maria, CA 93454

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Beth Sweetwater
1639 Cat Lane , Santa Maria, CA 93454

December 8, 2006 9:08 PM

Representative Lois Capps
U.S. House of Representatives
1707 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Capps,

This matter discussed below is of considerable interest to me. I find the lack of ethical behavior in the last few years astounding and I, as a citizen and voter strongly protest the mentioned letter below that is anti-consumer and pro-business. This unbalance is breaking not uniting this country. I ask you to not change the good faith negociations and force Robert McDowell's vote. As seen in the recent election, we, the people, are not happy with what is going on. Thank you.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by

agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Beth Sweetwater
1639 Cat Lane
Santa Maria, CA 93454

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Thomas Heidel
N3051 Ricardo Dr. , Neosho, WI 53059

December 8, 2006 9:08 PM

Representative Tom Petri
U.S. House of Representatives
2462 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Petri,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Thomas Heidel
N3051 Ricardo Dr.
Neosho, WI 53059

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Beth Sweetwater
1639 Cat Lane , Santa Maria, CA 93454

December 8, 2006 9:08 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

This matter discussed below is of considerable interest to me. I find the lack of ethical behavior in the last few years astounding and I, as a citizen and voter strongly protest the mentioned letter below that is anti-consumer and pro-business. This unbalance is breaking not uniting this country. I ask you to not change the good faith negociations and force Robert McDowell's vote. As seen in the recent election, we, the people, are not happy with what is going on. Thank you.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by

agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Beth Sweetwater
1639 Cat Lane
Santa Maria, CA 93454

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Beth Sweetwater
1639 Cat Lane , Santa Maria, CA 93454

December 8, 2006 9:08 PM

Representative Lois Capps
U.S. House of Representatives
1707 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Capps,

This matter discussed below is of considerable interest to me. I find the lack of ethical behavior in the last few years astounding and I, as a citizen and voter strongly protest the mentioned letter below that is anti-consumer and pro-business. This unbalance is breaking not uniting this country. I ask you to not change the good faith negociations and force Robert McDowell's vote. As seen in the recent election, we, the people, are not happy with what is going on. Thank you.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by

agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Beth Sweetwater
1639 Cat Lane
Santa Maria, CA 93454

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Beth Sweetwater
1639 Cat Lane , Santa Maria, CA 93454

December 8, 2006 9:08 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

This matter discussed below is of considerable interest to me. I find the lack of ethical behavior in the last few years astounding and I, as a citizen and voter strongly protest the mentioned letter below that is anti-consumer and pro-business. This unbalance is breaking not uniting this country. I ask you to not change the good faith negociations and force Robert McDowell's vote. As seen in the recent election, we, the people, are not happy with what is going on. Thank you.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by

agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Beth Sweetwater
1639 Cat Lane
Santa Maria, CA 93454

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Thomas Heidel
N3051 Ricardo Dr. , Neosho, WI 53059

December 8, 2006 9:08 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Thomas Heidel
N3051 Ricardo Dr.
Neosho, WI 53059

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Thomas Heidel
N3051 Ricardo Dr. , Neosho, WI 53059

December 8, 2006 9:08 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Thomas Heidel
N3051 Ricardo Dr.
Neosho, WI 53059

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Thomas Heidel
N3051 Ricardo Dr. , Neosho, WI 53059

December 8, 2006 9:08 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Thomas Heidel
N3051 Ricardo Dr.
Neosho, WI 53059

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Thomas Heidel
N3051 Ricardo Dr. , Neosho, WI 53059

December 8, 2006 9:08 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Thomas Heidel
N3051 Ricardo Dr.
Neosho, WI 53059

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Thomas Heidel
N3051 Ricardo Dr. , Neosho, WI 53059

December 8, 2006 9:08 PM

Representative Tom Petri
U.S. House of Representatives
2462 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Petri,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Thomas Heidel
N3051 Ricardo Dr.
Neosho, WI 53059

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Paul Takessian
585 Glendale Circle , Ann Arbor, MI 48103-4177

December 8, 2006 9:07 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Paul Takessian
585 Glendale Circle
Ann Arbor, MI 48103-4177

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Paul Takessian
585 Glendale Circle , Ann Arbor, MI 48103-4177

December 8, 2006 9:07 PM

Senator Carl Levin
U.S. Senate
269 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Levin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Paul Takessian
585 Glendale Circle
Ann Arbor, MI 48103-4177

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Paul Takessian
585 Glendale Circle , Ann Arbor, MI 48103-4177

December 8, 2006 9:07 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Paul Takessian
585 Glendale Circle
Ann Arbor, MI 48103-4177

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Linda Lockhorn
14712 SE 16th Circle , Vancouver, WA 98683

December 8, 2006 9:06 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Linda Lockhorn
14712 SE 16th Circle
Vancouver, WA 98683

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Linda Lockhorn
14712 SE 16th Circle , Vancouver, WA 98683

December 8, 2006 9:06 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Linda Lockhorn
14712 SE 16th Circle
Vancouver, WA 98683

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Linda Lockhorn
14712 SE 16th Circle , Vancouver, WA 98683

December 8, 2006 9:06 PM

Representative Brian Baird
U.S. House of Representatives
1421 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Baird,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Linda Lockhorn
14712 SE 16th Circle
Vancouver, WA 98683

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Linda Lockhorn
14712 SE 16th Circle , Vancouver, WA 98683

December 8, 2006 9:06 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Linda Lockhorn
14712 SE 16th Circle
Vancouver, WA 98683

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Linda Lockhorn
14712 SE 16th Circle , Vancouver, WA 98683

December 8, 2006 9:06 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Linda Lockhorn
14712 SE 16th Circle
Vancouver, WA 98683

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Linda Lockhorn
14712 SE 16th Circle , Vancouver, WA 98683

December 8, 2006 9:06 PM

Representative Brian Baird
U.S. House of Representatives
1421 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Baird,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Linda Lockhorn
14712 SE 16th Circle
Vancouver, WA 98683

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

/virginia P. Walker
5937 West Tucson Estates Pkwy , Tucson, AZ 85713

December 8, 2006 9:05 PM

Senator Jon Kyl
U.S. Senate
730 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
/virginia P. Walker
5937 West Tucson Estates Pkwy
Tucson, AZ 85713

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

/virginia P. Walker
5937 West Tucson Estates Pkwy , Tucson, AZ 85713

December 8, 2006 9:05 PM

Senator John McCain
U.S. Senate
241 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
/virginia P. Walker
5937 West Tucson Estates Pkwy
Tucson, AZ 85713

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

/virginia P. Walker
5937 West Tucson Estates Pkwy , Tucson, AZ 85713

December 8, 2006 9:05 PM

Representative Raul Grijalva
U.S. House of Representatives
1440 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Grijalva,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
/virginia P. Walker
5937 West Tucson Estates Pkwy
Tucson, AZ 85713

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy Ruther
29 Rue Royale, Apt. C , Kettering, OH 45429

December 8, 2006 9:05 PM

Representative Michael Turner
U.S. House of Representatives
1740 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Turner,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy Ruther
29 Rue Royale, Apt. C
Kettering, OH 45429

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

/virginia P. Walker
5937 West Tucson Estates Pkwy , Tucson, AZ 85713

December 8, 2006 9:05 PM

Senator Jon Kyl
U.S. Senate
730 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
/virginia P. Walker
5937 West Tucson Estates Pkwy
Tucson, AZ 85713

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

/virginia P. Walker
5937 West Tucson Estates Pkwy , Tucson, AZ 85713

December 8, 2006 9:05 PM

Representative Raul Grijalva
U.S. House of Representatives
1440 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Grijalva,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
/virginia P. Walker
5937 West Tucson Estates Pkwy
Tucson, AZ 85713

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy Ruther
29 Rue Royale, Apt. C , Kettering, OH 45429

December 8, 2006 9:05 PM

Senator Mike DeWine
U.S. Senate
140 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy Ruther
29 Rue Royale, Apt. C
Kettering, OH 45429

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy Ruther
29 Rue Royale, Apt. C , Kettering, OH 45429

December 8, 2006 9:05 PM

Senator George Voinovich
U.S. Senate
524 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Voinovich,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy Ruther
29 Rue Royale, Apt. C
Kettering, OH 45429

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

/virginia P. Walker
5937 West Tucson Estates Pkwy , Tucson, AZ 85713

December 8, 2006 9:05 PM

Senator John McCain
U.S. Senate
241 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
/virginia P. Walker
5937 West Tucson Estates Pkwy
Tucson, AZ 85713

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Claus Cardinal
37 Washington Drive , Watchung, NJ 07069

December 8, 2006 9:05 PM

Senator Frank Lautenberg
U.S. Senate
324 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Claus Cardinal
37 Washington Drive
Watchung, NJ 07069

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy Ruther
29 Rue Royale, Apt. C , Kettering, OH 45429

December 8, 2006 9:05 PM

Senator Mike DeWine
U.S. Senate
140 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy Ruther
29 Rue Royale, Apt. C
Kettering, OH 45429

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy Ruther
29 Rue Royale, Apt. C , Kettering, OH 45429

December 8, 2006 9:05 PM

Representative Michael Turner
U.S. House of Representatives
1740 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Turner,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy Ruther
29 Rue Royale, Apt. C
Kettering, OH 45429

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Claus Cardinal
37 Washington Drive , Watchung, NJ 07069

December 8, 2006 9:05 PM

Senator Robert Menendez
U.S. Senate
502 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Claus Cardinal
37 Washington Drive
Watchung, NJ 07069

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Claus Cardinal
37 Washington Drive , Watchung, NJ 07069

December 8, 2006 9:05 PM

Representative Mike Ferguson
U.S. House of Representatives
214 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Ferguson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Claus Cardinal
37 Washington Drive
Watchung, NJ 07069

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Claus Cardinal
37 Washington Drive , Watchung, NJ 07069

December 8, 2006 9:05 PM

Senator Frank Lautenberg
U.S. Senate
324 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Claus Cardinal
37 Washington Drive
Watchung, NJ 07069

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Claus Cardinal
37 Washington Drive , Watchung, NJ 07069

December 8, 2006 9:05 PM

Senator Robert Menendez
U.S. Senate
502 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Claus Cardinal
37 Washington Drive
Watchung, NJ 07069

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Alex Foertsch
3132 Clovermeadow Dr , Fort Worth, TX 76123

December 8, 2006 9:03 PM

Representative Kay Granger
U.S. House of Representatives
440 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Granger,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alex Foertsch
3132 Clovermeadow Dr
Fort Worth, TX 76123

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Richard Tripp
5550 Maple Ln. , Rives Jct., MI 49277

December 8, 2006 9:03 PM

Representative Joe Schwarz
U. S. House of Representatives
128 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Schwarz,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Richard Tripp
5550 Maple Ln.
Rives Jct., MI 49277

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Alex Foertsch
3132 Clovermeadow Dr , Fort Worth, TX 76123

December 8, 2006 9:03 PM

Senator John Cornyn
U.S. Senate
517 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alex Foertsch
3132 Clovermeadow Dr
Fort Worth, TX 76123

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Richard Tripp
5550 Maple Ln. , Rives Jct., MI 49277

December 8, 2006 9:03 PM

Senator Carl Levin
U.S. Senate
269 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Levin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Richard Tripp
5550 Maple Ln.
Rives Jct., MI 49277

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Alex Foertsch
3132 Clovermeadow Dr , Fort Worth, TX 76123

December 8, 2006 9:03 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alex Foertsch
3132 Clovermeadow Dr
Fort Worth, TX 76123

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Richard Tripp
5550 Maple Ln. , Rives Jct., MI 49277

December 8, 2006 9:03 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Richard Tripp
5550 Maple Ln.
Rives Jct., MI 49277

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Richard Tripp
5550 Maple Ln. , Rives Jct., MI 49277

December 8, 2006 9:03 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Richard Tripp
5550 Maple Ln.
Rives Jct., MI 49277

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Richard Tripp
5550 Maple Ln. , Rives Jct., MI 49277

December 8, 2006 9:03 PM

Representative Joe Schwarz
U. S. House of Representatives
128 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Schwarz,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Richard Tripp
5550 Maple Ln.
Rives Jct., MI 49277

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Williams
9315 Dean Rd. , Vermilion, OH 44089

December 8, 2006 9:02 PM

Senator George Voinovich
U.S. Senate
524 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Voinovich,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Williams
9315 Dean Rd.
Vermilion, OH 44089

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Williams
9315 Dean Rd. , Vermilion, OH 44089

December 8, 2006 9:02 PM

Representative Marcy Kaptur
U.S. House of Representatives
2366 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Kaptur,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Williams
9315 Dean Rd.
Vermilion, OH 44089

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Williams
9315 Dean Rd. , Vermilion, OH 44089

December 8, 2006 9:02 PM

Senator Mike DeWine
U.S. Senate
140 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Williams
9315 Dean Rd.
Vermilion, OH 44089

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Williams
9315 Dean Rd. , Vermilion, OH 44089

December 8, 2006 9:02 PM

Senator Mike DeWine
U.S. Senate
140 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Williams
9315 Dean Rd.
Vermilion, OH 44089

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Alex Foertsch
3132 Clovermeadow Dr , Fort Worth, TX 76123

December 8, 2006 9:02 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alex Foertsch
3132 Clovermeadow Dr
Fort Worth, TX 76123

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Alex Foertsch
3132 Clovermeadow Dr , Fort Worth, TX 76123

December 8, 2006 9:02 PM

Representative Kay Granger
U.S. House of Representatives
440 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Granger,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alex Foertsch
3132 Clovermeadow Dr
Fort Worth, TX 76123

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Roy Moss
7000A Monument Drive , Grants Pass, OR 97526

December 8, 2006 9:01 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Roy Moss
7000A Monument Drive
Grants Pass, OR 97526

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Roy Moss
7000A Monument Drive , Grants Pass, OR 97526

December 8, 2006 9:01 PM

Representative Peter DeFazio
U.S. House of Representatives
2134 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative DeFazio,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Roy Moss
7000A Monument Drive
Grants Pass, OR 97526

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Roy Moss
7000A Monument Drive , Grants Pass, OR 97526

December 8, 2006 9:01 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Roy Moss
7000A Monument Drive
Grants Pass, OR 97526

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mark Smith
1214 E Hampton Way , Fresno, CA 93704

December 8, 2006 9:01 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mark Smith
1214 E Hampton Way
Fresno, CA 93704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mark Smith
1214 E Hampton Way , Fresno, CA 93704

December 8, 2006 9:01 PM

Representative George Radanovich
U.S. House of Representatives
438 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Radanovich,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mark Smith
1214 E Hampton Way
Fresno, CA 93704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bob & Marge Manke
1284 Willow Ave , Louisville, KY 40204

December 8, 2006 9:01 PM

Senator Jim Bunning
U.S. Senate
316 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bunning,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bob %pa_first_name% Marge Manke
1284 Willow Ave
Louisville, KY 40204

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bob & Marge Manke
1284 Willow Ave , Louisville, KY 40204

December 8, 2006 9:01 PM

Senator Mitch McConnell
U.S. Senate
361-A Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McConnell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bob %pa_first_name% Marge Manke
1284 Willow Ave
Louisville, KY 40204

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bob & Marge Manke
1284 Willow Ave , Louisville, KY 40204

December 8, 2006 9:01 PM

Representative Anne Northup
U.S. House of Representatives
2459 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Northup,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bob %pa_first_name% Marge Manke
1284 Willow Ave
Louisville, KY 40204

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Darryl & Diana Boom
PO Box 328 , Lake Oswego, OR 97034

December 8, 2006 9:01 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Darryl %pa_first_name% Diana Boom
PO Box 328
Lake Oswego, OR 97034

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Darryl & Diana Boom
PO Box 328 , Lake Oswego, OR 97034

December 8, 2006 9:01 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Darryl %pa_first_name% Diana Boom
PO Box 328
Lake Oswego, OR 97034

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Darryl & Diana Boom
PO Box 328 , Lake Oswego, OR 97034

December 8, 2006 9:01 PM

Representative Darlene Hooley
U.S. House of Representatives
2430 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hooley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Darryl %pa_first_name% Diana Boom
PO Box 328
Lake Oswego, OR 97034

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mark Smith
1214 E Hampton Way , Fresno, CA 93704

December 8, 2006 9:01 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mark Smith
1214 E Hampton Way
Fresno, CA 93704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mark Smith
1214 E Hampton Way , Fresno, CA 93704

December 8, 2006 9:01 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mark Smith
1214 E Hampton Way
Fresno, CA 93704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mark Smith
1214 E Hampton Way , Fresno, CA 93704

December 8, 2006 9:01 PM

Representative George Radanovich
U.S. House of Representatives
438 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Radanovich,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mark Smith
1214 E Hampton Way
Fresno, CA 93704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bob & Marge Manke
1284 Willow Ave , Louisville, KY 40204

December 8, 2006 9:01 PM

Senator Mitch McConnell
U.S. Senate
361-A Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McConnell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bob %pa_first_name% Marge Manke
1284 Willow Ave
Louisville, KY 40204

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bob & Marge Manke
1284 Willow Ave , Louisville, KY 40204

December 8, 2006 9:01 PM

Representative Anne Northup
U.S. House of Representatives
2459 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Northup,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bob %pa_first_name% Marge Manke
1284 Willow Ave
Louisville, KY 40204

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Darryl & Diana Boom
PO Box 328 , Lake Oswego, OR 97034

December 8, 2006 9:01 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Darryl %pa_first_name% Diana Boom
PO Box 328
Lake Oswego, OR 97034

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Roy Moss
7000A Monument Drive , Grants Pass, OR 97526

December 8, 2006 9:01 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Roy Moss
7000A Monument Drive
Grants Pass, OR 97526

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Darryl & Diana Boom
PO Box 328 , Lake Oswego, OR 97034

December 8, 2006 9:01 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Darryl %pa_first_name% Diana Boom
PO Box 328
Lake Oswego, OR 97034

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Roy Moss
7000A Monument Drive , Grants Pass, OR 97526

December 8, 2006 9:01 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Roy Moss
7000A Monument Drive
Grants Pass, OR 97526

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Roy Moss
7000A Monument Drive , Grants Pass, OR 97526

December 8, 2006 9:01 PM

Representative Peter DeFazio
U.S. House of Representatives
2134 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative DeFazio,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Roy Moss
7000A Monument Drive
Grants Pass, OR 97526

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Darryl & Diana Boom
PO Box 328 , Lake Oswego, OR 97034

December 8, 2006 9:01 PM

Representative Darlene Hooley
U.S. House of Representatives
2430 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hooley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Darryl %pa_first_name% Diana Boom
PO Box 328
Lake Oswego, OR 97034

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jackie Knott
1050A Ridgewood Bend , Canyon Lake, TX 78133

December 8, 2006 9:00 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,

Jackie Knott 1050A Ridgewood Bend Canyon Lake, TX 78133

Sincerely,
Jackie Knott
1050A Ridgewood Bend
Canyon Lake, TX 78133

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Linda Pruetting
3 James Ct , Sidney, IL 61877

December 8, 2006 9:00 PM

Senator Barack Obama
U.S. Senate
713 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Linda Pruetting
3 James Ct
Sidney, IL 61877

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Linda Pruetting
3 James Ct , Sidney, IL 61877

December 8, 2006 9:00 PM

Senator Dick Durbin
U.S. Senate
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Linda Pruetting
3 James Ct
Sidney, IL 61877

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gary Davenport
3065 Windfield Circle , Tucker, GA 30084

December 8, 2006 9:00 PM

Senator Saxby Chambliss
U.S. Senate
416 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Chambliss,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gary Davenport
3065 Windfield Circle
Tucker, GA 30084

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gary Davenport
3065 Windfield Circle , Tucker, GA 30084

December 8, 2006 9:00 PM

Representative Cynthia McKinney
U.S. House of Representatives
320 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McKinney,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gary Davenport
3065 Windfield Circle
Tucker, GA 30084

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gary Davenport
3065 Windfield Circle , Tucker, GA 30084

December 8, 2006 9:00 PM

Senator Johnny Isakson
U.S. Senate
120 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gary Davenport
3065 Windfield Circle
Tucker, GA 30084

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jackie Knott
1050A Ridgewood Bend , Canyon Lake, TX 78133

December 8, 2006 9:00 PM

Senator John Cornyn
U.S. Senate
517 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,

Jackie Knott 1050A Ridgewood Bend Canyon Lake, TX 78133

Sincerely,
Jackie Knott
1050A Ridgewood Bend
Canyon Lake, TX 78133

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jackie Knott
1050A Ridgewood Bend , Canyon Lake, TX 78133

December 8, 2006 9:00 PM

Representative Lamar Smith
U.S. House of Representatives
2184 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,

Jackie Knott 1050A Ridgewood Bend Canyon Lake, TX 78133

Sincerely,
Jackie Knott
1050A Ridgewood Bend
Canyon Lake, TX 78133

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Linda Pruetting
3 James Ct , Sidney, IL 61877

December 8, 2006 9:00 PM

Representative Timothy Johnson
U.S. House of Representatives
1229 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Johnson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Linda Pruetting
3 James Ct
Sidney, IL 61877

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Richard Carano
8616 Raintree Run , Poland, OH 44514

December 8, 2006 9:00 PM

Representative Tim Ryan
U.S. House of Representatives
222 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Ryan,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Richard Carano
8616 Raintree Run
Poland, OH 44514

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Richard Carano
8616 Raintree Run , Poland, OH 44514

December 8, 2006 9:00 PM

Senator George Voinovich
U.S. Senate
524 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Voinovich,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Richard Carano
8616 Raintree Run
Poland, OH 44514

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Richard Carano
8616 Raintree Run , Poland, OH 44514

December 8, 2006 9:00 PM

Senator Mike DeWine
U.S. Senate
140 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Richard Carano
8616 Raintree Run
Poland, OH 44514

