



Thomas F. Hughes
Vice President-
Federal Regulatory

AT&T Services Inc.
1120 20th Street, NW
Suite 1000
Washington, DC 20036

T: 202.457.3006
F: 202.457.3070

December 14, 2006

VIA ECFS

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW
Washington DC 20554

Re: Implementation of Section 621(a) of the Cable Communications Policy Act of 1984 as Amended by the Cable Television Protection and Competition Act of 1992, MB Docket No. 05-311
Notice of Ex Parte Presentation

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On behalf of AT&T Inc. ("AT&T"), Bob Quinn of AT&T and David Lawson of Sidley and Austin met with Rudy Brioche, Legal Advisor for Commissioner Adelstein, on December 13, 2006. At the meeting, AT&T stressed the points set forth in its December 4th and December 12th *ex partes*.¹ In particular, AT&T stressed the need for the Commission to establish a specific time period in which franchising authorities must act to approve a streamlined franchise application and to award an interim franchise in the event they failed to do so. AT&T also argued that any build-out requirement for a new entrant is *per se* unreasonable. AT&T noted, however, that if the Commission did not so hold, it should establish strict parameters for any build-out requirement, including, without limitation, those discussed in our December 12th *ex parte*.

Pursuant to section 1.1206 of the Commission's Rules, this letter being filed electronically with the Commission. Please include a copy of this submission in the record of the above-listed proceeding. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (202) 457 3006.

Sincerely,

CC: Rudy Brioche

¹ See, December 4, 2006 *ex parte* Letter from Jim Lamoureux (AT&T) to Marlene Dortch and December 12, 2006 *ex parte* Letter from Tom Hughes (AT&T) to Marlene Dortch.