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gary Davenport
3065 Windfield Circle , Tucker, GA 30084

December 8, 2006 9:00 PM

Senator Johnny Isakson
U.S. Senate
120 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gary Davenport
3065 Windfield Circle
Tucker, GA 30084

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gary Davenport
3065 Windfield Circle , Tucker, GA 30084

December 8, 2006 9:00 PM

Representative Cynthia McKinney
U.S. House of Representatives
320 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McKinney,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gary Davenport
3065 Windfield Circle
Tucker, GA 30084

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Richard Carano
8616 Raintree Run , Poland, OH 44514

December 8, 2006 9:00 PM

Senator Mike DeWine
U.S. Senate
140 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Richard Carano
8616 Raintree Run
Poland, OH 44514

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Richard Carano
8616 Raintree Run , Poland, OH 44514

December 8, 2006 9:00 PM

Representative Tim Ryan
U.S. House of Representatives
222 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Ryan,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Richard Carano
8616 Raintree Run
Poland, OH 44514

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jackie Knott
1050A Ridgewood Bend , Canyon Lake, TX 78133

December 8, 2006 9:00 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,

Jackie Knott 1050A Ridgewood Bend Canyon Lake, TX 78133

Sincerely,
Jackie Knott
1050A Ridgewood Bend
Canyon Lake, TX 78133

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Linda Pruetting
3 James Ct , Sidney, IL 61877

December 8, 2006 9:00 PM

Representative Timothy Johnson
U.S. House of Representatives
1229 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Johnson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Linda Pruetting
3 James Ct
Sidney, IL 61877

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mark Gutman
HC02-186 , Gakona, AK 99586

December 8, 2006 8:59 PM

Senator Lisa Murkowski
U.S. Senate
709 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murkowski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mark Gutman
HC02-186
Gakona, AK 99586

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ellen Gameral
604 Sierra Drive , Beverly Hills, CA 90210-3522

December 8, 2006 8:59 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ellen Gameral
604 Sierra Drive
Beverly Hills, CA 90210-3522

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mark Gutman
HC02-186 , Gakona, AK 99586

December 8, 2006 8:59 PM

Senator Ted Stevens
U.S. Senate
522 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stevens,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mark Gutman
HC02-186
Gakona, AK 99586

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ellen Gameral
604 Sierra Drive , Beverly Hills, CA 90210-3522

December 8, 2006 8:59 PM

Representative Henry Waxman
U.S. House of Representatives
2204 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Waxman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ellen Gameral
604 Sierra Drive
Beverly Hills, CA 90210-3522

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ellen Gameral
604 Sierra Drive , Beverly Hills, CA 90210-3522

December 8, 2006 8:59 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ellen Gameral
604 Sierra Drive
Beverly Hills, CA 90210-3522

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mark Gutman
HC02-186 , Gakona, AK 99586

December 8, 2006 8:59 PM

Senator Lisa Murkowski
U.S. Senate
709 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murkowski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mark Gutman
HC02-186
Gakona, AK 99586

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mark Gutman
HC02-186 , Gakona, AK 99586

December 8, 2006 8:59 PM

Representative Don Young
U.S. House of Representatives
2111 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Young,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mark Gutman
HC02-186
Gakona, AK 99586

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ellen Gameral
604 Sierra Drive , Beverly Hills, CA 90210-3522

December 8, 2006 8:59 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ellen Gameral
604 Sierra Drive
Beverly Hills, CA 90210-3522

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

mary fisher
2434 pinenut dr , sterling heights, MI 48310

December 8, 2006 8:57 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
mary fisher
2434 pinenut dr
sterling heights, MI 48310

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Arian Albear
1000 SW 62Blv apt 124 , gainesville, FL 32607

December 8, 2006 8:57 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Arian Albear
1000 SW 62Blv apt 124
gainesville, FL 32607

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

mary fisher
2434 pinenut dr , sterling heights, MI 48310

December 8, 2006 8:57 PM

Senator Carl Levin
U.S. Senate
269 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Levin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
mary fisher
2434 pinenut dr
sterling heights, MI 48310

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

mary fisher
2434 pinenut dr , sterling heights, MI 48310

December 8, 2006 8:57 PM

Representative Sandy Levin
U.S. House of Representatives
2300 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Levin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
mary fisher
2434 pinenut dr
sterling heights, MI 48310

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

mary fisher
2434 pinenut dr , sterling heights, MI 48310

December 8, 2006 8:57 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
mary fisher
2434 pinenut dr
sterling heights, MI 48310

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

mary fisher
2434 pinenut dr , sterling heights, MI 48310

December 8, 2006 8:57 PM

Representative Sandy Levin
U.S. House of Representatives
2300 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Levin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
mary fisher
2434 pinenut dr
sterling heights, MI 48310

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

AMY WILLIAMS
PO BOX 503952 , SAN DIEGO, CA 92150

December 8, 2006 8:57 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
AMY WILLIAMS
PO BOX 503952
SAN DIEGO, CA 92150

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

AMY WILLIAMS
PO BOX 503952 , SAN DIEGO, CA 92150

December 8, 2006 8:57 PM

Representative Duncan Hunter
U.S. House of Representatives
2265 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hunter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
AMY WILLIAMS
PO BOX 503952
SAN DIEGO, CA 92150

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

AMY WILLIAMS
PO BOX 503952 , SAN DIEGO, CA 92150

December 8, 2006 8:57 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
AMY WILLIAMS
PO BOX 503952
SAN DIEGO, CA 92150

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

AMY WILLIAMS
PO BOX 503952 , SAN DIEGO, CA 92150

December 8, 2006 8:57 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
AMY WILLIAMS
PO BOX 503952
SAN DIEGO, CA 92150

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Arian Albear
1000 SW 62Blv apt 124 , gainesville, FL 32607

December 8, 2006 8:57 PM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Arian Albear
1000 SW 62Blv apt 124
gainesville, FL 32607

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

AMY WILLIAMS
PO BOX 503952 , SAN DIEGO, CA 92150

December 8, 2006 8:57 PM

Representative Duncan Hunter
U.S. House of Representatives
2265 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hunter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
AMY WILLIAMS
PO BOX 503952
SAN DIEGO, CA 92150

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Arian Albear
1000 SW 62Blv apt 124 , gainesville, FL 32607

December 8, 2006 8:57 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Arian Albear
1000 SW 62Blv apt 124
gainesville, FL 32607

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tisha Douthwaite
PO Box 1386 , Ukiah, CA 95482

December 8, 2006 8:56 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tisha Douthwaite
PO Box 1386
Ukiah, CA 95482

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tisha Douthwaite
PO Box 1386 , Ukiah, CA 95482

December 8, 2006 8:56 PM

Representative Mike Thompson
U.S. House of Representatives
231 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Thompson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tisha Douthwaite
PO Box 1386
Ukiah, CA 95482

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Alan Kardoff
778 Antilles Rd NE , Palm Bay, FL 32907

December 8, 2006 8:56 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alan Kardoff
778 Antilles Rd NE
Palm Bay, FL 32907

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tisha Douthwaite
PO Box 1386 , Ukiah, CA 95482

December 8, 2006 8:56 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tisha Douthwaite
PO Box 1386
Ukiah, CA 95482

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tisha Douthwaite
PO Box 1386 , Ukiah, CA 95482

December 8, 2006 8:56 PM

Representative Mike Thompson
U.S. House of Representatives
231 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Thompson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tisha Douthwaite
PO Box 1386
Ukiah, CA 95482

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tisha Douthwaite
PO Box 1386 , Ukiah, CA 95482

December 8, 2006 8:56 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tisha Douthwaite
PO Box 1386
Ukiah, CA 95482

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Alan Kardoff
778 Antilles Rd NE , Palm Bay, FL 32907

December 8, 2006 8:56 PM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alan Kardoff
778 Antilles Rd NE
Palm Bay, FL 32907

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Alan Kardoff
778 Antilles Rd NE , Palm Bay, FL 32907

December 8, 2006 8:56 PM

Representative Dave Weldon
U.S. House of Representatives
2347 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Weldon,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alan Kardoff
778 Antilles Rd NE
Palm Bay, FL 32907

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

jenepher Hajian
4504 38th Street NW , Washington, DC 20016

December 8, 2006 8:56 PM

Delegate Eleanor Norton
U.S. House of Representatives
2136 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Delegate Norton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
jenepher Hajian
4504 38th Street NW
Washington, DC 20016

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

jenepher Hajian
4504 38th Street NW , Washington, DC 20016

December 8, 2006 8:56 PM

Delegate Eleanor Norton
U.S. House of Representatives
2136 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Delegate Norton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
jenepher Hajian
4504 38th Street NW
Washington, DC 20016

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Alan Kardoff
778 Antilles Rd NE , Palm Bay, FL 32907

December 8, 2006 8:56 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alan Kardoff
778 Antilles Rd NE
Palm Bay, FL 32907

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Alan Kardoff
778 Antilles Rd NE , Palm Bay, FL 32907

December 8, 2006 8:56 PM

Representative Dave Weldon
U.S. House of Representatives
2347 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Weldon,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alan Kardoff
778 Antilles Rd NE
Palm Bay, FL 32907

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lola Richards
331 White Rd , Bowdoinham, ME 04008

December 8, 2006 8:55 PM

Senator Olympia Snowe
U.S. Senate
154 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Snowe,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lola Richards
331 White Rd
Bowdoinham, ME 04008

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sherry Shortt
P. O. Box 134 , Helen, GA 30545

December 8, 2006 8:55 PM

Representative Charlie Norwood
U.S. House of Representatives
2452 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Norwood,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sherry Shortt
P. O. Box 134
Helen, GA 30545

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sherry Shortt
P. O. Box 134 , Helen, GA 30545

December 8, 2006 8:55 PM

Senator Johnny Isakson
U.S. Senate
120 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sherry Shortt
P. O. Box 134
Helen, GA 30545

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

roberta wallitt
520 Coddington Road , ithaca, NY 14850

December 8, 2006 8:55 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
roberta wallitt
520 Coddington Road
ithaca, NY 14850

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

roberta wallitt
520 Coddington Road , ithaca, NY 14850

December 8, 2006 8:55 PM

Representative Maurice Hinchey
U.S. House of Representatives
2431 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hinchey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
roberta wallitt
520 Coddington Road
ithaca, NY 14850

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

roberta wallitt
520 Coddington Road , ithaca, NY 14850

December 8, 2006 8:55 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
roberta wallitt
520 Coddington Road
ithaca, NY 14850

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ron Davis
215 e 95th st , NY, NY 10128

December 8, 2006 8:55 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ron Davis
215 e 95th st
NY, NY 10128

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ron Davis
215 e 95th st , NY, NY 10128

December 8, 2006 8:55 PM

Representative Carolyn Maloney
U.S. House of Representatives
2331 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Maloney,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ron Davis
215 e 95th st
NY, NY 10128

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lola Richards
331 White Rd , Bowdoinham, ME 04008

December 8, 2006 8:55 PM

Senator Susan Collins
U.S. Senate
461 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Collins,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lola Richards
331 White Rd
Bowdoinham, ME 04008

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lola Richards
331 White Rd , Bowdoinham, ME 04008

December 8, 2006 8:55 PM

Representative Tom Allen
U.S. House of Representatives
1127 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Allen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lola Richards
331 White Rd
Bowdoinham, ME 04008

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sherry Shortt
P. O. Box 134 , Helen, GA 30545

December 8, 2006 8:55 PM

Senator Saxby Chambliss
U.S. Senate
416 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Chambliss,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sherry Shortt
P. O. Box 134
Helen, GA 30545

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ron Davis
215 e 95th st , NY, NY 10128

December 8, 2006 8:55 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ron Davis
215 e 95th st
NY, NY 10128

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sherry Shortt
P. O. Box 134 , Helen, GA 30545

December 8, 2006 8:55 PM

Senator Johnny Isakson
U.S. Senate
120 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sherry Shortt
P. O. Box 134
Helen, GA 30545

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lola Richards
331 White Rd , Bowdoinham, ME 04008

December 8, 2006 8:55 PM

Senator Susan Collins
U.S. Senate
461 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Collins,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lola Richards
331 White Rd
Bowdoinham, ME 04008

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lola Richards
331 White Rd , Bowdoinham, ME 04008

December 8, 2006 8:55 PM

Senator Olympia Snowe
U.S. Senate
154 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Snowe,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lola Richards
331 White Rd
Bowdoinham, ME 04008

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lola Richards
331 White Rd , Bowdoinham, ME 04008

December 8, 2006 8:55 PM

Representative Tom Allen
U.S. House of Representatives
1127 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Allen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lola Richards
331 White Rd
Bowdoinham, ME 04008

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ron Davis
215 e 95th st , NY, NY 10128

December 8, 2006 8:55 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ron Davis
215 e 95th st
NY, NY 10128

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

roberta wallitt
520 Coddington Road , ithaca, NY 14850

December 8, 2006 8:55 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
roberta wallitt
520 Coddington Road
ithaca, NY 14850

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ron Davis
215 e 95th st , NY, NY 10128

December 8, 2006 8:55 PM

Representative Carolyn Maloney
U.S. House of Representatives
2331 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Maloney,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ron Davis
215 e 95th st
NY, NY 10128

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

roberta wallitt
520 Coddington Road , ithaca, NY 14850

December 8, 2006 8:55 PM

Representative Maurice Hinchey
U.S. House of Representatives
2431 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hinchey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
roberta wallitt
520 Coddington Road
ithaca, NY 14850

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Larry Moss
920 W. Orange St. , Greenville, MI 48838

December 8, 2006 8:54 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Larry Moss
920 W. Orange St.
Greenville, MI 48838

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Larry Moss
920 W. Orange St. , Greenville, MI 48838

December 8, 2006 8:54 PM

Representative Dave Camp
U.S. House of Representatives
137 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Camp,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Larry Moss
920 W. Orange St.
Greenville, MI 48838

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Larry Moss
920 W. Orange St. , Greenville, MI 48838

December 8, 2006 8:54 PM

Senator Carl Levin
U.S. Senate
269 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Levin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Larry Moss
920 W. Orange St.
Greenville, MI 48838

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Larry Moss
920 W. Orange St. , Greenville, MI 48838

December 8, 2006 8:54 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Larry Moss
920 W. Orange St.
Greenville, MI 48838

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Larry Moss
920 W. Orange St. , Greenville, MI 48838

December 8, 2006 8:54 PM

Representative Dave Camp
U.S. House of Representatives
137 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Camp,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Larry Moss
920 W. Orange St.
Greenville, MI 48838

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lloyd Downs
14766 Pine Cone Way , Magalia, CA 95954-9313

December 8, 2006 8:53 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lloyd Downs
14766 Pine Cone Way
Magalia, CA 95954-9313

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Louise Waitt
9601 NW Leahy Rd Apt 201 , Portland, OR 97229-6384

December 8, 2006 8:53 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Louise Waitt
9601 NW Leahy Rd Apt 201
Portland, OR 97229-6384

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lloyd Downs
14766 Pine Cone Way , Magalia, CA 95954-9313

December 8, 2006 8:53 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lloyd Downs
14766 Pine Cone Way
Magalia, CA 95954-9313

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lloyd Downs
14766 Pine Cone Way , Magalia, CA 95954-9313

December 8, 2006 8:53 PM

Representative Wally Herger
U.S. House of Representatives
2268 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Herger,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lloyd Downs
14766 Pine Cone Way
Magalia, CA 95954-9313

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Agnes Sievers
504 Pine St. #24, Mosinee, WI 54455

December 8, 2006 8:53 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Agnes Sievers
504 Pine St.
#24
Mosinee, WI 54455

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Agnes Sievers
504 Pine St. #24, Mosinee, WI 54455

December 8, 2006 8:53 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Agnes Sievers
504 Pine St.
#24
Mosinee, WI 54455

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Louise Waitt
9601 NW Leahy Rd Apt 201 , Portland, OR 97229-6384

December 8, 2006 8:53 PM

Representative David Wu
U.S. House of Representatives
1023 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Wu,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Louise Waitt
9601 NW Leahy Rd Apt 201
Portland, OR 97229-6384

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Louise Waitt
9601 NW Leahy Rd Apt 201 , Portland, OR 97229-6384

December 8, 2006 8:53 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Louise Waitt
9601 NW Leahy Rd Apt 201
Portland, OR 97229-6384

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lloyd Downs
14766 Pine Cone Way , Magalia, CA 95954-9313

December 8, 2006 8:53 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lloyd Downs
14766 Pine Cone Way
Magalia, CA 95954-9313

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Agnes Sievers
504 Pine St. #24, Mosinee, WI 54455

December 8, 2006 8:53 PM

Representative Dave Obey
U.S. House of Representatives
2314 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Obey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Agnes Sievers
504 Pine St.
#24
Mosinee, WI 54455

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Louise Waitt
9601 NW Leahy Rd Apt 201 , Portland, OR 97229-6384

December 8, 2006 8:53 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Louise Waitt
9601 NW Leahy Rd Apt 201
Portland, OR 97229-6384

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Louise Waitt
9601 NW Leahy Rd Apt 201 , Portland, OR 97229-6384

December 8, 2006 8:53 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Louise Waitt
9601 NW Leahy Rd Apt 201
Portland, OR 97229-6384

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Louise Waitt
9601 NW Leahy Rd Apt 201 , Portland, OR 97229-6384

December 8, 2006 8:53 PM

Representative David Wu
U.S. House of Representatives
1023 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Wu,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Louise Waitt
9601 NW Leahy Rd Apt 201
Portland, OR 97229-6384

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Agnes Sievers
504 Pine St. #24, Mosinee, WI 54455

December 8, 2006 8:53 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Agnes Sievers
504 Pine St.
#24
Mosinee, WI 54455

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Agnes Sievers
504 Pine St. #24, Mosinee, WI 54455

December 8, 2006 8:53 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Agnes Sievers
504 Pine St.
#24
Mosinee, WI 54455

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marianne Lynch
1217 Fullwood Rd. , Cherry Hill, NJ 08034

December 8, 2006 8:51 PM

Senator Frank Lautenberg
U.S. Senate
324 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marianne Lynch
1217 Fullwood Rd.
Cherry Hill, NJ 08034

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marianne Lynch
1217 Fullwood Rd. , Cherry Hill, NJ 08034

December 8, 2006 8:51 PM

Representative Jim Saxton
U.S. House of Representatives
2217 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Saxton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marianne Lynch
1217 Fullwood Rd.
Cherry Hill, NJ 08034

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marianne Lynch
1217 Fullwood Rd. , Cherry Hill, NJ 08034

December 8, 2006 8:51 PM

Senator Robert Menendez
U.S. Senate
502 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marianne Lynch
1217 Fullwood Rd.
Cherry Hill, NJ 08034

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marianne Lynch
1217 Fullwood Rd. , Cherry Hill, NJ 08034

December 8, 2006 8:51 PM

Senator Frank Lautenberg
U.S. Senate
324 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marianne Lynch
1217 Fullwood Rd.
Cherry Hill, NJ 08034

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marianne Lynch
1217 Fullwood Rd. , Cherry Hill, NJ 08034

December 8, 2006 8:51 PM

Senator Robert Menendez
U.S. Senate
502 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marianne Lynch
1217 Fullwood Rd.
Cherry Hill, NJ 08034

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marianne Lynch
1217 Fullwood Rd. , Cherry Hill, NJ 08034

December 8, 2006 8:51 PM

Representative Jim Saxton
U.S. House of Representatives
2217 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Saxton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marianne Lynch
1217 Fullwood Rd.
Cherry Hill, NJ 08034

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jesse Burgess
706 Hobson Street , Walla Walla, WA 99362-2024

December 8, 2006 8:51 PM

Representative Cathy McMorris
U.S. House of Representatives
1708 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McMorris,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jesse Burgess
706 Hobson Street
Walla Walla, WA 99362-2024

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jesse Burgess
706 Hobson Street , Walla Walla, WA 99362-2024

December 8, 2006 8:51 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jesse Burgess
706 Hobson Street
Walla Walla, WA 99362-2024

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jesse Burgess
706 Hobson Street , Walla Walla, WA 99362-2024

December 8, 2006 8:51 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jesse Burgess
706 Hobson Street
Walla Walla, WA 99362-2024

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jesse Burgess
706 Hobson Street , Walla Walla, WA 99362-2024

December 8, 2006 8:51 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jesse Burgess
706 Hobson Street
Walla Walla, WA 99362-2024

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jesse Burgess
706 Hobson Street , Walla Walla, WA 99362-2024

December 8, 2006 8:51 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jesse Burgess
706 Hobson Street
Walla Walla, WA 99362-2024

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jesse Burgess
706 Hobson Street , Walla Walla, WA 99362-2024

December 8, 2006 8:51 PM

Representative Cathy McMorris
U.S. House of Representatives
1708 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McMorris,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jesse Burgess
706 Hobson Street
Walla Walla, WA 99362-2024

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ronald Vestal
20 Corte Alegre , Greenbrae, CA 94904-1102

December 8, 2006 8:50 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ronald Vestal
20 Corte Alegre
Greenbrae, CA 94904-1102

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ronald Vestal
20 Corte Alegre , Greenbrae, CA 94904-1102

December 8, 2006 8:50 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ronald Vestal
20 Corte Alegre
Greenbrae, CA 94904-1102

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ronald Vestal
20 Corte Alegre , Greenbrae, CA 94904-1102

December 8, 2006 8:50 PM

Representative Lynn Woolsey
U.S. House of Representatives
2263 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Woolsey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ronald Vestal
20 Corte Alegre
Greenbrae, CA 94904-1102

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ronald Vestal
20 Corte Alegre , Greenbrae, CA 94904-1102

December 8, 2006 8:50 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ronald Vestal
20 Corte Alegre
Greenbrae, CA 94904-1102

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Devlin Mannle
88 Hayes Drive , Milford, CT 06460

December 8, 2006 8:48 PM

Representative Rosa DeLauro
U.S. House of Representatives
2262 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative DeLauro,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Devlin Mannle
88 Hayes Drive
Milford, CT 06460

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Devlin Mannle
88 Hayes Drive , Milford, CT 06460

December 8, 2006 8:48 PM

Senator Chris Dodd
U.S. Senate
448 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dodd,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Devlin Mannle
88 Hayes Drive
Milford, CT 06460

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Devlin Mannle
88 Hayes Drive , Milford, CT 06460

December 8, 2006 8:48 PM

Senator Joe Lieberman
U.S. Senate
706 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lieberman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Devlin Mannle
88 Hayes Drive
Milford, CT 06460

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Devlin Mannle
88 Hayes Drive , Milford, CT 06460

December 8, 2006 8:48 PM

Representative Rosa DeLauro
U.S. House of Representatives
2262 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative DeLauro,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Devlin Mannle
88 Hayes Drive
Milford, CT 06460

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

ERNEST RINVIL
1666 BRICH RD. SAME, KENOSHA, WI 53140

December 8, 2006 8:48 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
ERNEST RINVIL
1666 BRICH RD.
SAME
KENOSHA, WI 53140

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

ERNEST RINVIL
1666 BRICH RD. SAME, KENOSHA, WI 53140

December 8, 2006 8:48 PM

Representative Paul Ryan
U.S. House of Representatives
1113 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Ryan,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a

letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
ERNEST RINVIL
1666 BRICH RD.
SAME
KENOSHA, WI 53140

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

ERNEST RINVIL
1666 BRICH RD. SAME, KENOSHA, WI 53140

December 8, 2006 8:48 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
ERNEST RINVIL
1666 BRICH RD.
SAME
KENOSHA, WI 53140

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

ERNEST RINVIL
1666 BRICH RD. SAME, KENOSHA, WI 53140

December 8, 2006 8:48 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
ERNEST RINVIL
1666 BRICH RD.
SAME
KENOSHA, WI 53140

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

ERNEST RINVIL
1666 BRICH RD. SAME, KENOSHA, WI 53140

December 8, 2006 8:48 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
ERNEST RINVIL
1666 BRICH RD.
SAME
KENOSHA, WI 53140

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Werner Hertz
3851 Midvale Ave , Oakland, CA 94602

December 8, 2006 8:48 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Werner Hertz
3851 Midvale Ave
Oakland, CA 94602

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Werner Hertz
3851 Midvale Ave , Oakland, CA 94602

December 8, 2006 8:48 PM

Representative Barbara Lee
U.S. House of Representatives
1724 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lee,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Werner Hertz
3851 Midvale Ave
Oakland, CA 94602

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Devlin Mannle
88 Hayes Drive , Milford, CT 06460

December 8, 2006 8:48 PM

Senator Joe Lieberman
U.S. Senate
706 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lieberman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Devlin Mannle
88 Hayes Drive
Milford, CT 06460

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Werner Hertz
3851 Midvale Ave , Oakland, CA 94602

December 8, 2006 8:48 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Werner Hertz
3851 Midvale Ave
Oakland, CA 94602

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Werner Hertz
3851 Midvale Ave , Oakland, CA 94602

December 8, 2006 8:48 PM

Representative Barbara Lee
U.S. House of Representatives
1724 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lee,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Werner Hertz
3851 Midvale Ave
Oakland, CA 94602

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Werner Hertz
3851 Midvale Ave , Oakland, CA 94602

December 8, 2006 8:48 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Werner Hertz
3851 Midvale Ave
Oakland, CA 94602

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

lisa ross
344 degraw st apt 6c , Brooklyn, NY 11231

December 8, 2006 8:45 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
lisa ross
344 degraw st apt 6c
Brooklyn, NY 11231

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

lisa ross
344 degraw st apt 6c , Brooklyn, NY 11231

December 8, 2006 8:45 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
lisa ross
344 degraw st apt 6c
Brooklyn, NY 11231

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

lisa ross
344 degraw st apt 6c , Brooklyn, NY 11231

December 8, 2006 8:45 PM

Representative Major Owens
U.S. House of Representatives
2309 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Owens,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
lisa ross
344 degraw st apt 6c
Brooklyn, NY 11231

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

lisa ross
344 degraw st apt 6c , Brooklyn, NY 11231

December 8, 2006 8:45 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
lisa ross
344 degraw st apt 6c
Brooklyn, NY 11231

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

lisa ross
344 degraw st apt 6c , Brooklyn, NY 11231

December 8, 2006 8:45 PM

Representative Major Owens
U.S. House of Representatives
2309 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Owens,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
lisa ross
344 degraw st apt 6c
Brooklyn, NY 11231

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tilman Reitzle
79 Broadway , Kingston, NY 12401

December 8, 2006 8:45 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tilman Reitzle
79 Broadway
Kingston, NY 12401

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tilman Reitzle
79 Broadway , Kingston, NY 12401

December 8, 2006 8:45 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tilman Reitzle
79 Broadway
Kingston, NY 12401

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tilman Reitzle
79 Broadway , Kingston, NY 12401

December 8, 2006 8:45 PM

Representative Maurice Hinchey
U.S. House of Representatives
2431 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hinchey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tilman Reitzle
79 Broadway
Kingston, NY 12401

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tilman Reitzle
79 Broadway , Kingston, NY 12401

December 8, 2006 8:45 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tilman Reitzle
79 Broadway
Kingston, NY 12401

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tilman Reitzle
79 Broadway , Kingston, NY 12401

December 8, 2006 8:45 PM

Representative Maurice Hinchey
U.S. House of Representatives
2431 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hinchey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tilman Reitzle
79 Broadway
Kingston, NY 12401

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Barb Varellas
862 Avenida Bernardo , San Dimas, CA 91773

December 8, 2006 8:42 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Barb Varellas
862 Avenida Bernardo
San Dimas, CA 91773

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Alicia Wayland
105 W. Town St. , Lebanon, CT 06249-1550

December 8, 2006 8:42 PM

Senator Tom Carper
U.S. Senate
513 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Carper,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alicia Wayland
105 W. Town St.
Lebanon, CT 06249-1550

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Barb Varellas
862 Avenida Bernardo , San Dimas, CA 91773

December 8, 2006 8:42 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Barb Varellas
862 Avenida Bernardo
San Dimas, CA 91773

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Barb Varellas
862 Avenida Bernardo , San Dimas, CA 91773

December 8, 2006 8:42 PM

Representative David Dreier
U.S. House of Representatives
233 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Dreier,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Barb Varellas
862 Avenida Bernardo
San Dimas, CA 91773

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Rocio Santos-Carrillo
353 Fort Washington Ave. Apt #4-B, New York, NY 10033

December 8, 2006 8:42 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Rocio Santos-Carrillo
353 Fort Washington Ave.
Apt #4-B
New York, NY 10033

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Alicia Wayland
105 W. Town St. , Lebanon, CT 06249-1550

December 8, 2006 8:42 PM

Senator Joseph Biden
U.S. Senate
201 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Biden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alicia Wayland
105 W. Town St.
Lebanon, CT 06249-1550

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

mike buchanan
4701 ne 60th street , vancouver, WA 98661-1818

December 8, 2006 8:42 PM

Representative Brian Baird
U.S. House of Representatives
1421 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Baird,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
mike buchanan
4701 ne 60th street
vancouver, WA 98661-1818

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

mike buchanan
4701 ne 60th street , vancouver, WA 98661-1818

December 8, 2006 8:42 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
mike buchanan
4701 ne 60th street
vancouver, WA 98661-1818

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Rocio Santos-Carrillo
353 Fort Washington Ave. Apt #4-B, New York, NY 10033

December 8, 2006 8:42 PM

Representative Charles Rangel
U.S. House of Representatives
2354 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rangel,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Rocio Santos-Carrillo
353 Fort Washington Ave.
Apt #4-B
New York, NY 10033

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Rocio Santos-Carrillo
353 Fort Washington Ave. Apt #4-B, New York, NY 10033

December 8, 2006 8:42 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Rocio Santos-Carrillo
353 Fort Washington Ave.
Apt #4-B
New York, NY 10033

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

mike buchanan
4701 ne 60th street , vancouver, WA 98661-1818

December 8, 2006 8:42 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
mike buchanan
4701 ne 60th street
vancouver, WA 98661-1818

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Alicia Wayland
105 W. Town St. , Lebanon, CT 06249-1550

December 8, 2006 8:42 PM

Senator Joseph Biden
U.S. Senate
201 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Biden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alicia Wayland
105 W. Town St.
Lebanon, CT 06249-1550

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Alicia Wayland
105 W. Town St. , Lebanon, CT 06249-1550

December 8, 2006 8:42 PM

Senator Tom Carper
U.S. Senate
513 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Carper,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alicia Wayland
105 W. Town St.
Lebanon, CT 06249-1550

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Rocio Santos-Carrillo
353 Fort Washington Ave. Apt #4-B, New York, NY 10033

December 8, 2006 8:42 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Rocio Santos-Carrillo
353 Fort Washington Ave.
Apt #4-B
New York, NY 10033

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Rocio Santos-Carrillo
353 Fort Washington Ave. Apt #4-B, New York, NY 10033

December 8, 2006 8:42 PM

Representative Charles Rangel
U.S. House of Representatives
2354 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rangel,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Rocio Santos-Carrillo
353 Fort Washington Ave.
Apt #4-B
New York, NY 10033

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

mike buchanan
4701 ne 60th street , vancouver, WA 98661-1818

December 8, 2006 8:42 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
mike buchanan
4701 ne 60th street
vancouver, WA 98661-1818

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

mike buchanan
4701 ne 60th street , vancouver, WA 98661-1818

December 8, 2006 8:42 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
mike buchanan
4701 ne 60th street
vancouver, WA 98661-1818

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

mike buchanan
4701 ne 60th street , vancouver, WA 98661-1818

December 8, 2006 8:42 PM

Representative Brian Baird
U.S. House of Representatives
1421 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Baird,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
mike buchanan
4701 ne 60th street
vancouver, WA 98661-1818

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Barb Varellas
862 Avenida Bernardo , San Dimas, CA 91773

December 8, 2006 8:42 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Barb Varellas
862 Avenida Bernardo
San Dimas, CA 91773

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Barb Varellas
862 Avenida Bernardo , San Dimas, CA 91773

December 8, 2006 8:42 PM

Representative David Dreier
U.S. House of Representatives
233 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Dreier,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Barb Varellas
862 Avenida Bernardo
San Dimas, CA 91773

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Barbara Dailey
107 E Janin Cir , Portland, TX 78374-1409

December 8, 2006 8:40 PM

Senator John Cornyn
U.S. Senate
517 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Barbara Dailey
107 E Janin Cir
Portland, TX 78374-1409

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Barbara Dailey
107 E Janin Cir , Portland, TX 78374-1409

December 8, 2006 8:40 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Barbara Dailey
107 E Janin Cir
Portland, TX 78374-1409

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Barbara Dailey
107 E Janin Cir , Portland, TX 78374-1409

December 8, 2006 8:40 PM

Representative Solomon Ortiz
U.S. House of Representatives
2470 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Ortiz,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Barbara Dailey
107 E Janin Cir
Portland, TX 78374-1409

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Barbara Dailey
107 E Janin Cir , Portland, TX 78374-1409

December 8, 2006 8:40 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Barbara Dailey
107 E Janin Cir
Portland, TX 78374-1409

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bronwyn Bevan
1045 Centre Road , Staatsburg, NY 12580

December 8, 2006 8:39 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bronwyn Bevan
1045 Centre Road
Staatsburg, NY 12580

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bronwyn Bevan
1045 Centre Road , Staatsburg, NY 12580

December 8, 2006 8:39 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bronwyn Bevan
1045 Centre Road
Staatsburg, NY 12580

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bronwyn Bevan
1045 Centre Road , Staatsburg, NY 12580

December 8, 2006 8:39 PM

Representative John Sweeney
U.S. House of Representatives
416 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sweeney,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bronwyn Bevan
1045 Centre Road
Staatsburg, NY 12580

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bronwyn Bevan
1045 Centre Road , Staatsburg, NY 12580

December 8, 2006 8:39 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bronwyn Bevan
1045 Centre Road
Staatsburg, NY 12580

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Gonzales
10419 stratton ct. , Cypress, CA 90630

December 8, 2006 8:38 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Gonzales
10419 stratton ct.
Cypress, CA 90630

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Gonzales
10419 stratton ct. , Cypress, CA 90630

December 8, 2006 8:38 PM

Representative Ed Royce
U.S. House of Representatives
2202 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Royce,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Gonzales
10419 stratton ct.
Cypress, CA 90630

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kenneth Lauter
4310 Friar Tuck Dr , Nacogdoches, TX 75965

December 8, 2006 8:38 PM

Senator John Cornyn
U.S. Senate
517 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

A merger between AT&T and BellSouth would be yet another outrage against democratic principles and media freedom-- and demonstrates beyond reasonable doubt that FCC Chairman Kevin Martin in an unethical shill for Big telecom.

Martin should resign at once or be removed. This kind of thing is EXACTLY why so many Republicans were booted out Nov 7. Have they NO SHAME!

Sincerely,
Kenneth Lauter
4310 Friar Tuck Dr
Nacogdoches, TX 75965

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kenneth Lauter
4310 Friar Tuck Dr , Nacogdoches, TX 75965

December 8, 2006 8:38 PM

Representative Louie Gohmert
U.S. House of Representatives
508 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Gohmert,

A merger between AT&T and BellSouth would be yet another outrage against democratic principles and media freedom-- and demonstrates beyond reasonable doubt that FCC Chairman Kevin Martin in an unethical shill for Big telecom.

Martin should resign at once or be removed. This kind of thing is EXACTLY why so many Republicans were booted out Nov 7. Have they NO SHAME!

Sincerely,
Kenneth Lauter
4310 Friar Tuck Dr
Nacogdoches, TX 75965

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Gonzales
10419 stratton ct. , Cypress, CA 90630

December 8, 2006 8:38 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Gonzales
10419 stratton ct.
Cypress, CA 90630

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Gonzales
10419 stratton ct. , Cypress, CA 90630

December 8, 2006 8:38 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Gonzales
10419 stratton ct.
Cypress, CA 90630

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Gonzales
10419 stratton ct. , Cypress, CA 90630

December 8, 2006 8:38 PM

Representative Ed Royce
U.S. House of Representatives
2202 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Royce,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Gonzales
10419 stratton ct.
Cypress, CA 90630

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kenneth Lauter
4310 Friar Tuck Dr , Nacogdoches, TX 75965

December 8, 2006 8:38 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

A merger between AT&T and BellSouth would be yet another outrage against democratic principles and media freedom-- and demonstrates beyond reasonable doubt that FCC Chairman Kevin Martin in an unethical shill for Big telecom.

Martin should resign at once or be removed. This kind of thing is EXACTLY why so many Republicans were booted out Nov 7. Have they NO SHAME!

Sincerely,
Kenneth Lauter
4310 Friar Tuck Dr
Nacogdoches, TX 75965

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kenneth Lauter
4310 Friar Tuck Dr , Nacogdoches, TX 75965

December 8, 2006 8:38 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

A merger between AT&T and BellSouth would be yet another outrage against democratic principles and media freedom-- and demonstrates beyond reasonable doubt that FCC Chairman Kevin Martin in an unethical shill for Big telecom.

Martin should resign at once or be removed. This kind of thing is EXACTLY why so many Republicans were booted out Nov 7. Have they NO SHAME!

Sincerely,
Kenneth Lauter
4310 Friar Tuck Dr
Nacogdoches, TX 75965

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Barbara Weinstock
10860 Rogalla Dr , Charlotte, NC 28277

December 8, 2006 8:38 PM

Senator Elizabeth Dole
U.S. Senate
555 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dole,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Barbara Weinstock
10860 Rogalla Dr
Charlotte, NC 28277

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Barbara Weinstock
10860 Rogalla Dr , Charlotte, NC 28277

December 8, 2006 8:38 PM

Senator Richard Burr
U.S. Senate
217 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Burr,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Barbara Weinstock
10860 Rogalla Dr
Charlotte, NC 28277

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Andrew Foertsch
125 20 Ave S.E. , St. Petersburg, FL 33705

December 8, 2006 8:38 PM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Andrew Foertsch
125 20 Ave S.E.
St. Petersburg, FL 33705

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Barbara Weinstock
10860 Rogalla Dr , Charlotte, NC 28277

December 8, 2006 8:38 PM

Representative Sue Myrick
U.S. House of Representatives
230 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Myrick,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Barbara Weinstock
10860 Rogalla Dr
Charlotte, NC 28277

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Barbara Weinstock
10860 Rogalla Dr , Charlotte, NC 28277

December 8, 2006 8:38 PM

Senator Richard Burr
U.S. Senate
217 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Burr,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Barbara Weinstock
10860 Rogalla Dr
Charlotte, NC 28277

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Andrew Foertsch
125 20 Ave S.E. , St. Petersburg, FL 33705

December 8, 2006 8:38 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Andrew Foertsch
125 20 Ave S.E.
St. Petersburg, FL 33705

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Andrew Foertsch
125 20 Ave S.E. , St. Petersburg, FL 33705

December 8, 2006 8:38 PM

Representative Jim Davis
U.S. House of Representatives
409 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Davis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Andrew Foertsch
125 20 Ave S.E.
St. Petersburg, FL 33705

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Andrew Foertsch
125 20 Ave S.E. , St. Petersburg, FL 33705

December 8, 2006 8:38 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Andrew Foertsch
125 20 Ave S.E.
St. Petersburg, FL 33705

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Andrew Foertsch
125 20 Ave S.E. , St. Petersburg, FL 33705

December 8, 2006 8:38 PM

Representative Jim Davis
U.S. House of Representatives
409 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Davis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Andrew Foertsch
125 20 Ave S.E.
St. Petersburg, FL 33705

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Barbara Weinstock
10860 Rogalla Dr , Charlotte, NC 28277

December 8, 2006 8:38 PM

Senator Elizabeth Dole
U.S. Senate
555 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dole,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Barbara Weinstock
10860 Rogalla Dr
Charlotte, NC 28277

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Barbara Weinstock
10860 Rogalla Dr , Charlotte, NC 28277

December 8, 2006 8:38 PM

Representative Sue Myrick
U.S. House of Representatives
230 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Myrick,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Barbara Weinstock
10860 Rogalla Dr
Charlotte, NC 28277

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dawn Rubbert
8409 Winzenburg Drive , St. Louis, MO 63117

December 8, 2006 8:36 PM

Senator Jim Talent
U.S. Senate
493 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Talent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dawn Rubbert
8409 Winzenburg Drive
St. Louis, MO 63117

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dawn Rubbert
8409 Winzenburg Drive , St. Louis, MO 63117

December 8, 2006 8:36 PM

Representative Russ Carnahan
U.S. House of Representatives
1232 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Carnahan,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dawn Rubbert
8409 Winzenburg Drive
St. Louis, MO 63117

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dawn Rubbert
8409 Winzenburg Drive , St. Louis, MO 63117

December 8, 2006 8:36 PM

Senator Christopher Bond
U.S. Senate
274 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bond,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dawn Rubbert
8409 Winzenburg Drive
St. Louis, MO 63117

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dawn Rubbert
8409 Winzenburg Drive , St. Louis, MO 63117

December 8, 2006 8:36 PM

Senator Jim Talent
U.S. Senate
493 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Talent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dawn Rubbert
8409 Winzenburg Drive
St. Louis, MO 63117

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dawn Rubbert
8409 Winzenburg Drive , St. Louis, MO 63117

December 8, 2006 8:36 PM

Representative Russ Carnahan
U.S. House of Representatives
1232 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Carnahan,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dawn Rubbert
8409 Winzenburg Drive
St. Louis, MO 63117

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Richard Kranzdorf
160 Graves , San Luis Obispo, CA 93405

December 8, 2006 8:35 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Has ethics no part in public policy? Is this an oxymoron?

Sincerely,
Richard Kranzdorf
160 Graves
San Luis Obispo, CA 93405

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Richard Kranzdorf
160 Graves , San Luis Obispo, CA 93405

December 8, 2006 8:35 PM

Representative Lois Capps
U.S. House of Representatives
1707 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Capps,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Has ethics no part in public policy? Is this an oxymoron?

Sincerely,
Richard Kranzdorf
160 Graves
San Luis Obispo, CA 93405

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Richard Kranzdorf
160 Graves , San Luis Obispo, CA 93405

December 8, 2006 8:35 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Has ethics no part in public policy? Is this an oxymoron?

Sincerely,
Richard Kranzdorf
160 Graves
San Luis Obispo, CA 93405

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Richard Kranzdorf
160 Graves , San Luis Obispo, CA 93405

December 8, 2006 8:35 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Has ethics no part in public policy? Is this an oxymoron?

Sincerely,
Richard Kranzdorf
160 Graves
San Luis Obispo, CA 93405

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Richard Kranzdorf
160 Graves , San Luis Obispo, CA 93405

December 8, 2006 8:35 PM

Representative Lois Capps
U.S. House of Representatives
1707 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Capps,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Has ethics no part in public policy? Is this an oxymoron?

Sincerely,
Richard Kranzdorf
160 Graves
San Luis Obispo, CA 93405

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elaine Orlando
11402 Kingstree Ct. , Spring Hill, FL 34609

December 8, 2006 8:34 PM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Elaine Orlando
11402 Kingstree Ct.
Spring Hill, FL 34609

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ernest Prim
852 Rabbit Court , Clarksville, TN 37043

December 8, 2006 8:34 PM

Representative Marsha Blackburn
U.S. House of Representatives
509 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Blackburn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ernest Prim
852 Rabbit Court
Clarksville, TN 37043

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ernest Prim
852 Rabbit Court , Clarksville, TN 37043

December 8, 2006 8:34 PM

Senator Lamar Alexander
U.S. Senate
302 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Alexander,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ernest Prim
852 Rabbit Court
Clarksville, TN 37043

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elaine Orlando
11402 Kingstree Ct. , Spring Hill, FL 34609

December 8, 2006 8:34 PM

Representative Ginny Brown-Waite
U.S. House of Representatives
414 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Brown-Waite,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Elaine Orlando
11402 Kingstree Ct.
Spring Hill, FL 34609

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ernest Prim
852 Rabbit Court , Clarksville, TN 37043

December 8, 2006 8:34 PM

Senator Bill Frist
U.S. Senate
509 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Frist,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ernest Prim
852 Rabbit Court
Clarksville, TN 37043

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elaine Orlando
11402 Kingstree Ct. , Spring Hill, FL 34609

December 8, 2006 8:34 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Elaine Orlando
11402 Kingstree Ct.
Spring Hill, FL 34609

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elaine Orlando
11402 Kingstree Ct. , Spring Hill, FL 34609

December 8, 2006 8:34 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Elaine Orlando
11402 Kingstree Ct.
Spring Hill, FL 34609

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elaine Orlando
11402 Kingstree Ct. , Spring Hill, FL 34609

December 8, 2006 8:34 PM

Representative Ginny Brown-Waite
U.S. House of Representatives
414 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Brown-Waite,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Elaine Orlando
11402 Kingstree Ct.
Spring Hill, FL 34609

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ernest Prim
852 Rabbit Court , Clarksville, TN 37043

December 8, 2006 8:34 PM

Senator Lamar Alexander
U.S. Senate
302 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Alexander,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ernest Prim
852 Rabbit Court
Clarksville, TN 37043

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ernest Prim
852 Rabbit Court , Clarksville, TN 37043

December 8, 2006 8:34 PM

Senator Bill Frist
U.S. Senate
509 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Frist,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ernest Prim
852 Rabbit Court
Clarksville, TN 37043

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ernest Prim
852 Rabbit Court , Clarksville, TN 37043

December 8, 2006 8:34 PM

Representative Marsha Blackburn
U.S. House of Representatives
509 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Blackburn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ernest Prim
852 Rabbit Court
Clarksville, TN 37043

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Vhary Leggat
348 W 118th St, Apt 41 , New York, NY 10026

December 8, 2006 8:33 PM

Representative Charles Rangel
U.S. House of Representatives
2354 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rangel,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Vhary Leggat
348 W 118th St, Apt 41
New York, NY 10026

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Vhary Leggat
348 W 118th St, Apt 41 , New York, NY 10026

December 8, 2006 8:33 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Vhary Leggat
348 W 118th St, Apt 41
New York, NY 10026

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Vhary Leggat
348 W 118th St, Apt 41 , New York, NY 10026

December 8, 2006 8:33 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Vhary Leggat
348 W 118th St, Apt 41
New York, NY 10026

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Vhary Leggat
348 W 118th St, Apt 41 , New York, NY 10026

December 8, 2006 8:33 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Vhary Leggat
348 W 118th St, Apt 41
New York, NY 10026

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Vhary Leggat
348 W 118th St, Apt 41 , New York, NY 10026

December 8, 2006 8:33 PM

Representative Charles Rangel
U.S. House of Representatives
2354 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rangel,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Vhary Leggat
348 W 118th St, Apt 41
New York, NY 10026

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kathleen Doswell
19 Lakeside Dr , Columbia, VA 23038-2845

December 8, 2006 8:32 PM

Senator George Allen
U.S. Senate
204 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Doswell
19 Lakeside Dr
Columbia, VA 23038-2845

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ellen Rubin-O'Hearn
425C Salisbury Lane , Worcester, MA 01609

December 8, 2006 8:32 PM

Senator John Kerry
U.S. Senate
304 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kerry,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ellen Rubin-O'Hearn
425C Salisbury Lane
Worcester, MA 01609

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ellen Rubin-O'Hearn
425C Salisbury Lane , Worcester, MA 01609

December 8, 2006 8:32 PM

Representative James McGovern
U.S. House of Representatives
430 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McGovern,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ellen Rubin-O'Hearn
425C Salisbury Lane
Worcester, MA 01609

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kathleen Doswell
19 Lakeside Dr , Columbia, VA 23038-2845

December 8, 2006 8:32 PM

Senator John Warner
U.S. Senate
225 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Warner,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Doswell
19 Lakeside Dr
Columbia, VA 23038-2845

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ellen Rubin-O'Hearn
425C Salisbury Lane , Worcester, MA 01609

December 8, 2006 8:32 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ellen Rubin-O'Hearn
425C Salisbury Lane
Worcester, MA 01609

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kathleen Doswell
19 Lakeside Dr , Columbia, VA 23038-2845

December 8, 2006 8:32 PM

Representative Virgil Goode
U.S. House of Representatives
1520 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Goode,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Doswell
19 Lakeside Dr
Columbia, VA 23038-2845

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kathleen Doswell
19 Lakeside Dr , Columbia, VA 23038-2845

December 8, 2006 8:32 PM

Senator George Allen
U.S. Senate
204 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Doswell
19 Lakeside Dr
Columbia, VA 23038-2845

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kathleen Doswell
19 Lakeside Dr , Columbia, VA 23038-2845

December 8, 2006 8:32 PM

Representative Virgil Goode
U.S. House of Representatives
1520 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Goode,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Doswell
19 Lakeside Dr
Columbia, VA 23038-2845

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ellen Rubin-O'Hearn
425C Salisbury Lane , Worcester, MA 01609

December 8, 2006 8:32 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ellen Rubin-O'Hearn
425C Salisbury Lane
Worcester, MA 01609

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ellen Rubin-O'Hearn
425C Salisbury Lane , Worcester, MA 01609

December 8, 2006 8:32 PM

Representative James McGovern
U.S. House of Representatives
430 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McGovern,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ellen Rubin-O'Hearn
425C Salisbury Lane
Worcester, MA 01609

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Barbara Evans
72 Greenbelt Lane , Levittown, NY 11756

December 8, 2006 8:31 PM

Representative Peter King
U.S. House of Representatives
436 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative King,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Barbara Evans
72 Greenbelt Lane
Levittown, NY 11756

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Barbara Evans
72 Greenbelt Lane , Levittown, NY 11756

December 8, 2006 8:31 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Barbara Evans
72 Greenbelt Lane
Levittown, NY 11756

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Barbara Evans
72 Greenbelt Lane , Levittown, NY 11756

December 8, 2006 8:31 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Barbara Evans
72 Greenbelt Lane
Levittown, NY 11756

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Barbara Evans
72 Greenbelt Lane , Levittown, NY 11756

December 8, 2006 8:31 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Barbara Evans
72 Greenbelt Lane
Levittown, NY 11756

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Herrick Carver
1145 Hayes Street, Apt. 1 , San Francisco, CA 94117-1601

December 8, 2006 8:31 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Herrick Carver
1145 Hayes Street, Apt. 1
San Francisco, CA 94117-1601

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Barbara Aguado
5433 Redding Rd. , San Diego, CA 92115

December 8, 2006 8:31 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Barbara Aguado
5433 Redding Rd.
San Diego, CA 92115

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Barbara Aguado
5433 Redding Rd. , San Diego, CA 92115

December 8, 2006 8:31 PM

Representative Susan Davis
U.S. House of Representatives
1224 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Davis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Barbara Aguado
5433 Redding Rd.
San Diego, CA 92115

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Barbara Aguado
5433 Redding Rd. , San Diego, CA 92115

December 8, 2006 8:31 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Barbara Aguado
5433 Redding Rd.
San Diego, CA 92115

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Herrick Carver
1145 Hayes Street, Apt. 1 , San Francisco, CA 94117-1601

December 8, 2006 8:31 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Herrick Carver
1145 Hayes Street, Apt. 1
San Francisco, CA 94117-1601

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Beth Carr
251 Tye St. , Atlanta, GA 30316

December 8, 2006 8:31 PM

Representative John Lewis
U.S. House of Representatives
343 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lewis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Beth Carr
251 Tye St.
Atlanta, GA 30316

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Herrick Carver
1145 Hayes Street, Apt. 1 , San Francisco, CA 94117-1601

December 8, 2006 8:31 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Herrick Carver
1145 Hayes Street, Apt. 1
San Francisco, CA 94117-1601

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Barbara Aguado
5433 Redding Rd. , San Diego, CA 92115

December 8, 2006 8:31 PM

Representative Susan Davis
U.S. House of Representatives
1224 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Davis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Barbara Aguado
5433 Redding Rd.
San Diego, CA 92115

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Barbara Aguado
5433 Redding Rd. , San Diego, CA 92115

December 8, 2006 8:31 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Barbara Aguado
5433 Redding Rd.
San Diego, CA 92115

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Beth Carr
251 Tye St. , Atlanta, GA 30316

December 8, 2006 8:31 PM

Senator Johnny Isakson
U.S. Senate
120 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Beth Carr
251 Tye St.
Atlanta, GA 30316

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Beth Carr
251 Tye St. , Atlanta, GA 30316

December 8, 2006 8:31 PM

Senator Saxby Chambliss
U.S. Senate
416 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Chambliss,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Beth Carr
251 Tye St.
Atlanta, GA 30316

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Beth Carr
251 Tye St. , Atlanta, GA 30316

December 8, 2006 8:31 PM

Senator Johnny Isakson
U.S. Senate
120 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Beth Carr
251 Tye St.
Atlanta, GA 30316

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Beth Carr
251 Tye St. , Atlanta, GA 30316

December 8, 2006 8:31 PM

Representative John Lewis
U.S. House of Representatives
343 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lewis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Beth Carr
251 Tye St.
Atlanta, GA 30316

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Barbara Evans
72 Greenbelt Lane , Levittown, NY 11756

December 8, 2006 8:31 PM

Representative Peter King
U.S. House of Representatives
436 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative King,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Barbara Evans
72 Greenbelt Lane
Levittown, NY 11756

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bill Trzeciak
1809 Peyton Avenue #301 , Burbank, CA 91415

December 8, 2006 8:30 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bill Trzeciak
1809 Peyton Avenue #301
Burbank, CA 91415

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Meyer
352 Thompson Drive , Mesquite, NV 89027

December 8, 2006 8:30 PM

Senator John Ensign
U.S. Senate
356 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Ensign,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Meyer
352 Thompson Drive
Mesquite, NV 89027

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bill Trzeciak
1809 Peyton Avenue #301 , Burbank, CA 91415

December 8, 2006 8:30 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bill Trzeciak
1809 Peyton Avenue #301
Burbank, CA 91415

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Meyer
352 Thompson Drive , Mesquite, NV 89027

December 8, 2006 8:30 PM

Representative Jim Gibbons
U.S. House of Representatives
100 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Gibbons,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Meyer
352 Thompson Drive
Mesquite, NV 89027

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bill Trzeciak
1809 Peyton Avenue #301 , Burbank, CA 91415

December 8, 2006 8:30 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bill Trzeciak
1809 Peyton Avenue #301
Burbank, CA 91415

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Meyer
352 Thompson Drive , Mesquite, NV 89027

December 8, 2006 8:30 PM

Senator Harry Reid
U.S. Senate
528 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Reid,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Meyer
352 Thompson Drive
Mesquite, NV 89027

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bill Trzeciak
1809 Peyton Avenue #301 , Burbank, CA 91415

December 8, 2006 8:30 PM

Representative Adam Schiff
U.S. House of Representatives
326 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Schiff,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bill Trzeciak
1809 Peyton Avenue #301
Burbank, CA 91415

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Meyer
352 Thompson Drive , Mesquite, NV 89027

December 8, 2006 8:30 PM

Senator Harry Reid
U.S. Senate
528 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Reid,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Meyer
352 Thompson Drive
Mesquite, NV 89027

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Meyer
352 Thompson Drive , Mesquite, NV 89027

December 8, 2006 8:30 PM

Representative Jim Gibbons
U.S. House of Representatives
100 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Gibbons,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Meyer
352 Thompson Drive
Mesquite, NV 89027

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gerald Pasa
301 Elmwood Ave , Modesto, CA 95354

December 8, 2006 8:27 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gerald Pasa
301 Elmwood Ave
Modesto, CA 95354

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gerald Pasa
301 Elmwood Ave , Modesto, CA 95354

December 8, 2006 8:27 PM

Representative Dennis Cardoza
U.S. House of Representatives
435 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Cardoza,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gerald Pasa
301 Elmwood Ave
Modesto, CA 95354

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charlotte Stiefel
36 Hunters Brook Rd , Sagamore Beach, MA 02562

December 8, 2006 8:27 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charlotte Stiefel
36 Hunters Brook Rd
Sagamore Beach, MA 02562

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gerald Pasa
301 Elmwood Ave , Modesto, CA 95354

December 8, 2006 8:27 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gerald Pasa
301 Elmwood Ave
Modesto, CA 95354

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gerald Pasa
301 Elmwood Ave , Modesto, CA 95354

December 8, 2006 8:27 PM

Representative Dennis Cardoza
U.S. House of Representatives
435 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Cardoza,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gerald Pasa
301 Elmwood Ave
Modesto, CA 95354

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lisa Wagaman
4116 Shenandoah , St Louis, MO 63110

December 8, 2006 8:27 PM

Senator Christopher Bond
U.S. Senate
274 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bond,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lisa Wagaman
4116 Shenandoah
St Louis, MO 63110

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lisa Wagaman
4116 Shenandoah , St Louis, MO 63110

December 8, 2006 8:27 PM

Representative Russ Carnahan
U.S. House of Representatives
1232 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Carnahan,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lisa Wagaman
4116 Shenandoah
St Louis, MO 63110

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charlotte Stiefel
36 Hunters Brook Rd , Sagamore Beach, MA 02562

December 8, 2006 8:27 PM

Representative Bill Delahunt
U.S. House of Representatives
2454 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Delahunt,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charlotte Stiefel
36 Hunters Brook Rd
Sagamore Beach, MA 02562

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charlotte Stiefel
36 Hunters Brook Rd , Sagamore Beach, MA 02562

December 8, 2006 8:27 PM

Senator John Kerry
U.S. Senate
304 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kerry,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charlotte Stiefel
36 Hunters Brook Rd
Sagamore Beach, MA 02562

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gerald Pasa
301 Elmwood Ave , Modesto, CA 95354

December 8, 2006 8:27 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gerald Pasa
301 Elmwood Ave
Modesto, CA 95354

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Christine Helms
1143 Teal Drive , Red Bud, IL 62278

December 8, 2006 8:27 PM

Senator Barack Obama
U.S. Senate
713 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

In the FCCs review of the merger between Bell and AT&T, it is of the most vital importance that Net Neutrality be foremost in their minds. This issue is of great importance to myself and everyone that I know.

Kudos to Commissioner Robert McDowell for recusing himself due to conflict of interest. However, AT&T an Ken Martin should be ashamed for forcing him to vote and refusing to compromise when the remaining commissioners were split. If this is legal, it shouldn't be.

Neutrality on the net is of the most urgent importance. The FCC must deny AT&T the ability to attack it.

Sincerely,
Christine Helms
1143 Teal Drive
Red Bud, IL 62278

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Christine Helms
1143 Teal Drive , Red Bud, IL 62278

December 8, 2006 8:27 PM

Senator Dick Durbin
U.S. Senate
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

In the FCCs review of the merger between Bell and AT&T, it is of the most vital importance that Net Neutrality be foremost in their minds. This issue is of great importance to myself and everyone that I know.

Kudos to Commissioner Robert McDowell for recusing himself due to conflict of interest. However, AT&T an Ken Martin should be ashamed for forcing him to vote and refusing to compromise when the remaining commissioners were split. If this is legal, it shouldn't be.

Neutrality on the net is of the most urgent importance. The FCC must deny AT&T the ability to attack it.

Sincerely,
Christine Helms
1143 Teal Drive
Red Bud, IL 62278

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Christine Helms
1143 Teal Drive , Red Bud, IL 62278

December 8, 2006 8:27 PM

Representative Jerry Costello
U.S. House of Representatives
2269 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Costello,

In the FCCs review of the merger between Bell and AT&T, it is of the most vital importance that Net Neutrality be foremost in their minds. This issue is of great importance to myself and everyone that I know.

Kudos to Commissioner Robert McDowell for recusing himself due to conflict of interest. However, AT&T an Ken Martin should be ashamed for forcing him to vote and refusing to compromise when the remaining commissioners were split. If this is legal, it shouldn't be.

Neutrality on the net is of the most urgent importance. The FCC must deny AT&T the ability to attack it.

Sincerely,
Christine Helms
1143 Teal Drive
Red Bud, IL 62278

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lisa Wagaman
4116 Shenandoah , St Louis, MO 63110

December 8, 2006 8:27 PM

Senator Jim Talent
U.S. Senate
493 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Talent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lisa Wagaman
4116 Shenandoah
St Louis, MO 63110

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Christine Helms
1143 Teal Drive , Red Bud, IL 62278

December 8, 2006 8:27 PM

Representative Jerry Costello
U.S. House of Representatives
2269 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Costello,

In the FCCs review of the merger between Bell and AT&T, it is of the most vital importance that Net Neutrality be foremost in their minds. This issue is of great importance to myself and everyone that I know.

Kudos to Commissioner Robert McDowell for recusing himself due to conflict of interest. However, AT&T an Ken Martin should be ashamed for forcing him to vote and refusing to compromise when the remaining commissioners were split. If this is legal, it shouldn't be.

Neutrality on the net is of the most urgent importance. The FCC must deny AT&T the ability to attack it.

Sincerely,
Christine Helms
1143 Teal Drive
Red Bud, IL 62278

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charlotte Stiefel
36 Hunters Brook Rd , Sagamore Beach, MA 02562

December 8, 2006 8:27 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charlotte Stiefel
36 Hunters Brook Rd
Sagamore Beach, MA 02562

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charlotte Stiefel
36 Hunters Brook Rd , Sagamore Beach, MA 02562

December 8, 2006 8:27 PM

Representative Bill Delahunt
U.S. House of Representatives
2454 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Delahunt,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charlotte Stiefel
36 Hunters Brook Rd
Sagamore Beach, MA 02562

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lisa Wagaman
4116 Shenandoah , St Louis, MO 63110

December 8, 2006 8:27 PM

Senator Jim Talent
U.S. Senate
493 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Talent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lisa Wagaman
4116 Shenandoah
St Louis, MO 63110

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lisa Wagaman
4116 Shenandoah , St Louis, MO 63110

December 8, 2006 8:27 PM

Representative Russ Carnahan
U.S. House of Representatives
1232 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Carnahan,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lisa Wagaman
4116 Shenandoah
St Louis, MO 63110

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Eric Bronson
8112 nw 93 ave , Tamarac, FL 33321

December 8, 2006 8:25 PM

Representative Robert Wexler
U.S. House of Representatives
213 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Wexler,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Eric Bronson
8112 nw 93 ave
Tamarac, FL 33321

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

THOMAS HIGGINS
1413 HWAY 17-SOUTH PMB-171, SURFSIDE BEACH, SC 29575

December 8, 2006 8:25 PM

Representative Henry Brown
U.S. House of Representatives
1124 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Brown,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
THOMAS HIGGINS
1413 HWAY 17-SOUTH
PMB-171
SURFSIDE BEACH, SC 29575

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

THOMAS HIGGINS
1413 HWAY 17-SOUTH PMB-171, SURFSIDE BEACH, SC 29575

December 8, 2006 8:25 PM

Senator Jim Demint
U. S. Senate
340 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Demint,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
THOMAS HIGGINS
1413 HWAY 17-SOUTH
PMB-171
SURFSIDE BEACH, SC 29575

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Eric Bronson
8112 nw 93 ave , Tamarac, FL 33321

December 8, 2006 8:25 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Eric Bronson
8112 nw 93 ave
Tamarac, FL 33321

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Eric Bronson
8112 nw 93 ave , Tamarac, FL 33321

December 8, 2006 8:25 PM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Eric Bronson
8112 nw 93 ave
Tamarac, FL 33321

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

THOMAS HIGGINS
1413 HWAY 17-SOUTH PMB-171, SURFSIDE BEACH, SC 29575

December 8, 2006 8:25 PM

Senator Lindsey Graham
U.S. Senate
290 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Graham,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
THOMAS HIGGINS
1413 HWAY 17-SOUTH
PMB-171
SURFSIDE BEACH, SC 29575

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Eric Bronson
8112 nw 93 ave , Tamarac, FL 33321

December 8, 2006 8:25 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Eric Bronson
8112 nw 93 ave
Tamarac, FL 33321

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

THOMAS HIGGINS
1413 HWAY 17-SOUTH PMB-171, SURFSIDE BEACH, SC 29575

December 8, 2006 8:25 PM

Senator Lindsey Graham
U.S. Senate
290 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Graham,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
THOMAS HIGGINS
1413 HWAY 17-SOUTH
PMB-171
SURFSIDE BEACH, SC 29575

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

THOMAS HIGGINS
1413 HWAY 17-SOUTH PMB-171, SURFSIDE BEACH, SC 29575

December 8, 2006 8:25 PM

Representative Henry Brown
U.S. House of Representatives
1124 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Brown,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
THOMAS HIGGINS
1413 HWAY 17-SOUTH
PMB-171
SURFSIDE BEACH, SC 29575

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

philip gregory
s. 123 4 , spokane, WA 99204

December 8, 2006 8:23 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
philip gregory
s. 123 4
spokane, WA 99204

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

philip gregory
s. 123 4 , spokane, WA 99204

December 8, 2006 8:23 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
philip gregory
s. 123 4
spokane, WA 99204

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Matthew Payne
703 Bellevue , Seattle, WA 98102

December 8, 2006 8:23 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Matthew Payne
703 Bellevue
Seattle, WA 98102

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Matthew Payne
703 Bellevue , Seattle, WA 98102

December 8, 2006 8:23 PM

Representative Jim McDermott
U.S. House of Representatives
1035 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McDermott,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Matthew Payne
703 Bellevue
Seattle, WA 98102

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Matthew Payne
703 Bellevue , Seattle, WA 98102

December 8, 2006 8:23 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Matthew Payne
703 Bellevue
Seattle, WA 98102

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

philip gregory
s. 123 4 , spokane, WA 99204

December 8, 2006 8:23 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
philip gregory
s. 123 4
spokane, WA 99204

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Matthew Payne
703 Bellevue , Seattle, WA 98102

December 8, 2006 8:23 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Matthew Payne
703 Bellevue
Seattle, WA 98102

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Matthew Payne
703 Bellevue , Seattle, WA 98102

December 8, 2006 8:23 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Matthew Payne
703 Bellevue
Seattle, WA 98102

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

philip gregory
s. 123 4 , spokane, WA 99204

December 8, 2006 8:23 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
philip gregory
s. 123 4
spokane, WA 99204

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Matthew Payne
703 Bellevue , Seattle, WA 98102

December 8, 2006 8:23 PM

Representative Jim McDermott
U.S. House of Representatives
1035 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McDermott,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Matthew Payne
703 Bellevue
Seattle, WA 98102

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Christine Kucipeck
155 Weaver St. Apt. 1 , Burlington, VT 05404

December 8, 2006 8:23 PM

Senator Jim Jeffords
U.S. Senate
413 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Jeffords,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Christine Kucipeck
155 Weaver St. Apt. 1
Burlington, VT 05404

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Christine Kucipeck
155 Weaver St. Apt. 1 , Burlington, VT 05404

December 8, 2006 8:23 PM

Senator Patrick Leahy
U.S. Senate
433 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Leahy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Christine Kucipeck
155 Weaver St. Apt. 1
Burlington, VT 05404

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Christine Kucipeck
155 Weaver St. Apt. 1 , Burlington, VT 05404

December 8, 2006 8:23 PM

Representative Bernie Sanders
U.S. House of Representatives
2233 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sanders,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Christine Kucipeck
155 Weaver St. Apt. 1
Burlington, VT 05404

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Christine Kucipeck
155 Weaver St. Apt. 1 , Burlington, VT 05404

December 8, 2006 8:23 PM

Senator Jim Jeffords
U.S. Senate
413 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Jeffords,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Christine Kucipeck
155 Weaver St. Apt. 1
Burlington, VT 05404

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Christine Kucipeck
155 Weaver St. Apt. 1 , Burlington, VT 05404

December 8, 2006 8:23 PM

Senator Patrick Leahy
U.S. Senate
433 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Leahy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Christine Kucipeck
155 Weaver St. Apt. 1
Burlington, VT 05404

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Christine Kucipeck
155 Weaver St. Apt. 1 , Burlington, VT 05404

December 8, 2006 8:23 PM

Representative Bernie Sanders
U.S. House of Representatives
2233 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sanders,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Christine Kucipeck
155 Weaver St. Apt. 1
Burlington, VT 05404

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Ehrensperger
727 College Ave. , Lancaster, PA 17603

December 8, 2006 8:20 PM

Representative Joseph Pitts
U.S. House of Representatives
221 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Pitts,

This is important to me as an American citizen.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved."

Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Ehrensperger
727 College Ave.
Lancaster, PA 17603

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Ehrensperger
727 College Ave. , Lancaster, PA 17603

December 8, 2006 8:20 PM

Senator Rick Santorum
U.S. Senate
511 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Santorum,

This is important to me as an American citizen.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved."

Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Ehrensperger
727 College Ave.
Lancaster, PA 17603

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Ehrensperger
727 College Ave. , Lancaster, PA 17603

December 8, 2006 8:20 PM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

This is important to me as an American citizen.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved."

Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Ehrensperger
727 College Ave.
Lancaster, PA 17603

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Ehrensperger
727 College Ave. , Lancaster, PA 17603

December 8, 2006 8:20 PM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

This is important to me as an American citizen.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved."

Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Ehrensperger
727 College Ave.
Lancaster, PA 17603

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Ehrensperger
727 College Ave. , Lancaster, PA 17603

December 8, 2006 8:20 PM

Representative Joseph Pitts
U.S. House of Representatives
221 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Pitts,

This is important to me as an American citizen.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved."

Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Ehrensperger
727 College Ave.
Lancaster, PA 17603

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Alonzo Rainero
861 robin road apt d , Amherst, NY 14228

December 8, 2006 8:19 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alonzo Rainero
861 robin road apt d
Amherst, NY 14228

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Chaz Sewell
320 E Dogwood Apt. D, Hermiston, OR 97838

December 8, 2006 8:19 PM

Representative Greg Walden
U.S. House of Representatives
1210 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Walden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Chaz Sewell
320 E Dogwood
Apt. D
Hermiston, OR 97838

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Chaz Sewell
320 E Dogwood Apt. D, Hermiston, OR 97838

December 8, 2006 8:19 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Chaz Sewell
320 E Dogwood
Apt. D
Hermiston, OR 97838

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Amanda Mantino
2934 SE Alder #10 , Portland, OR 97214

December 8, 2006 8:19 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Amanda Mantino
2934 SE Alder #10
Portland, OR 97214

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Amanda Mantino
2934 SE Alder #10 , Portland, OR 97214

December 8, 2006 8:19 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Amanda Mantino
2934 SE Alder #10
Portland, OR 97214

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Amanda Mantino
2934 SE Alder #10 , Portland, OR 97214

December 8, 2006 8:19 PM

Representative Earl Blumenauer
U.S. House of Representatives
2446 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Blumenauer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Amanda Mantino
2934 SE Alder #10
Portland, OR 97214

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Alonzo Rainero
861 robin road apt d , Amherst, NY 14228

December 8, 2006 8:19 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alonzo Rainero
861 robin road apt d
Amherst, NY 14228

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Alonzo Rainero
861 robin road apt d , Amherst, NY 14228

December 8, 2006 8:19 PM

Representative Thomas Reynolds
U.S. House of Representatives
332 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Reynolds,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alonzo Rainero
861 robin road apt d
Amherst, NY 14228

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Chaz Sewell
320 E Dogwood Apt. D, Hermiston, OR 97838

December 8, 2006 8:19 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Chaz Sewell
320 E Dogwood
Apt. D
Hermiston, OR 97838

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Alonzo Rainero
861 robin road apt d , Amherst, NY 14228

December 8, 2006 8:19 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alonzo Rainero
861 robin road apt d
Amherst, NY 14228

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Alonzo Rainero
861 robin road apt d , Amherst, NY 14228

December 8, 2006 8:19 PM

Representative Thomas Reynolds
U.S. House of Representatives
332 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Reynolds,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alonzo Rainero
861 robin road apt d
Amherst, NY 14228

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Chaz Sewell
320 E Dogwood Apt. D, Hermiston, OR 97838

December 8, 2006 8:19 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Chaz Sewell
320 E Dogwood
Apt. D
Hermiston, OR 97838

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Amanda Mantino
2934 SE Alder #10 , Portland, OR 97214

December 8, 2006 8:19 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Amanda Mantino
2934 SE Alder #10
Portland, OR 97214

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Chaz Sewell
320 E Dogwood Apt. D, Hermiston, OR 97838

December 8, 2006 8:19 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Chaz Sewell
320 E Dogwood
Apt. D
Hermiston, OR 97838

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Amanda Mantino
2934 SE Alder #10 , Portland, OR 97214

December 8, 2006 8:19 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Amanda Mantino
2934 SE Alder #10
Portland, OR 97214

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Chaz Sewell
320 E Dogwood Apt. D, Hermiston, OR 97838

December 8, 2006 8:19 PM

Representative Greg Walden
U.S. House of Representatives
1210 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Walden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Chaz Sewell
320 E Dogwood
Apt. D
Hermiston, OR 97838

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Amanda Mantino
2934 SE Alder #10 , Portland, OR 97214

December 8, 2006 8:19 PM

Representative Earl Blumenauer
U.S. House of Representatives
2446 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Blumenauer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Amanda Mantino
2934 SE Alder #10
Portland, OR 97214

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Shelley Fritz
27 Silver Poplar Court , Roseville, CA 95678

December 8, 2006 8:18 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Shelley Fritz
27 Silver Poplar Court
Roseville, CA 95678

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marc Flores
171 Stetson Cove , Kyle, TX 78640

December 8, 2006 8:18 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marc Flores
171 Stetson Cove
Kyle, TX 78640

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

james jefferies
2980 frayne ln , concord, CA 94518

December 8, 2006 8:18 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
james jefferies
2980 frayne ln
concord, CA 94518

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

james jefferies
2980 frayne ln , concord, CA 94518

December 8, 2006 8:18 PM

Representative Ellen Tauscher
U.S. House of Representatives
1034 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Tauscher,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
james jefferies
2980 frayne ln
concord, CA 94518

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marc Flores
171 Stetson Cove , Kyle, TX 78640

December 8, 2006 8:18 PM

Representative Henry Cuellar
U.S. House of Representatives
1404 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Cuellar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marc Flores
171 Stetson Cove
Kyle, TX 78640

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marc Flores
171 Stetson Cove , Kyle, TX 78640

December 8, 2006 8:18 PM

Senator John Cornyn
U.S. Senate
517 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marc Flores
171 Stetson Cove
Kyle, TX 78640

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

james jefferies
2980 frayne ln , concord, CA 94518

December 8, 2006 8:18 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
james jefferies
2980 frayne ln
concord, CA 94518

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Shelley Fritz
27 Silver Poplar Court , Roseville, CA 95678

December 8, 2006 8:18 PM

Representative John Doolittle
U.S. House of Representatives
2410 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Doolittle,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Shelley Fritz
27 Silver Poplar Court
Roseville, CA 95678

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Shelley Fritz
27 Silver Poplar Court , Roseville, CA 95678

December 8, 2006 8:18 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Shelley Fritz
27 Silver Poplar Court
Roseville, CA 95678

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Shelley Fritz
27 Silver Poplar Court , Roseville, CA 95678

December 8, 2006 8:18 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Shelley Fritz
27 Silver Poplar Court
Roseville, CA 95678

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marc Flores
171 Stetson Cove , Kyle, TX 78640

December 8, 2006 8:18 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marc Flores
171 Stetson Cove
Kyle, TX 78640

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marc Flores
171 Stetson Cove , Kyle, TX 78640

December 8, 2006 8:18 PM

Representative Henry Cuellar
U.S. House of Representatives
1404 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Cuellar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marc Flores
171 Stetson Cove
Kyle, TX 78640

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

james jefferies
2980 frayne ln , concord, CA 94518

December 8, 2006 8:18 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
james jefferies
2980 frayne ln
concord, CA 94518

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Don Deakins
9920 Hamby Road , Soddy Daisy, TN 37379-3512

December 8, 2006 8:16 PM

Senator Bill Frist
U.S. Senate
509 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Frist,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Don Deakins
9920 Hamby Road
Soddy Daisy, TN 37379-3512

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Don Deakins
9920 Hamby Road , Soddy Daisy, TN 37379-3512

December 8, 2006 8:16 PM

Representative Zach Wamp
U.S. House of Representatives
1436 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Wamp,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Don Deakins
9920 Hamby Road
Soddy Daisy, TN 37379-3512

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Don Deakins
9920 Hamby Road , Soddy Daisy, TN 37379-3512

December 8, 2006 8:16 PM

Senator Lamar Alexander
U.S. Senate
302 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Alexander,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Don Deakins
9920 Hamby Road
Soddy Daisy, TN 37379-3512

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Don Deakins
9920 Hamby Road , Soddy Daisy, TN 37379-3512

December 8, 2006 8:16 PM

Senator Bill Frist
U.S. Senate
509 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Frist,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Don Deakins
9920 Hamby Road
Soddy Daisy, TN 37379-3512

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William Austin
1211 Metze Rd., C-6 , Columbia, SC 29210

December 8, 2006 8:16 PM

Representative Joe Wilson
U.S. House of Representatives
212 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Wilson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William Austin
1211 Metze Rd., C-6
Columbia, SC 29210

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William Austin
1211 Metze Rd., C-6 , Columbia, SC 29210

December 8, 2006 8:16 PM

Senator Lindsey Graham
U.S. Senate
290 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Graham,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William Austin
1211 Metze Rd., C-6
Columbia, SC 29210

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William Austin
1211 Metze Rd., C-6 , Columbia, SC 29210

December 8, 2006 8:16 PM

Senator Jim Demint
U. S. Senate
340 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Demint,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William Austin
1211 Metze Rd., C-6
Columbia, SC 29210

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Neal Baron
PO Box 2327 , Fredericksburg, TX 78624

December 8, 2006 8:16 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Neal Baron
PO Box 2327
Fredericksburg, TX 78624

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Neal Baron
PO Box 2327 , Fredericksburg, TX 78624

December 8, 2006 8:16 PM

Senator John Cornyn
U.S. Senate
517 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Neal Baron
PO Box 2327
Fredericksburg, TX 78624

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Neal Baron
PO Box 2327 , Fredericksburg, TX 78624

December 8, 2006 8:16 PM

Representative Mike Conaway
U.S. House of Representative
511 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Conaway,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Neal Baron
PO Box 2327
Fredericksburg, TX 78624

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William Austin
1211 Metze Rd., C-6 , Columbia, SC 29210

December 8, 2006 8:16 PM

Senator Lindsey Graham
U.S. Senate
290 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Graham,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William Austin
1211 Metze Rd., C-6
Columbia, SC 29210

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William Austin
1211 Metze Rd., C-6 , Columbia, SC 29210

December 8, 2006 8:16 PM

Representative Joe Wilson
U.S. House of Representatives
212 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Wilson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William Austin
1211 Metze Rd., C-6
Columbia, SC 29210

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Don Deakins
9920 Hamby Road , Soddy Daisy, TN 37379-3512

December 8, 2006 8:16 PM

Senator Lamar Alexander
U.S. Senate
302 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Alexander,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Don Deakins
9920 Hamby Road
Soddy Daisy, TN 37379-3512

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Don Deakins
9920 Hamby Road , Soddy Daisy, TN 37379-3512

December 8, 2006 8:16 PM

Representative Zach Wamp
U.S. House of Representatives
1436 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Wamp,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Don Deakins
9920 Hamby Road
Soddy Daisy, TN 37379-3512

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Neal Baron
PO Box 2327 , Fredericksburg, TX 78624

December 8, 2006 8:16 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Neal Baron
PO Box 2327
Fredericksburg, TX 78624

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Neal Baron
PO Box 2327 , Fredericksburg, TX 78624

December 8, 2006 8:16 PM

Representative Mike Conaway
U.S. House of Representative
511 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Conaway,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Neal Baron
PO Box 2327
Fredericksburg, TX 78624

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert DuBois
2425 Mockingbird Ave , Saint Cloud, FL 34771

December 8, 2006 8:15 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert DuBois
2425 Mockingbird Ave
Saint Cloud, FL 34771

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert DuBois
2425 Mockingbird Ave , Saint Cloud, FL 34771

December 8, 2006 8:15 PM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert DuBois
2425 Mockingbird Ave
Saint Cloud, FL 34771

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert DuBois
2425 Mockingbird Ave , Saint Cloud, FL 34771

December 8, 2006 8:15 PM

Representative Dave Weldon
U.S. House of Representatives
2347 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Weldon,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert DuBois
2425 Mockingbird Ave
Saint Cloud, FL 34771

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert DuBois
2425 Mockingbird Ave , Saint Cloud, FL 34771

December 8, 2006 8:15 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert DuBois
2425 Mockingbird Ave
Saint Cloud, FL 34771

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert DuBois
2425 Mockingbird Ave , Saint Cloud, FL 34771

December 8, 2006 8:15 PM

Representative Dave Weldon
U.S. House of Representatives
2347 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Weldon,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert DuBois
2425 Mockingbird Ave
Saint Cloud, FL 34771

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Zeb Dropkin
604 E 9th St Apt 13, New York, NY 10009

December 8, 2006 8:14 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Zeb Dropkin
604 E 9th St
Apt 13
New York, NY 10009

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Zeb Dropkin
604 E 9th St Apt 13, New York, NY 10009

December 8, 2006 8:14 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Zeb Dropkin
604 E 9th St
Apt 13
New York, NY 10009

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Zeb Dropkin
604 E 9th St Apt 13, New York, NY 10009

December 8, 2006 8:14 PM

Representative Nydia Velazquez
U.S. House of Representatives
2241 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Velazquez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Zeb Dropkin
604 E 9th St
Apt 13
New York, NY 10009

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Zeb Dropkin
604 E 9th St Apt 13, New York, NY 10009

December 8, 2006 8:14 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Zeb Dropkin
604 E 9th St
Apt 13
New York, NY 10009

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Zeb Dropkin
604 E 9th St Apt 13, New York, NY 10009

December 8, 2006 8:14 PM

Representative Nydia Velazquez
U.S. House of Representatives
2241 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Velazquez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Zeb Dropkin
604 E 9th St
Apt 13
New York, NY 10009

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bill Aston
P.O. Box 27527 , Seattle, WA 98165-

December 8, 2006 8:14 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The Citizens of this Country have spoken in the last election that we want more direct participation in Government. These backroom deals involving the internet will not help the free flow of ideas that is desperately needed at this time.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and

Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bill Aston
P.O. Box 27527
Seattle, WA 98165-

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bill Aston
P.O. Box 27527 , Seattle, WA 98165-

December 8, 2006 8:14 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The Citizens of this Country have spoken in the last election that we want more direct participation in Government. These backroom deals involving the internet will not help the free flow of ideas that is desperately needed at this time.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and

Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bill Aston
P.O. Box 27527
Seattle, WA 98165-

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bill Aston
P.O. Box 27527 , Seattle, WA 98165-

December 8, 2006 8:14 PM

Representative Jim McDermott
U.S. House of Representatives
1035 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McDermott,

The Citizens of this Country have spoken in the last election that we want more direct participation in Government. These backroom deals involving the internet will not help the free flow of ideas that is desperately needed at this time.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and

Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bill Aston
P.O. Box 27527
Seattle, WA 98165-

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bill Aston
P.O. Box 27527 , Seattle, WA 98165-

December 8, 2006 8:14 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The Citizens of this Country have spoken in the last election that we want more direct participation in Government. These backroom deals involving the internet will not help the free flow of ideas that is desperately needed at this time.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and

Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bill Aston
P.O. Box 27527
Seattle, WA 98165-

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bill Aston
P.O. Box 27527 , Seattle, WA 98165-

December 8, 2006 8:14 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The Citizens of this Country have spoken in the last election that we want more direct participation in Government. These backroom deals involving the internet will not help the free flow of ideas that is desperately needed at this time.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and

Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bill Aston
P.O. Box 27527
Seattle, WA 98165-

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bill Aston
P.O. Box 27527 , Seattle, WA 98165-

December 8, 2006 8:14 PM

Representative Jim McDermott
U.S. House of Representatives
1035 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McDermott,

The Citizens of this Country have spoken in the last election that we want more direct participation in Government. These backroom deals involving the internet will not help the free flow of ideas that is desperately needed at this time.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and

Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bill Aston
P.O. Box 27527
Seattle, WA 98165-

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Manning
910 Hopkins Dr , Bellevue, NE 68005

December 8, 2006 8:13 PM

Representative Lee Terry
U.S. House of Representatives
1524 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Terry,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Manning
910 Hopkins Dr
Bellevue, NE 68005

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Manning
910 Hopkins Dr , Bellevue, NE 68005

December 8, 2006 8:13 PM

Senator Chuck Hagel
U.S. Senate
248 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hagel,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Manning
910 Hopkins Dr
Bellevue, NE 68005

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Manning
910 Hopkins Dr , Bellevue, NE 68005

December 8, 2006 8:13 PM

Senator Ben Nelson
U.S. Senate
720 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Manning
910 Hopkins Dr
Bellevue, NE 68005

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Manning
910 Hopkins Dr , Bellevue, NE 68005

December 8, 2006 8:13 PM

Senator Chuck Hagel
U.S. Senate
248 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hagel,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Manning
910 Hopkins Dr
Bellevue, NE 68005

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Manning
910 Hopkins Dr , Bellevue, NE 68005

December 8, 2006 8:13 PM

Representative Lee Terry
U.S. House of Representatives
1524 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Terry,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Manning
910 Hopkins Dr
Bellevue, NE 68005

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Martin
25005 dana dr. , Dana point, CA 92629

December 8, 2006 8:11 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Martin
25005 dana dr.
Dana point, CA 92629

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Martin
25005 dana dr. , Dana point, CA 92629

December 8, 2006 8:11 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Martin
25005 dana dr.
Dana point, CA 92629

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

eve berliner
116 rose ave. #6 , lvenice, CA 90291

December 8, 2006 8:11 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
eve berliner
116 rose ave. #6
lvenice, CA 90291

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

eve berliner
116 rose ave. #6 , lvenice, CA 90291

December 8, 2006 8:11 PM

Representative Jane Harman
U.S. House of Representatives
2400 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Harman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
eve berliner
116 rose ave. #6
lvenice, CA 90291

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nathan Slatter
10699 Royal Magnolia , Conroe, TX 77303

December 8, 2006 8:11 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Possible FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nathan Slatter
10699 Royal Magnolia
Conroe, TX 77303

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Martin
25005 dana dr. , Dana point, CA 92629

December 8, 2006 8:11 PM

Representative John Campbell
U.S. House of Representatives
2402 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Campbell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Martin
25005 dana dr.
Dana point, CA 92629

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

eve berliner
116 rose ave. #6 , lvenice, CA 90291

December 8, 2006 8:11 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
eve berliner
116 rose ave. #6
lvenice, CA 90291

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Martin
25005 dana dr. , Dana point, CA 92629

December 8, 2006 8:11 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Martin
25005 dana dr.
Dana point, CA 92629

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nathan Slatter
10699 Royal Magnolia , Conroe, TX 77303

December 8, 2006 8:11 PM

Senator John Cornyn
U.S. Senate
517 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Possible FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nathan Slatter
10699 Royal Magnolia
Conroe, TX 77303

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nathan Slatter
10699 Royal Magnolia , Conroe, TX 77303

December 8, 2006 8:11 PM

Representative Kevin Brady
U.S. House of Representatives
428 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Possible FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Brady,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nathan Slatter
10699 Royal Magnolia
Conroe, TX 77303

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nathan Slatter
10699 Royal Magnolia , Conroe, TX 77303

December 8, 2006 8:11 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Possible FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nathan Slatter
10699 Royal Magnolia
Conroe, TX 77303

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nathan Slatter
10699 Royal Magnolia , Conroe, TX 77303

December 8, 2006 8:11 PM

Representative Kevin Brady
U.S. House of Representatives
428 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Possible FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Brady,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nathan Slatter
10699 Royal Magnolia
Conroe, TX 77303

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

eve berliner
116 rose ave. #6 , lvenice, CA 90291

December 8, 2006 8:11 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
eve berliner
116 rose ave. #6
lvenice, CA 90291

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

eve berliner
116 rose ave. #6 , lvenice, CA 90291

December 8, 2006 8:11 PM

Representative Jane Harman
U.S. House of Representatives
2400 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Harman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
eve berliner
116 rose ave. #6
lvenice, CA 90291

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Linda Wilscam
161 East Main St #12 , Rockville, CT 06066

December 8, 2006 8:10 PM

Senator Joe Lieberman
U.S. Senate
706 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lieberman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Linda Wilscam
161 East Main St #12
Rockville, CT 06066

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joshua Roetzer
444 West Delavan Ave. , Buffalo, NY 14213

December 8, 2006 8:10 PM

Representative Brian Higgins
U.S. House of Representatives
431 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Higgins,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joshua Roetzer
444 West Delavan Ave.
Buffalo, NY 14213

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Linda Wilscam
161 East Main St #12 , Rockville, CT 06066

December 8, 2006 8:10 PM

Senator Joe Lieberman
U.S. Senate
706 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lieberman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Linda Wilscam
161 East Main St #12
Rockville, CT 06066

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joshua Roetzer
444 West Delavan Ave. , Buffalo, NY 14213

December 8, 2006 8:10 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joshua Roetzer
444 West Delavan Ave.
Buffalo, NY 14213

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Linda Wilscam
161 East Main St #12 , Rockville, CT 06066

December 8, 2006 8:10 PM

Senator Chris Dodd
U.S. Senate
448 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dodd,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Linda Wilscam
161 East Main St #12
Rockville, CT 06066

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joshua Roetzer
444 West Delavan Ave. , Buffalo, NY 14213

December 8, 2006 8:10 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joshua Roetzer
444 West Delavan Ave.
Buffalo, NY 14213

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Linda Wilscam
161 East Main St #12 , Rockville, CT 06066

December 8, 2006 8:10 PM

Representative Rob Simmons
U.S. House of Representatives
215 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Simmons,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Linda Wilscam
161 East Main St #12
Rockville, CT 06066

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joshua Roetzer
444 West Delavan Ave. , Buffalo, NY 14213

December 8, 2006 8:10 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joshua Roetzer
444 West Delavan Ave.
Buffalo, NY 14213

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joshua Roetzer
444 West Delavan Ave. , Buffalo, NY 14213

December 8, 2006 8:10 PM

Representative Brian Higgins
U.S. House of Representatives
431 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Higgins,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joshua Roetzer
444 West Delavan Ave.
Buffalo, NY 14213

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dean Patterson
1975 E Apache #110 , tempe, AZ 85281

December 8, 2006 8:08 PM

Senator Jon Kyl
U.S. Senate
730 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dean Patterson
1975 E Apache #110
tempe, AZ 85281

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dean Patterson
1975 E Apache #110 , tempe, AZ 85281

December 8, 2006 8:08 PM

Senator John McCain
U.S. Senate
241 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dean Patterson
1975 E Apache #110
tempe, AZ 85281

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dean Patterson
1975 E Apache #110 , tempe, AZ 85281

December 8, 2006 8:08 PM

Representative J.D. Hayworth
U.S. House of Representatives
2434 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hayworth,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dean Patterson
1975 E Apache #110
tempe, AZ 85281

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeff Laux
8029 Gatewood ln , Woodridge, IL 60517

December 8, 2006 8:08 PM

Representative Judy Biggert
U.S. House of Representatives
1317 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Biggert,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeff Laux
8029 Gatewood ln
Woodridge, IL 60517

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Chris Stump
409 mercer st , Ligonier, IN 46767

December 8, 2006 8:08 PM

Representative Mark Souder
U.S. House of Representatives
2231 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Souder,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Chris Stump
409 mercer st
Ligonier, IN 46767

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Chris Stump
409 mercer st , Ligonier, IN 46767

December 8, 2006 8:08 PM

Senator Evan Bayh
U.S. Senate
463 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bayh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Chris Stump
409 mercer st
Ligonier, IN 46767

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeff Laux
8029 Gatewood ln , Woodridge, IL 60517

December 8, 2006 8:08 PM

Senator Barack Obama
U.S. Senate
713 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeff Laux
8029 Gatewood ln
Woodridge, IL 60517

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Chris Stump
409 mercer st , Ligonier, IN 46767

December 8, 2006 8:08 PM

Senator Richard Lugar
U.S. Senate
306 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lugar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Chris Stump
409 mercer st
Ligonier, IN 46767

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeff Laux
8029 Gatewood ln , Woodridge, IL 60517

December 8, 2006 8:08 PM

Senator Dick Durbin
U.S. Senate
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeff Laux
8029 Gatewood ln
Woodridge, IL 60517

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dean Patterson
1975 E Apache #110 , tempe, AZ 85281

December 8, 2006 8:08 PM

Representative J.D. Hayworth
U.S. House of Representatives
2434 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hayworth,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dean Patterson
1975 E Apache #110
tempe, AZ 85281

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dean Patterson
1975 E Apache #110 , tempe, AZ 85281

December 8, 2006 8:08 PM

Senator Jon Kyl
U.S. Senate
730 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dean Patterson
1975 E Apache #110
tempe, AZ 85281

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dean Patterson
1975 E Apache #110 , tempe, AZ 85281

December 8, 2006 8:08 PM

Senator John McCain
U.S. Senate
241 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dean Patterson
1975 E Apache #110
tempe, AZ 85281

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Chris Stump
409 mercer st , Ligonier, IN 46767

December 8, 2006 8:08 PM

Senator Evan Bayh
U.S. Senate
463 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bayh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Chris Stump
409 mercer st
Ligonier, IN 46767

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Chris Stump
409 mercer st , Ligonier, IN 46767

December 8, 2006 8:08 PM

Senator Richard Lugar
U.S. Senate
306 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lugar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Chris Stump
409 mercer st
Ligonier, IN 46767

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Chris Stump
409 mercer st , Ligonier, IN 46767

December 8, 2006 8:08 PM

Representative Mark Souder
U.S. House of Representatives
2231 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Souder,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Chris Stump
409 mercer st
Ligonier, IN 46767

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gerilyn (Gess) Healey
PO Box 2862 , Taos, NM 87571

December 8, 2006 8:07 PM

Senator Pete Domenici
U.S. Senate
328 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Domenici,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gerilyn (Gess) Healey
PO Box 2862
Taos, NM 87571

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gerilyn (Gess) Healey
PO Box 2862 , Taos, NM 87571

December 8, 2006 8:07 PM

Representative Tom Udall
U.S. House of Representatives
1414 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Udall,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gerilyn (Gess) Healey
PO Box 2862
Taos, NM 87571

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

javier diaz
24 Hunters Circle , Eastampton, NJ 08060

December 8, 2006 8:07 PM

Representative Jim Saxton
U.S. House of Representatives
2217 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Saxton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
javier diaz
24 Hunters Circle
Eastampton, NJ 08060

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

javier diaz
24 Hunters Circle , Eastampton, NJ 08060

December 8, 2006 8:07 PM

Senator Robert Menendez
U.S. Senate
502 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
javier diaz
24 Hunters Circle
Eastampton, NJ 08060

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

javier diaz
24 Hunters Circle , Eastampton, NJ 08060

December 8, 2006 8:07 PM

Senator Frank Lautenberg
U.S. Senate
324 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
javier diaz
24 Hunters Circle
Eastampton, NJ 08060

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gerilyn (Gess) Healey
PO Box 2862 , Taos, NM 87571

December 8, 2006 8:07 PM

Senator Jeff Bingaman
U.S. Senate
703 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bingaman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gerilyn (Gess) Healey
PO Box 2862
Taos, NM 87571

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

javier diaz
24 Hunters Circle , Eastampton, NJ 08060

December 8, 2006 8:07 PM

Senator Frank Lautenberg
U.S. Senate
324 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
javier diaz
24 Hunters Circle
Eastampton, NJ 08060

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

javier diaz
24 Hunters Circle , Eastampton, NJ 08060

December 8, 2006 8:07 PM

Senator Robert Menendez
U.S. Senate
502 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
javier diaz
24 Hunters Circle
Eastampton, NJ 08060

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

javier diaz
24 Hunters Circle , Eastampton, NJ 08060

December 8, 2006 8:07 PM

Representative Jim Saxton
U.S. House of Representatives
2217 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Saxton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
javier diaz
24 Hunters Circle
Eastampton, NJ 08060

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gerilyn (Gess) Healey
PO Box 2862 , Taos, NM 87571

December 8, 2006 8:07 PM

Senator Jeff Bingaman
U.S. Senate
703 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bingaman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gerilyn (Gess) Healey
PO Box 2862
Taos, NM 87571

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gerilyn (Gess) Healey
PO Box 2862 , Taos, NM 87571

December 8, 2006 8:07 PM

Senator Pete Domenici
U.S. Senate
328 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Domenici,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gerilyn (Gess) Healey
PO Box 2862
Taos, NM 87571

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gerilyn (Gess) Healey
PO Box 2862 , Taos, NM 87571

December 8, 2006 8:07 PM

Representative Tom Udall
U.S. House of Representatives
1414 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Udall,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gerilyn (Gess) Healey
PO Box 2862
Taos, NM 87571

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sharon Rieke
pobox 1665 , Florence, OR 97439

December 8, 2006 8:06 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sharon Rieke
pobox 1665
Florence, OR 97439

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Zieba
3816 Zenith Ave N Robbinsdale, Robbinsdale, MN 55422-2319

December 8, 2006 8:06 PM

Senator Mark Dayton
U.S. Senate
123 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dayton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth. It would be the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications.

An absolute necessity of this merger is FULL NET NEUTRALITY.

Commissioner Robert McDowell has wisely and properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "UNRECUSE" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger.

Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ETHICAL and impartial policymaking. This is unacceptable.

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Zieba
3816 Zenith Ave N
Robbinsdale
Robbinsdale, MN 55422-2319

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Zieba
3816 Zenith Ave N Robbinsdale, Robbinsdale, MN 55422-2319

December 8, 2006 8:06 PM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth. It would be the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications.

An absolute necessity of this merger is FULL NET NEUTRALITY.

Commissioner Robert McDowell has wisely and properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "UNRECUSE" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger.

Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ETHICAL and impartial policymaking. This is unacceptable.

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Zieba
3816 Zenith Ave N
Robbinsdale
Robbinsdale, MN 55422-2319

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sharon Rieke
pobox 1665 , Florence, OR 97439

December 8, 2006 8:06 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sharon Rieke
pobox 1665
Florence, OR 97439

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Zieba
3816 Zenith Ave N Robbinsdale, Robbinsdale, MN 55422-2319

December 8, 2006 8:06 PM

Representative Martin Sabo
U.S. House of Representatives
2336 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sabo,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth. It would be the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications.

An absolute necessity of this merger is FULL NET NEUTRALITY.

Commissioner Robert McDowell has wisely and properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "UNRECUSE" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger.

Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ETHICAL and impartial policymaking. This is unacceptable.

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Zieba
3816 Zenith Ave N
Robbinsdale
Robbinsdale, MN 55422-2319

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sharon Rieke
pobox 1665 , Florence, OR 97439

December 8, 2006 8:06 PM

Representative Peter DeFazio
U.S. House of Representatives
2134 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative DeFazio,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sharon Rieke
pobox 1665
Florence, OR 97439

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Zieba
3816 Zenith Ave N Robbinsdale, Robbinsdale, MN 55422-2319

December 8, 2006 8:06 PM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth. It would be the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications.

An absolute necessity of this merger is FULL NET NEUTRALITY.

Commissioner Robert McDowell has wisely and properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "UNRECUSE" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger.

Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ETHICAL and impartial policymaking. This is unacceptable.

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Zieba
3816 Zenith Ave N
Robbinsdale
Robbinsdale, MN 55422-2319

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sharon Rieke
pobox 1665 , Florence, OR 97439

December 8, 2006 8:06 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sharon Rieke
pobox 1665
Florence, OR 97439

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sharon Rieke
pobox 1665 , Florence, OR 97439

December 8, 2006 8:06 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sharon Rieke
pobox 1665
Florence, OR 97439

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sharon Rieke
pobox 1665 , Florence, OR 97439

December 8, 2006 8:06 PM

Representative Peter DeFazio
U.S. House of Representatives
2134 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative DeFazio,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sharon Rieke
pobox 1665
Florence, OR 97439

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

HOWARD NELSON
712 SW ST.CLAIR AVE #205 , PORTLAND, OR 97205

December 8, 2006 8:04 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
HOWARD NELSON
712 SW ST.CLAIR AVE #205
PORTLAND, OR 97205

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

HOWARD NELSON
712 SW ST.CLAIR AVE #205 , PORTLAND, OR 97205

December 8, 2006 8:04 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
HOWARD NELSON
712 SW ST.CLAIR AVE #205
PORTLAND, OR 97205

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

HOWARD NELSON
712 SW ST.CLAIR AVE #205 , PORTLAND, OR 97205

December 8, 2006 8:04 PM

Representative David Wu
U.S. House of Representatives
1023 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Wu,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
HOWARD NELSON
712 SW ST.CLAIR AVE #205
PORTLAND, OR 97205

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

HOWARD NELSON
712 SW ST.CLAIR AVE #205 , PORTLAND, OR 97205

December 8, 2006 8:04 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
HOWARD NELSON
712 SW ST.CLAIR AVE #205
PORTLAND, OR 97205

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

HOWARD NELSON
712 SW ST.CLAIR AVE #205 , PORTLAND, OR 97205

December 8, 2006 8:04 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
HOWARD NELSON
712 SW ST.CLAIR AVE #205
PORTLAND, OR 97205

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

HOWARD NELSON
712 SW ST.CLAIR AVE #205 , PORTLAND, OR 97205

December 8, 2006 8:04 PM

Representative David Wu
U.S. House of Representatives
1023 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Wu,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
HOWARD NELSON
712 SW ST.CLAIR AVE #205
PORTLAND, OR 97205

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lisa Noble
4528 N. Newhall St. , Shorewood, WI 53211

December 8, 2006 8:04 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lisa Noble
4528 N. Newhall St.
Shorewood, WI 53211

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lisa Noble
4528 N. Newhall St. , Shorewood, WI 53211

December 8, 2006 8:04 PM

Representative James Sensenbrenner
U.S. House of Representatives
2449 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sensenbrenner,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lisa Noble
4528 N. Newhall St.
Shorewood, WI 53211

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jacqueline Foster
894 Morgan Ave , Niskayuna, NY 12309

December 8, 2006 8:04 PM

Representative Michael McNulty
U.S. House of Representatives
2210 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McNulty,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jacqueline Foster
894 Morgan Ave
Niskayuna, NY 12309

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jacqueline Foster
894 Morgan Ave , Niskayuna, NY 12309

December 8, 2006 8:04 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jacqueline Foster
894 Morgan Ave
Niskayuna, NY 12309

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lisa Noble
4528 N. Newhall St. , Shorewood, WI 53211

December 8, 2006 8:04 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lisa Noble
4528 N. Newhall St.
Shorewood, WI 53211

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jacqueline Foster
894 Morgan Ave , Niskayuna, NY 12309

December 8, 2006 8:04 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jacqueline Foster
894 Morgan Ave
Niskayuna, NY 12309

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lisa Noble
4528 N. Newhall St. , Shorewood, WI 53211

December 8, 2006 8:04 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lisa Noble
4528 N. Newhall St.
Shorewood, WI 53211

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jacqueline Foster
894 Morgan Ave , Niskayuna, NY 12309

December 8, 2006 8:04 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jacqueline Foster
894 Morgan Ave
Niskayuna, NY 12309

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jacqueline Foster
894 Morgan Ave , Niskayuna, NY 12309

December 8, 2006 8:04 PM

Representative Michael McNulty
U.S. House of Representatives
2210 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McNulty,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jacqueline Foster
894 Morgan Ave
Niskayuna, NY 12309

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lisa Noble
4528 N. Newhall St. , Shorewood, WI 53211

December 8, 2006 8:04 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lisa Noble
4528 N. Newhall St.
Shorewood, WI 53211

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lisa Noble
4528 N. Newhall St. , Shorewood, WI 53211

December 8, 2006 8:04 PM

Representative James Sensenbrenner
U.S. House of Representatives
2449 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sensenbrenner,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lisa Noble
4528 N. Newhall St.
Shorewood, WI 53211

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy Fitzgerald
PO Box 653 , San Marcos, TX 78667-0653

December 8, 2006 8:03 PM

Representative Henry Cuellar
U.S. House of Representatives
1404 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Cuellar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy Fitzgerald
PO Box 653
San Marcos, TX 78667-0653

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy Fitzgerald
PO Box 653 , San Marcos, TX 78667-0653

December 8, 2006 8:03 PM

Senator John Cornyn
U.S. Senate
517 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy Fitzgerald
PO Box 653
San Marcos, TX 78667-0653

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy Fitzgerald
PO Box 653 , San Marcos, TX 78667-0653

December 8, 2006 8:03 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy Fitzgerald
PO Box 653
San Marcos, TX 78667-0653

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy Fitzgerald
PO Box 653 , San Marcos, TX 78667-0653

December 8, 2006 8:03 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy Fitzgerald
PO Box 653
San Marcos, TX 78667-0653

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy Fitzgerald
PO Box 653 , San Marcos, TX 78667-0653

December 8, 2006 8:03 PM

Representative Henry Cuellar
U.S. House of Representatives
1404 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Cuellar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy Fitzgerald
PO Box 653
San Marcos, TX 78667-0653

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Hattie Boulden
12206 Stoneybrook Dr , Manassas, VA 20112

December 8, 2006 8:01 PM

Senator John Warner
U.S. Senate
225 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Warner,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Hattie Boulden
12206 Stoneybrook Dr
Manassas, VA 20112

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Hattie Boulden
12206 Stoneybrook Dr , Manassas, VA 20112

December 8, 2006 8:01 PM

Representative Tom Davis
U.S. House of Representatives
2348 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Davis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Hattie Boulden
12206 Stoneybrook Dr
Manassas, VA 20112

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Hattie Boulden
12206 Stoneybrook Dr , Manassas, VA 20112

December 8, 2006 8:01 PM

Senator George Allen
U.S. Senate
204 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Hattie Boulden
12206 Stoneybrook Dr
Manassas, VA 20112

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Max Martinez
180 DeKalb Ave , Brooklyn, NY 11205

December 8, 2006 8:01 PM

Representative Edolphus Towns
U.S. House of Representatives
2232 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Towns,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Max Martinez
180 DeKalb Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11205

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Hattie Boulden
12206 Stoneybrook Dr , Manassas, VA 20112

December 8, 2006 8:01 PM

Senator George Allen
U.S. Senate
204 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Hattie Boulden
12206 Stoneybrook Dr
Manassas, VA 20112

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

P. Nordquist
3549 Iowa Ave #200D , Riverside, CA 92507

December 8, 2006 8:01 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
P. Nordquist
3549 Iowa Ave #200D
Riverside, CA 92507

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Karil Daniels
2477 Folsom St. , San Francisco, CA 94110

December 8, 2006 8:01 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

Big media mergers are against the interest of democracy and the public, and you should not allow this latest request for media consoladation to go forward. It means fewer voices and less diverse voices will have access to the largest broadcast media, and while that may be good for corporations it is disasterous for press freedom and democracy.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Karil Daniels
2477 Folsom St.
San Francisco, CA 94110

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Karil Daniels
2477 Folsom St. , San Francisco, CA 94110

December 8, 2006 8:01 PM

Representative Nancy Pelosi
U.S. House of Representatives
2371 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Pelosi,

Big media mergers are against the interest of democracy and the public, and you should not allow this latest request for media consoladation to go forward. It means fewer voices and less diverse voices will have access to the largest broadcast media, and while that may be good for corporations it is disasterous for press freedom and democracy.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Karil Daniels
2477 Folsom St.
San Francisco, CA 94110

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Max Martinez
180 DeKalb Ave , Brooklyn, NY 11205

December 8, 2006 8:01 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Max Martinez
180 DeKalb Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11205

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Max Martinez
180 DeKalb Ave , Brooklyn, NY 11205

December 8, 2006 8:01 PM

Representative Edolphus Towns
U.S. House of Representatives
2232 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Towns,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Max Martinez
180 DeKalb Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11205

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Max Martinez
180 DeKalb Ave , Brooklyn, NY 11205

December 8, 2006 8:01 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Max Martinez
180 DeKalb Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11205

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Karil Daniels
2477 Folsom St. , San Francisco, CA 94110

December 8, 2006 8:01 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

Big media mergers are against the interest of democracy and the public, and you should not allow this latest request for media consoladation to go forward. It means fewer voices and less diverse voices will have access to the largest broadcast media, and while that may be good for corporations it is disasterous for press freedom and democracy.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Karil Daniels
2477 Folsom St.
San Francisco, CA 94110

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Karil Daniels
2477 Folsom St. , San Francisco, CA 94110

December 8, 2006 8:01 PM

Representative Nancy Pelosi
U.S. House of Representatives
2371 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Pelosi,

Big media mergers are against the interest of democracy and the public, and you should not allow this latest request for media consoladation to go forward. It means fewer voices and less diverse voices will have access to the largest broadcast media, and while that may be good for corporations it is disasterous for press freedom and democracy.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Karil Daniels
2477 Folsom St.
San Francisco, CA 94110

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

P. Nordquist
3549 Iowa Ave #200D , Riverside, CA 92507

December 8, 2006 8:01 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
P. Nordquist
3549 Iowa Ave #200D
Riverside, CA 92507

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Karil Daniels
2477 Folsom St. , San Francisco, CA 94110

December 8, 2006 8:01 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

Big media mergers are against the interest of democracy and the public, and you should not allow this latest request for media consoladation to go forward. It means fewer voices and less diverse voices will have access to the largest broadcast media, and while that may be good for corporations it is disasterous for press freedom and democracy.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Karil Daniels
2477 Folsom St.
San Francisco, CA 94110

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

mike kosteva
21 Woodridge Rd , Milford, MA 01757-3905

December 8, 2006 8:01 PM

Representative Richard Neal
U.S. House of Representatives
2266 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Neal,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
mike kosteva
21 Woodridge Rd
Milford, MA 01757-3905

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

mike kosteva
21 Woodridge Rd , Milford, MA 01757-3905

December 8, 2006 8:01 PM

Senator John Kerry
U.S. Senate
304 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kerry,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
mike kosteva
21 Woodridge Rd
Milford, MA 01757-3905

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

mike kosteva
21 Woodridge Rd , Milford, MA 01757-3905

December 8, 2006 8:01 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
mike kosteva
21 Woodridge Rd
Milford, MA 01757-3905

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

P. Nordquist
3549 Iowa Ave #200D , Riverside, CA 92507

December 8, 2006 8:01 PM

Representative Ken Calvert
U.S. House of Representatives
2201 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Calvert,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
P. Nordquist
3549 Iowa Ave #200D
Riverside, CA 92507

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

P. Nordquist
3549 Iowa Ave #200D , Riverside, CA 92507

December 8, 2006 8:01 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
P. Nordquist
3549 Iowa Ave #200D
Riverside, CA 92507

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

mike kosteva
21 Woodridge Rd , Milford, MA 01757-3905

December 8, 2006 8:01 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
mike kosteva
21 Woodridge Rd
Milford, MA 01757-3905

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

mike kosteva
21 Woodridge Rd , Milford, MA 01757-3905

December 8, 2006 8:01 PM

Representative Richard Neal
U.S. House of Representatives
2266 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Neal,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
mike kosteva
21 Woodridge Rd
Milford, MA 01757-3905

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Max Martinez
180 DeKalb Ave , Brooklyn, NY 11205

December 8, 2006 8:01 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Max Martinez
180 DeKalb Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11205

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Eric Angeletti
#1 sunset drive , alexandria, VA 22303

December 8, 2006 8:00 PM

Senator John Warner
U.S. Senate
225 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Warner,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Eric Angeletti
#1 sunset drive
alexandria, VA 22303

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lucy McElroy
754 N. Carroll Ave. , Southlake, TX 76092-6413

December 8, 2006 8:00 PM

Representative Kenny Marchant
U.S. House of Representatives
501 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Marchant,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lucy McElroy
754 N. Carroll Ave.
Southlake, TX 76092-6413

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lucy McElroy
754 N. Carroll Ave. , Southlake, TX 76092-6413

December 8, 2006 8:00 PM

Senator John Cornyn
U.S. Senate
517 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lucy McElroy
754 N. Carroll Ave.
Southlake, TX 76092-6413

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Cynthia Thomas
904 Union Street , Brooklyn, NY 11215

December 8, 2006 8:00 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Cynthia Thomas
904 Union Street
Brooklyn, NY 11215

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Cynthia Thomas
904 Union Street , Brooklyn, NY 11215

December 8, 2006 8:00 PM

Representative Major Owens
U.S. House of Representatives
2309 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Owens,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Cynthia Thomas
904 Union Street
Brooklyn, NY 11215

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Cynthia Thomas
904 Union Street , Brooklyn, NY 11215

December 8, 2006 8:00 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Cynthia Thomas
904 Union Street
Brooklyn, NY 11215

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Eric Angeletti
#1 sunset drive , alexandria, VA 22303

December 8, 2006 8:00 PM

Senator George Allen
U.S. Senate
204 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Eric Angeletti
#1 sunset drive
alexandria, VA 22303

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Eric Angeletti
#1 sunset drive , alexandria, VA 22303

December 8, 2006 8:00 PM

Representative Jim Moran
U.S. House of Representatives
2239 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Moran,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Eric Angeletti
#1 sunset drive
alexandria, VA 22303

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lucy McElroy
754 N. Carroll Ave. , Southlake, TX 76092-6413

December 8, 2006 8:00 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lucy McElroy
754 N. Carroll Ave.
Southlake, TX 76092-6413

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jane Jones
4824 Capri Avenue , Sarasota, FL 34235

December 8, 2006 8:00 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jane Jones
4824 Capri Avenue
Sarasota, FL 34235

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jane Jones
4824 Capri Avenue , Sarasota, FL 34235

December 8, 2006 8:00 PM

Representative Katherine Harris
U.S. House of Representatives
116 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Harris,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jane Jones
4824 Capri Avenue
Sarasota, FL 34235

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jane Jones
4824 Capri Avenue , Sarasota, FL 34235

December 8, 2006 8:00 PM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jane Jones
4824 Capri Avenue
Sarasota, FL 34235

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jane Jones
4824 Capri Avenue , Sarasota, FL 34235

December 8, 2006 8:00 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jane Jones
4824 Capri Avenue
Sarasota, FL 34235

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Cynthia Thomas
904 Union Street , Brooklyn, NY 11215

December 8, 2006 8:00 PM

Representative Major Owens
U.S. House of Representatives
2309 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Owens,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Cynthia Thomas
904 Union Street
Brooklyn, NY 11215

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lucy McElroy
754 N. Carroll Ave. , Southlake, TX 76092-6413

December 8, 2006 8:00 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lucy McElroy
754 N. Carroll Ave.
Southlake, TX 76092-6413

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Eric Angeletti
#1 sunset drive , alexandria, VA 22303

December 8, 2006 8:00 PM

Senator George Allen
U.S. Senate
204 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Eric Angeletti
#1 sunset drive
alexandria, VA 22303

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Eric Angeletti
#1 sunset drive , alexandria, VA 22303

December 8, 2006 8:00 PM

Representative Jim Moran
U.S. House of Representatives
2239 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Moran,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Eric Angeletti
#1 sunset drive
alexandria, VA 22303

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Cynthia Thomas
904 Union Street , Brooklyn, NY 11215

December 8, 2006 8:00 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Cynthia Thomas
904 Union Street
Brooklyn, NY 11215

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Paul Aho
1385 NE Meyers Terrace , Jensen Beach, FL 34957

December 8, 2006 7:58 PM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Paul Aho
1385 NE Meyers Terrace
Jensen Beach, FL 34957

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Lawrence
824 Oak Arbor Circle , St Augustine, FL 32084

December 8, 2006 7:58 PM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Lawrence
824 Oak Arbor Circle
St Augustine, FL 32084

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Lawrence
824 Oak Arbor Circle , St Augustine, FL 32084

December 8, 2006 7:58 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Lawrence
824 Oak Arbor Circle
St Augustine, FL 32084

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Christopher Lane
8082 Murano Circle , Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33418

December 8, 2006 7:58 PM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Christopher Lane
8082 Murano Circle
Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33418

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Paul Aho
1385 NE Meyers Terrace , Jensen Beach, FL 34957

December 8, 2006 7:58 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Paul Aho
1385 NE Meyers Terrace
Jensen Beach, FL 34957

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Lawrence
824 Oak Arbor Circle , St Augustine, FL 32084

December 8, 2006 7:58 PM

Representative John Mica
U.S. House of Representatives
2313 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Mica,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Lawrence
824 Oak Arbor Circle
St Augustine, FL 32084

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Paul Aho
1385 NE Meyers Terrace , Jensen Beach, FL 34957

December 8, 2006 7:58 PM

Representative Vacant Vacant
U.S. House of Representatives
104 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Vacant,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Paul Aho
1385 NE Meyers Terrace
Jensen Beach, FL 34957

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Christopher Lane
8082 Murano Circle , Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33418

December 8, 2006 7:58 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Christopher Lane
8082 Murano Circle
Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33418

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Paul Aho
1385 NE Meyers Terrace , Jensen Beach, FL 34957

December 8, 2006 7:58 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Paul Aho
1385 NE Meyers Terrace
Jensen Beach, FL 34957

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Christopher Lane
8082 Murano Circle , Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33418

December 8, 2006 7:58 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Christopher Lane
8082 Murano Circle
Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33418

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Lawrence
824 Oak Arbor Circle , St Augustine, FL 32084

December 8, 2006 7:58 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Lawrence
824 Oak Arbor Circle
St Augustine, FL 32084

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Lawrence
824 Oak Arbor Circle , St Augustine, FL 32084

December 8, 2006 7:58 PM

Representative John Mica
U.S. House of Representatives
2313 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Mica,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Lawrence
824 Oak Arbor Circle
St Augustine, FL 32084

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

TONY PALLADINO

90-60 UNION TURNPIKE , GLENDALE, NY 11385

December 8, 2006 7:55 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
TONY PALLADINO
90-60 UNION TURNPIKE
GLENDALE, NY 11385

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

TONY PALLADINO

90-60 UNION TURNPIKE , GLENDALE, NY 11385

December 8, 2006 7:55 PM

Representative Anthony Weiner
U.S. House of Representatives
1122 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Weiner,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
TONY PALLADINO
90-60 UNION TURNPIKE
GLENDALE, NY 11385

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

TONY PALLADINO

90-60 UNION TURNPIKE , GLENDALE, NY 11385

December 8, 2006 7:55 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
TONY PALLADINO
90-60 UNION TURNPIKE
GLENDALE, NY 11385

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

TONY PALLADINO

90-60 UNION TURNPIKE , GLENDALE, NY 11385

December 8, 2006 7:55 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
TONY PALLADINO
90-60 UNION TURNPIKE
GLENDALE, NY 11385

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

TONY PALLADINO

90-60 UNION TURNPIKE , GLENDALE, NY 11385

December 8, 2006 7:55 PM

Representative Anthony Weiner
U.S. House of Representatives
1122 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Weiner,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
TONY PALLADINO
90-60 UNION TURNPIKE
GLENDALE, NY 11385

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Peha
5317 Carmelynn St. , Torrance, CA 90503

December 8, 2006 7:54 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Peha
5317 Carmelynn St.
Torrance, CA 90503

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Peha
5317 Carmelynn St. , Torrance, CA 90503

December 8, 2006 7:54 PM

Representative Jane Harman
U.S. House of Representatives
2400 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Harman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Peha
5317 Carmelynn St.
Torrance, CA 90503

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ben Althauser
2765 Windsor Ln SW, #2015 , Tumwater, WA 98501

December 8, 2006 7:54 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Concerning The Federal Communications Movement on the Internet

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The only reason I am doing this is because it makes it impossible for a person wanting to start a business of their own, unless adhering to whims of the good 'ol boys. All men were created equal, but unfortunately not all men are treated equal.

--

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Thank you for your time.

Ben Althauser

Sincerely,
Ben Althauser
2765 Windsor Ln SW, #2015
Tumwater, WA 98501

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Peha
5317 Carmelynn St. , Torrance, CA 90503

December 8, 2006 7:54 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Peha
5317 Carmelynn St.
Torrance, CA 90503

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Peha
5317 Carmelynn St. , Torrance, CA 90503

December 8, 2006 7:54 PM

Representative Jane Harman
U.S. House of Representatives
2400 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Harman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Peha
5317 Carmelynn St.
Torrance, CA 90503

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Johanna Sayre
19 River Rd., , New Paltz, NY 12561-3009

December 8, 2006 7:54 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

Dear Congressman Hinchey, Just yesterday I sent a letter to the FCC deploring further consolidation of big-media ownership or even elimination of the still existing limits on such ownership. It must be clear to the most cursory observer that the FCC has not been doing its job in protecting the 'public' media, the 'public' airwaves. Instead, the FCC has been furthering corporate power seeking and greed, which, in the case of who/what governs media services must inevitably lead to a corporate, special interests, and government-controlled media scene. This is contrary to the duties of the FCC.
Please, it is time Congress spoke out to assert through its duties of oversight the tasks of the FCC.
Yet, here is the next grave issue. The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth. This multibillion-dollar deal would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers. When Ma Belle was broken up, it was a matter of monopoly and size. It cost the taxpayer millions, if not billions, to carry out the break-up. And here, the FCC is nothing short of fostering the merger of mother and daughter. All, again, at taxpayers' expense.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. But now a central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than

engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, as well as ethical behavior and clear signs that FCC chairman Martin understands the tasks of the FCC in the service of the American people, and not of corporate wishes underpinned by corporate power. There are clear ethical lines here, that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Johanna Sayre
19 River Rd.,
New Paltz, NY 12561-3009

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Peha
5317 Carmelynn St. , Torrance, CA 90503

December 8, 2006 7:54 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Peha
5317 Carmelynn St.
Torrance, CA 90503

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ben Althauser
2765 Windsor Ln SW, #2015 , Tumwater, WA 98501

December 8, 2006 7:54 PM

Representative Brian Baird
U.S. House of Representatives
1421 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Concerning The Federal Communications Movement on the Internet

Dear Representative Baird,

The only reason I am doing this is because it makes it impossible for a person wanting to start a business of their own, unless adhering to whims of the good 'ol boys. All men were created equal, but unfortunately not all men are treated equal.

--

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Thank you for your time.

Ben Althauser

Sincerely,
Ben Althauser
2765 Windsor Ln SW, #2015
Tumwater, WA 98501

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ben Althauser
2765 Windsor Ln SW, #2015 , Tumwater, WA 98501

December 8, 2006 7:54 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Concerning The Federal Communications Movement on the Internet

Dear Senator Murray,

The only reason I am doing this is because it makes it impossible for a person wanting to start a business of their own, unless adhering to whims of the good 'ol boys. All men were created equal, but unfortunately not all men are treated equal.

--

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Thank you for your time.

Ben Althauser

Sincerely,
Ben Althauser
2765 Windsor Ln SW, #2015
Tumwater, WA 98501

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Johanna Sayre
19 River Rd., , New Paltz, NY 12561-3009

December 8, 2006 7:54 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

Dear Congressman Hinchey, Just yesterday I sent a letter to the FCC deploring further consolidation of big-media ownership or even elimination of the still existing limits on such ownership. It must be clear to the most cursory observer that the FCC has not been doing its job in protecting the 'public' media, the 'public' airwaves. Instead, the FCC has been furthering corporate power seeking and greed, which, in the case of who/what governs media services must inevitably lead to a corporate, special interests, and government-controlled media scene. This is contrary to the duties of the FCC.
Please, it is time Congress spoke out to assert through its duties of oversight the tasks of the FCC.
Yet, here is the next grave issue. The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth. This multibillion-dollar deal would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers. When Ma Belle was broken up, it was a matter of monopoly and size. It cost the taxpayer millions, if not billions, to carry out the break-up. And here, the FCC is nothing short of fostering the merger of mother and daughter. All, again, at taxpayers' expense.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. But now a central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than

engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, as well as ethical behavior and clear signs that FCC chairman Martin understands the tasks of the FCC in the service of the American people, and not of corporate wishes underpinned by corporate power. There are clear ethical lines here, that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Johanna Sayre
19 River Rd.,
New Paltz, NY 12561-3009

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Johanna Sayre
19 River Rd., , New Paltz, NY 12561-3009

December 8, 2006 7:54 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

Dear Congressman Hinchey, Just yesterday I sent a letter to the FCC deploring further consolidation of big-media ownership or even elimination of the still existing limits on such ownership. It must be clear to the most cursory observer that the FCC has not been doing its job in protecting the 'public' media, the 'public' airwaves. Instead, the FCC has been furthering corporate power seeking and greed, which, in the case of who/what governs media services must inevitably lead to a corporate, special interests, and government-controlled media scene. This is contrary to the duties of the FCC.
Please, it is time Congress spoke out to assert through its duties of oversight the tasks of the FCC.
Yet, here is the next grave issue. The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth. This multibillion-dollar deal would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers. When Ma Belle was broken up, it was a matter of monopoly and size. It cost the taxpayer millions, if not billions, to carry out the break-up. And here, the FCC is nothing short of fostering the merger of mother and daughter. All, again, at taxpayers' expense.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. But now a central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than

engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, as well as ethical behavior and clear signs that FCC chairman Martin understands the tasks of the FCC in the service of the American people, and not of corporate wishes underpinned by corporate power. There are clear ethical lines here, that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Johanna Sayre
19 River Rd.,
New Paltz, NY 12561-3009

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ben Althauser
2765 Windsor Ln SW, #2015 , Tumwater, WA 98501

December 8, 2006 7:54 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Concerning The Federal Communications Movement on the Internet

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The only reason I am doing this is because it makes it impossible for a person wanting to start a business of their own, unless adhering to whims of the good 'ol boys. All men were created equal, but unfortunately not all men are treated equal.

--

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Thank you for your time.

Ben Althauser

Sincerely,
Ben Althauser
2765 Windsor Ln SW, #2015
Tumwater, WA 98501

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ben Althauser
2765 Windsor Ln SW, #2015 , Tumwater, WA 98501

December 8, 2006 7:54 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Concerning The Federal Communications Movement on the Internet

Dear Senator Murray,

The only reason I am doing this is because it makes it impossible for a person wanting to start a business of their own, unless adhering to whims of the good 'ol boys. All men were created equal, but unfortunately not all men are treated equal.

--

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Thank you for your time.

Ben Althauser

Sincerely,
Ben Althauser
2765 Windsor Ln SW, #2015
Tumwater, WA 98501

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ben Althauser
2765 Windsor Ln SW, #2015 , Tumwater, WA 98501

December 8, 2006 7:54 PM

Representative Brian Baird
U.S. House of Representatives
1421 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Concerning The Federal Communications Movement on the Internet

Dear Representative Baird,

The only reason I am doing this is because it makes it impossible for a person wanting to start a business of their own, unless adhering to whims of the good 'ol boys. All men were created equal, but unfortunately not all men are treated equal.

--

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Thank you for your time.

Ben Althauser

Sincerely,
Ben Althauser
2765 Windsor Ln SW, #2015
Tumwater, WA 98501

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Katherine Zerfas
2023 Sherwood Ln. , Pueblo, CO 81005

December 8, 2006 7:53 PM

Senator Wayne Allard
U.S. Senate
521 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allard,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Katherine Zerfas
2023 Sherwood Ln.
Pueblo, CO 81005

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Katherine Zerfas
2023 Sherwood Ln. , Pueblo, CO 81005

December 8, 2006 7:53 PM

Senator Ken Salazar
U.S. Senate
702 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Salazar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Katherine Zerfas
2023 Sherwood Ln.
Pueblo, CO 81005

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeffrey Soule Phillips
POB5111 , Portland, ME 04101

December 8, 2006 7:53 PM

Senator Susan Collins
U.S. Senate
461 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Collins,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeffrey Soule Phillips
POB5111
Portland, ME 04101

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Katherine Zerfas
2023 Sherwood Ln. , Pueblo, CO 81005

December 8, 2006 7:53 PM

Representative John Salazar
U.S. House of Representatives
1531 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 80203

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Salazar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Katherine Zerfas
2023 Sherwood Ln.
Pueblo, CO 81005

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Katherine Zerfas
2023 Sherwood Ln. , Pueblo, CO 81005

December 8, 2006 7:53 PM

Senator Wayne Allard
U.S. Senate
521 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allard,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Katherine Zerfas
2023 Sherwood Ln.
Pueblo, CO 81005

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeffrey Soule Phillips
POB5111 , Portland, ME 04101

December 8, 2006 7:53 PM

Senator Olympia Snowe
U.S. Senate
154 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Snowe,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeffrey Soule Phillips
POB5111
Portland, ME 04101

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Katherine Zerfas
2023 Sherwood Ln. , Pueblo, CO 81005

December 8, 2006 7:53 PM

Senator Ken Salazar
U.S. Senate
702 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Salazar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Katherine Zerfas
2023 Sherwood Ln.
Pueblo, CO 81005

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeffrey Soule Phillips
POB5111 , Portland, ME 04101

December 8, 2006 7:53 PM

Senator Susan Collins
U.S. Senate
461 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Collins,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeffrey Soule Phillips
POB5111
Portland, ME 04101

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeffrey Soule Phillips
POB5111 , Portland, ME 04101

December 8, 2006 7:53 PM

Senator Olympia Snowe
U.S. Senate
154 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Snowe,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeffrey Soule Phillips
POB5111
Portland, ME 04101

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James M De Luca
10831 Roycroft Street Unit 4, Sun Valley, CA 91352-1644

December 8, 2006 7:50 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James M De Luca
10831 Roycroft Street
Unit 4
Sun Valley, CA 91352-1644

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James M De Luca
10831 Roycroft Street Unit 4, Sun Valley, CA 91352-1644

December 8, 2006 7:50 PM

Representative Brad Sherman
U.S. House of Representatives
1030 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sherman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James M De Luca
10831 Roycroft Street
Unit 4
Sun Valley, CA 91352-1644

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James M De Luca
10831 Roycroft Street Unit 4, Sun Valley, CA 91352-1644

December 8, 2006 7:50 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James M De Luca
10831 Roycroft Street
Unit 4
Sun Valley, CA 91352-1644

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James M De Luca
10831 Roycroft Street Unit 4, Sun Valley, CA 91352-1644

December 8, 2006 7:50 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James M De Luca
10831 Roycroft Street
Unit 4
Sun Valley, CA 91352-1644

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James M De Luca
10831 Roycroft Street Unit 4, Sun Valley, CA 91352-1644

December 8, 2006 7:50 PM

Representative Brad Sherman
U.S. House of Representatives
1030 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sherman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James M De Luca
10831 Roycroft Street
Unit 4
Sun Valley, CA 91352-1644

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ron Giddings
1625 9th St , Los Osos, CA 93402

December 8, 2006 7:49 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ron Giddings
1625 9th St
Los Osos, CA 93402

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ron Giddings
1625 9th St , Los Osos, CA 93402

December 8, 2006 7:49 PM

Representative Lois Capps
U.S. House of Representatives
1707 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Capps,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ron Giddings
1625 9th St
Los Osos, CA 93402

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ron Giddings
1625 9th St , Los Osos, CA 93402

December 8, 2006 7:49 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ron Giddings
1625 9th St
Los Osos, CA 93402

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ron Giddings
1625 9th St , Los Osos, CA 93402

December 8, 2006 7:49 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ron Giddings
1625 9th St
Los Osos, CA 93402

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ron Giddings
1625 9th St , Los Osos, CA 93402

December 8, 2006 7:49 PM

Representative Lois Capps
U.S. House of Representatives
1707 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Capps,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ron Giddings
1625 9th St
Los Osos, CA 93402

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ryan Taylor
49 Greenore Street Bracken Ridge, Brisbane, AL 4017 Australia

December 8, 2006 7:49 PM

Senator Richard Shelby
U.S. Senate
110 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Shelby,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ryan Taylor
49 Greenore Street
Bracken Ridge
Brisbane, AL 4017

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ryan Taylor
49 Greenore Street Bracken Ridge, Brisbane, AL 4017 Australia

December 8, 2006 7:49 PM

Senator Jeff Sessions
U.S. Senate
335 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sessions,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ryan Taylor
49 Greenore Street
Bracken Ridge
Brisbane, AL 4017

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ryan Taylor
49 Greenore Street Bracken Ridge, Brisbane, AL 4017 Australia

December 8, 2006 7:49 PM

Senator Richard Shelby
U.S. Senate
110 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Shelby,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ryan Taylor
49 Greenore Street
Bracken Ridge
Brisbane, AL 4017

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joseph W. Coop
3375 Fish Creek Rd. , Estes Park, CO 80517

December 8, 2006 7:47 PM

Senator Wayne Allard
U.S. Senate
521 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allard,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joseph W. Coop
3375 Fish Creek Rd.
Estes Park, CO 80517

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joseph W. Coop
3375 Fish Creek Rd. , Estes Park, CO 80517

December 8, 2006 7:47 PM

Senator Ken Salazar
U.S. Senate
702 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Salazar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joseph W. Coop
3375 Fish Creek Rd.
Estes Park, CO 80517

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joseph W. Coop
3375 Fish Creek Rd. , Estes Park, CO 80517

December 8, 2006 7:47 PM

Representative Marilyn Musgrave
U.S. House of Representatives
1507 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Musgrave,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joseph W. Coop
3375 Fish Creek Rd.
Estes Park, CO 80517

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joseph W. Coop
3375 Fish Creek Rd. , Estes Park, CO 80517

December 8, 2006 7:47 PM

Representative Marilyn Musgrave
U.S. House of Representatives
1507 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Musgrave,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joseph W. Coop
3375 Fish Creek Rd.
Estes Park, CO 80517

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joseph W. Coop
3375 Fish Creek Rd. , Estes Park, CO 80517

December 8, 2006 7:47 PM

Senator Ken Salazar
U.S. Senate
702 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Salazar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joseph W. Coop
3375 Fish Creek Rd.
Estes Park, CO 80517

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joseph W. Coop
3375 Fish Creek Rd. , Estes Park, CO 80517

December 8, 2006 7:47 PM

Senator Wayne Allard
U.S. Senate
521 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allard,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joseph W. Coop
3375 Fish Creek Rd.
Estes Park, CO 80517

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Wilkins
210 S. Willow Av., Apt. 120 , Fresno, CA 93727

December 8, 2006 7:47 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Wilkins
210 S. Willow Av., Apt. 120
Fresno, CA 93727

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Wilkins
210 S. Willow Av., Apt. 120 , Fresno, CA 93727

December 8, 2006 7:47 PM

Representative Jim Costa
U. S. House of Representatives
1004 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Costa,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Wilkins
210 S. Willow Av., Apt. 120
Fresno, CA 93727

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Wilkins
210 S. Willow Av., Apt. 120 , Fresno, CA 93727

December 8, 2006 7:47 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Wilkins
210 S. Willow Av., Apt. 120
Fresno, CA 93727

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Wilkins
210 S. Willow Av., Apt. 120 , Fresno, CA 93727

December 8, 2006 7:47 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Wilkins
210 S. Willow Av., Apt. 120
Fresno, CA 93727

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Wilkins
210 S. Willow Av., Apt. 120 , Fresno, CA 93727

December 8, 2006 7:47 PM

Representative Jim Costa
U. S. House of Representatives
1004 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Costa,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Wilkins
210 S. Willow Av., Apt. 120
Fresno, CA 93727

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Sangalli
78 Longwood Dr. , San Rafael, CA 94901

December 8, 2006 7:44 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Sangalli
78 Longwood Dr.
San Rafael, CA 94901

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Collin Welch
6828 S. Lime Lane , West Jordan, UT 84084

December 8, 2006 7:44 PM

Representative Chris Cannon
U.S. House of Representatives
2436 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Cannon,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Collin Welch
6828 S. Lime Lane
West Jordan, UT 84084

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Sangalli
78 Longwood Dr. , San Rafael, CA 94901

December 8, 2006 7:44 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Sangalli
78 Longwood Dr.
San Rafael, CA 94901

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Sangalli
78 Longwood Dr. , San Rafael, CA 94901

December 8, 2006 7:44 PM

Representative Lynn Woolsey
U.S. House of Representatives
2263 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Woolsey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Sangalli
78 Longwood Dr.
San Rafael, CA 94901

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Sangalli
78 Longwood Dr. , San Rafael, CA 94901

December 8, 2006 7:44 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Sangalli
78 Longwood Dr.
San Rafael, CA 94901

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Collin Welch
6828 S. Lime Lane , West Jordan, UT 84084

December 8, 2006 7:44 PM

Senator Robert Bennett
U.S. Senate
431 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bennett,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Collin Welch
6828 S. Lime Lane
West Jordan, UT 84084

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Collin Welch
6828 S. Lime Lane , West Jordan, UT 84084

December 8, 2006 7:44 PM

Senator Orrin Hatch
U.S. Senate
104 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hatch,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Collin Welch
6828 S. Lime Lane
West Jordan, UT 84084

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Collin Welch
6828 S. Lime Lane , West Jordan, UT 84084

December 8, 2006 7:44 PM

Senator Robert Bennett
U.S. Senate
431 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bennett,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Collin Welch
6828 S. Lime Lane
West Jordan, UT 84084

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Collin Welch
6828 S. Lime Lane , West Jordan, UT 84084

December 8, 2006 7:44 PM

Senator Orrin Hatch
U.S. Senate
104 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hatch,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Collin Welch
6828 S. Lime Lane
West Jordan, UT 84084

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Collin Welch
6828 S. Lime Lane , West Jordan, UT 84084

December 8, 2006 7:44 PM

Representative Chris Cannon
U.S. House of Representatives
2436 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Cannon,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Collin Welch
6828 S. Lime Lane
West Jordan, UT 84084

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Susan Eveland
17 Hoover Rd , Riverside, CT 06878

December 8, 2006 7:43 PM

Senator Chris Dodd
U.S. Senate
448 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dodd,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Susan Eveland
17 Hoover Rd
Riverside, CT 06878

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Susan Eveland
17 Hoover Rd , Riverside, CT 06878

December 8, 2006 7:43 PM

Senator Joe Lieberman
U.S. Senate
706 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lieberman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Susan Eveland
17 Hoover Rd
Riverside, CT 06878

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Susan Eveland
17 Hoover Rd , Riverside, CT 06878

December 8, 2006 7:43 PM

Representative Christopher Shays
U.S. House of Representatives
1126 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Shays,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Susan Eveland
17 Hoover Rd
Riverside, CT 06878

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

anthony pagan
200 locust street , columbia, PA 17512

December 8, 2006 7:43 PM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
anthony pagan
200 locust street
columbia, PA 17512

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

anthony pagan
200 locust street , columbia, PA 17512

December 8, 2006 7:43 PM

Representative Joseph Pitts
U.S. House of Representatives
221 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Pitts,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
anthony pagan
200 locust street
columbia, PA 17512

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lynda Whitcomb
4620 SE 45th Ave. , portland, OR 97206

December 8, 2006 7:43 PM

Representative Earl Blumenauer
U.S. House of Representatives
2446 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: FCC Ethics: Scandalous Behavior Noted

Dear Representative Blumenauer,

To: The FCC, and Members of Congress,

I find the behavior noted in this action alert to be symptomatic of elite disregard for the good of the country and its citizens. As one of those citizens, who votes religiously, I pay close attention to the ethical choices made by officials both elected and appointed.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly spl it on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Should Congress fail in this responsibility, greater changes will be made in the next election.

Sincerely,
Lynda Whitcomb
4620 SE 45th Ave.
portland, OR 97206

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lynda Whitcomb
4620 SE 45th Ave. , portland, OR 97206

December 8, 2006 7:43 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: FCC Ethics: Scandalous Behavior Noted

Dear Senator Smith,

To: The FCC, and Members of Congress,

I find the behavior noted in this action alert to be symptomatic of elite disregard for the good of the country and its citizens. As one of those citizens, who votes religiously, I pay close attention to the ethical choices made by officials both elected and appointed.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly spl it on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Should Congress fail in this responsibility, greater changes will be made in the next election.

Sincerely,
Lynda Whitcomb
4620 SE 45th Ave.
portland, OR 97206

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

anthony pagan
200 locust street , columbia, PA 17512

December 8, 2006 7:43 PM

Senator Rick Santorum
U.S. Senate
511 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Santorum,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
anthony pagan
200 locust street
columbia, PA 17512

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lynda Whitcomb
4620 SE 45th Ave. , portland, OR 97206

December 8, 2006 7:43 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: FCC Ethics: Scandalous Behavior Noted

Dear Senator Wyden,

To: The FCC, and Members of Congress,

I find the behavior noted in this action alert to be symptomatic of elite disregard for the good of the country and its citizens. As one of those citizens, who votes religiously, I pay close attention to the ethical choices made by officials both elected and appointed.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly spl it on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Should Congress fail in this responsibility, greater changes will be made in the next election.

Sincerely,
Lynda Whitcomb
4620 SE 45th Ave.
portland, OR 97206

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lynda Whitcomb
4620 SE 45th Ave. , portland, OR 97206

December 8, 2006 7:43 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: FCC Ethics: Scandalous Behavior Noted

Dear Senator Smith,

To: The FCC, and Members of Congress,

I find the behavior noted in this action alert to be symptomatic of elite disregard for the good of the country and its citizens. As one of those citizens, who votes religiously, I pay close attention to the ethical choices made by officials both elected and appointed.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly spl it on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Should Congress fail in this responsibility, greater changes will be made in the next election.

Sincerely,
Lynda Whitcomb
4620 SE 45th Ave.
portland, OR 97206

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lynda Whitcomb
4620 SE 45th Ave. , portland, OR 97206

December 8, 2006 7:43 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: FCC Ethics: Scandalous Behavior Noted

Dear Senator Wyden,

To: The FCC, and Members of Congress,

I find the behavior noted in this action alert to be symptomatic of elite disregard for the good of the country and its citizens. As one of those citizens, who votes religiously, I pay close attention to the ethical choices made by officials both elected and appointed.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly spl it on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Should Congress fail in this responsibility, greater changes will be made in the next election.

Sincerely,
Lynda Whitcomb
4620 SE 45th Ave.
portland, OR 97206

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lynda Whitcomb
4620 SE 45th Ave. , portland, OR 97206

December 8, 2006 7:43 PM

Representative Earl Blumenauer
U.S. House of Representatives
2446 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: FCC Ethics: Scandalous Behavior Noted

Dear Representative Blumenauer,

To: The FCC, and Members of Congress,

I find the behavior noted in this action alert to be symptomatic of elite disregard for the good of the country and its citizens. As one of those citizens, who votes religiously, I pay close attention to the ethical choices made by officials both elected and appointed.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly spl it on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Should Congress fail in this responsibility, greater changes will be made in the next election.

Sincerely,
Lynda Whitcomb
4620 SE 45th Ave.
portland, OR 97206

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

anthony pagan
200 locust street , columbia, PA 17512

December 8, 2006 7:43 PM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
anthony pagan
200 locust street
columbia, PA 17512

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

anthony pagan
200 locust street , columbia, PA 17512

December 8, 2006 7:43 PM

Representative Joseph Pitts
U.S. House of Representatives
221 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Pitts,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
anthony pagan
200 locust street
columbia, PA 17512

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

kresimir peharda
3701 glendon ave. , los angeles, CA 90034

December 8, 2006 7:43 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
kresimir peharda
3701 glendon ave.
los angeles, CA 90034

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

kresimir peharda
3701 glendon ave. , los angeles, CA 90034

December 8, 2006 7:43 PM

Representative Jane Harman
U.S. House of Representatives
2400 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Harman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
kresimir peharda
3701 glendon ave.
los angeles, CA 90034

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Susan Eveland
17 Hoover Rd , Riverside, CT 06878

December 8, 2006 7:43 PM

Senator Joe Lieberman
U.S. Senate
706 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lieberman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Susan Eveland
17 Hoover Rd
Riverside, CT 06878

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Susan Eveland
17 Hoover Rd , Riverside, CT 06878

December 8, 2006 7:43 PM

Representative Christopher Shays
U.S. House of Representatives
1126 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Shays,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Susan Eveland
17 Hoover Rd
Riverside, CT 06878

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bert Adams
723 NW 96th St , Vancouver, WA 98665

December 8, 2006 7:43 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bert Adams
723 NW 96th St
Vancouver, WA 98665

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

kresimir peharda
3701 glendon ave. , los angeles, CA 90034

December 8, 2006 7:43 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
kresimir peharda
3701 glendon ave.
los angeles, CA 90034

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

kresimir peharda
3701 glendon ave. , los angeles, CA 90034

December 8, 2006 7:43 PM

Representative Jane Harman
U.S. House of Representatives
2400 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Harman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
kresimir peharda
3701 glendon ave.
los angeles, CA 90034

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

kresimir peharda
3701 glendon ave. , los angeles, CA 90034

December 8, 2006 7:43 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
kresimir peharda
3701 glendon ave.
los angeles, CA 90034

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bert Adams
723 NW 96th St , Vancouver, WA 98665

December 8, 2006 7:43 PM

Representative Brian Baird
U.S. House of Representatives
1421 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Baird,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bert Adams
723 NW 96th St
Vancouver, WA 98665

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bert Adams
723 NW 96th St , Vancouver, WA 98665

December 8, 2006 7:43 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bert Adams
723 NW 96th St
Vancouver, WA 98665

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bert Adams
723 NW 96th St , Vancouver, WA 98665

December 8, 2006 7:43 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bert Adams
723 NW 96th St
Vancouver, WA 98665

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bert Adams
723 NW 96th St , Vancouver, WA 98665

December 8, 2006 7:43 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bert Adams
723 NW 96th St
Vancouver, WA 98665

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bert Adams
723 NW 96th St , Vancouver, WA 98665

December 8, 2006 7:43 PM

Representative Brian Baird
U.S. House of Representatives
1421 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Baird,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bert Adams
723 NW 96th St
Vancouver, WA 98665

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

dennis defoer
901 32nd st , Bellingham, WA 98225

December 8, 2006 7:42 PM

Representative Rick Larsen
U.S. House of Representatives
107 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Larsen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
dennis defoer
901 32nd st
Bellingham, WA 98225

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

dennis defoer
901 32nd st , Bellingham, WA 98225

December 8, 2006 7:42 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
dennis defoer
901 32nd st
Bellingham, WA 98225

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

dennis defoer
901 32nd st , Bellingham, WA 98225

December 8, 2006 7:42 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
dennis defoer
901 32nd st
Bellingham, WA 98225

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

dennis defoer
901 32nd st , Bellingham, WA 98225

December 8, 2006 7:42 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
dennis defoer
901 32nd st
Bellingham, WA 98225

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

dennis defoer
901 32nd st , Bellingham, WA 98225

December 8, 2006 7:42 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
dennis defoer
901 32nd st
Bellingham, WA 98225

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

dennis defoer
901 32nd st , Bellingham, WA 98225

December 8, 2006 7:42 PM

Representative Rick Larsen
U.S. House of Representatives
107 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Larsen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
dennis defoer
901 32nd st
Bellingham, WA 98225

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Justin Cookson
13965 Hidden Valley Rd. , Victorville, CA 92392

December 8, 2006 7:41 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Justin Cookson
13965 Hidden Valley Rd.
Victorville, CA 92392

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Justin Cookson
13965 Hidden Valley Rd. , Victorville, CA 92392

December 8, 2006 7:41 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Justin Cookson
13965 Hidden Valley Rd.
Victorville, CA 92392

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Malcolm Bastron
1422 Damon Ct SE , Rochester, MN 55904-4935

December 8, 2006 7:41 PM

Senator Mark Dayton
U.S. Senate
123 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dayton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Malcolm Bastron
1422 Damon Ct SE
Rochester, MN 55904-4935

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Malcolm Bastron
1422 Damon Ct SE , Rochester, MN 55904-4935

December 8, 2006 7:41 PM

Representative Gil Gutknecht
U.S. House of Representatives
425 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Gutknecht,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Malcolm Bastron
1422 Damon Ct SE
Rochester, MN 55904-4935

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Justin Cookson
13965 Hidden Valley Rd. , Victorville, CA 92392

December 8, 2006 7:41 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Justin Cookson
13965 Hidden Valley Rd.
Victorville, CA 92392

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Malcolm Bastron
1422 Damon Ct SE , Rochester, MN 55904-4935

December 8, 2006 7:41 PM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Malcolm Bastron
1422 Damon Ct SE
Rochester, MN 55904-4935

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Malcolm Bastron
1422 Damon Ct SE , Rochester, MN 55904-4935

December 8, 2006 7:41 PM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Malcolm Bastron
1422 Damon Ct SE
Rochester, MN 55904-4935

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Malcolm Bastron
1422 Damon Ct SE , Rochester, MN 55904-4935

December 8, 2006 7:41 PM

Representative Gil Gutknecht
U.S. House of Representatives
425 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Gutknecht,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Malcolm Bastron
1422 Damon Ct SE
Rochester, MN 55904-4935

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

anthony eid
24 south d st , perris, CA 92570

December 8, 2006 7:40 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
anthony eid
24 south d st
perris, CA 92570

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Richard Boone
1226 1/2 State Street , Santa Barbara, CA 93101

December 8, 2006 7:40 PM

Representative Lois Capps
U.S. House of Representatives
1707 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Capps,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Richard Boone
1226 1/2 State Street
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

anthony eid
24 south d st , perris, CA 92570

December 8, 2006 7:40 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
anthony eid
24 south d st
perris, CA 92570

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Naghma Husain
2933 W. Clark Rd. #103 , Ypsilanti, MI 48197

December 8, 2006 7:40 PM

Representative John Dingell
U.S. House of Representatives
2328 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Dingell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Naghma Husain
2933 W. Clark Rd. #103
Ypsilanti, MI 48197

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Naghma Husain
2933 W. Clark Rd. #103 , Ypsilanti, MI 48197

December 8, 2006 7:40 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Naghma Husain
2933 W. Clark Rd. #103
Ypsilanti, MI 48197

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Richard Boone
1226 1/2 State Street , Santa Barbara, CA 93101

December 8, 2006 7:40 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Richard Boone
1226 1/2 State Street
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Richard Boone
1226 1/2 State Street , Santa Barbara, CA 93101

December 8, 2006 7:40 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Richard Boone
1226 1/2 State Street
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

anthony eid
24 south d st , perris, CA 92570

December 8, 2006 7:40 PM

Representative Darrell Issa
U.S. House of Representatives
211 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Issa,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
anthony eid
24 south d st
perris, CA 92570

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

anthony eid
24 south d st , perris, CA 92570

December 8, 2006 7:40 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
anthony eid
24 south d st
perris, CA 92570

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Naghma Husain
2933 W. Clark Rd. #103 , Ypsilanti, MI 48197

December 8, 2006 7:40 PM

Senator Carl Levin
U.S. Senate
269 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Levin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Naghma Husain
2933 W. Clark Rd. #103
Ypsilanti, MI 48197

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dave McDougall
337 16th Street , Brooklyn, NY 11215

December 8, 2006 7:40 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dave McDougall
337 16th Street
Brooklyn, NY 11215

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dave McDougall
337 16th Street , Brooklyn, NY 11215

December 8, 2006 7:40 PM

Representative Major Owens
U.S. House of Representatives
2309 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Owens,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dave McDougall
337 16th Street
Brooklyn, NY 11215

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dave McDougall
337 16th Street , Brooklyn, NY 11215

December 8, 2006 7:40 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dave McDougall
337 16th Street
Brooklyn, NY 11215

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Richard Boone
1226 1/2 State Street , Santa Barbara, CA 93101

December 8, 2006 7:40 PM

Representative Lois Capps
U.S. House of Representatives
1707 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Capps,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Richard Boone
1226 1/2 State Street
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Naghma Husain
2933 W. Clark Rd. #103 , Ypsilanti, MI 48197

December 8, 2006 7:40 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Naghma Husain
2933 W. Clark Rd. #103
Ypsilanti, MI 48197

cc:
Federal Communications Commission