

Pam Gregory

Docket No. 05-231

From: Jay Keithley
Sent: Friday, December 01, 2006 1:27 PM
To: Pam Gregory
Subject: FW: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

fyi

*** Non-Public: For Internal Use Only ***

-----Original Message-----

From: Angela Sluder [mailto:valleester@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, December 01, 2006 12:52 PM
To: Jay Keithley
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

December 1, 2006
Jay Keithley

Dear Jay Keithley,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Angela Sluder
2620 W 7th Ave
Kennewick, WA 99336-4852

Pam Gregory

Docket No. 05-231

From: Thomas Chandler
Sent: Friday, December 01, 2006 12:51 PM
To: Pam Gregory
Subject: FW: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

*** Non-Public: For Internal Use Only ***

Tom Chandler
Chief, Disability Rights Office
Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
Room 3-B431
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554
(202) 418-1475
(cell) (703) 338-0372
(TTY) (202) 418-0597
thomas.chandler@fcc.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: Angela Sluder [mailto:valleester@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, December 01, 2006 12:52 PM
To: Thomas Chandler
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

December 1, 2006
Thomas Chandler

Dear Thomas Chandler,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Angela Sluder
2620 W 7th Ave
Kennewick, WA 99336-4852

Docket No.
05-231

Pam Gregory

From: Jay Keithley
To: Pam Gregory
Cc:
Subject: FW: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!
Attachments:

Sent: Thu 11/30/2006 12:11 PM

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

fyi; 79.1/79.2

*** Non-Public: For Internal Use Only ***

also sent to Tom Chandler

-----Original Message-----

From: Donna Szupica [mailto:donna.szupica@generalmitchell.af.mil]
Sent: Thursday, November 30, 2006 12:02 PM
To: Jay Keithley
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

November 30, 2006
Jay Keithley

Dear Jay Keithley,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Donna Szupica
3745 E. Elm Rd
Oak Creek, WI 53154-6619

Docket No. 05-231

stanke_JK_Don't roll back

From: Jay Keithley
Sent: Wednesday, September 20, 2006 5:14 PM
To: Pam Gregory
Subject: FW: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 7 2006

79.1 v. 79.2 email

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

*** Non-Public: For Internal Use only ***

-----Original Message-----

From: susan stanke [mailto:susstan@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 20, 2006 5:12 PM
To: Jay Keithley
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

September 20, 2006
Jay Keithley

Dear Jay Keithley,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

susan stanke
po box 51
mercer,, WI 54547-0051

Docket No. 05-231

Stanke_TC_Don't roll back

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

From: Thomas Chandler

Sent: Wednesday, September 20, 2006 5:13 PM

To: Pam Gregory; Francine Crawford

Subject: FW: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

*** Non-Public: For Internal Use Only ***

Tom Chandler
Chief, Disability Rights Office
Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
Room 3-B431
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554
(202) 418-1475
(cell) (703) 338-0372
(TTY) (202) 418-0597
thomas.chandler@fcc.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: susan stanke [mailto:susstan@yahoo.com]

Sent: Wednesday, September 20, 2006 5:12 PM

To: Thomas Chandler

Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

September 20, 2006

Thomas Chandler

Dear Thomas Chandler,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

susan stanke
po box 51
mercero, WI 54547-0051

Docket No. 05-231

stanley_JK_Don't roll back

FILED/ACCEPTED

From: Jay Keithley
Sent: Monday, August 21, 2006 9:29 AM
To: Pam Gregory
Subject: FW: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Another

*** Non-Public: For Internal Use Only ***

-----Original Message-----

From: Michael Stanley [mailto:mikes@cilj.com]
Sent: Monday, August 21, 2006 7:32 AM
To: Jay Keithley
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

August 21, 2006
Jay Keithley

Dear Jay Keithley,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Michael Stanley
5026 Lofty Pines Cir E
Jacksonville, FL 32210-7910

Docket No. 05-231

FILED/ACCEPTED

Sanderlin_TC_Don't roll back

DEC - 7 2006

From: Thomas Chandler
Sent: Wednesday, September 06, 2006 9:10 AM
To: Pam Gregory; Francine Crawford
Subject: FW: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

*** Non-Public: For Internal Use Only ***

Tom Chandler
Chief, Disability Rights Office
Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
Room 3-B431
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554
(202) 418-1475
(cell) (703) 338-0372
(TTY) (202) 418-0597
thomas.chandler@fcc.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: RONALD SANDERLIN [mailto:RSANDER358@AOL.COM]
Sent: Wednesday, September 06, 2006 4:02 AM
To: Thomas Chandler
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

September 5, 2006
Thomas Chandler

Dear Thomas Chandler,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

RONALD SANDERLIN
3803 Roosevelt Ave NE
Canton, OH 44705-2749

Docket No. 05-231

FILED/ACCEPTED

Sultan_JK_Don't roll back

From: Jay Keithley
Sent: Tuesday, September 19, 2006 8:50 AM
To: Pam Gregory
Subject: FW: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

*** Non-Public: For Internal Use only ***

-----Original Message-----

From: Julie Sultan [mailto:wtp39@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, September 18, 2006 7:52 PM
To: Jay Keithley
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

September 18, 2006
Jay Keithley

Dear Jay Keithley,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Julie Sultan
58 N Greenbush Road
West Nyack, NY 10994-2023

Docket No. 05-231

FILED/ACCEPTED

Sutcliffe_TC_Don't roll back

DEC - 7 2006

From: Thomas Chandler
Sent: Monday, September 25, 2006 9:22 AM
To: Pam Gregory; Francine Crawford
Subject: FW: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

*** Non-Public: For Internal Use Only ***

Tom Chandler
Chief, Disability Rights Office
Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
Room 3-B431
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554
(202) 418-1475
(cell) (703) 338-0372
(TTY) (202) 418-0597
thomas.chandler@fcc.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: Ronald Sutcliffe [mailto:ronald.sutcliffe@gallaudet.edu]
Sent: Sunday, September 24, 2006 12:32 PM
To: Thomas Chandler
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

September 24, 2006
Thomas Chandler

Dear Thomas Chandler,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Ronald Sutcliffe
9213 Tuckahoe Ln
Adelphi, MD 20783-1441

Docket No. 05-231

sutcliffe_JK_Don't roll back

FILED/ACCEPTED

From: Jay Keithley
Sent: Monday, September 25, 2006 8:42 AM
To: Pam Gregory
Subject: FW: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Another 79.2

*** Non-Public: For Internal Use Only ***

-----Original Message-----

From: Ronald Sutcliffe [mailto:ronald.sutcliffe@gallaudet.edu]
Sent: Sunday, September 24, 2006 12:32 PM
To: Jay Keithley
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

September 24, 2006
Jay Keithley

Dear Jay Keithley,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Ronald Sutcliffe
9213 Tuckahoe Ln
Adelphi, MD 20783-1441

Docket No. 05-231

FILED/ACCEPTED

Semrau_TC_Don't roll back

From: Eileen Semrau [esemrau002@student.monroecc.edu]
Sent: Sunday, August 20, 2006 8:42 PM
To: Thomas Chandler
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

August 20, 2006
Thomas Chandler

Dear Thomas Chandler,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. If a Hearing person must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster, then it is only fair that a D/deaf person should.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Eileen Semrau
214 Rockway Dr
Rochester, NY 14612-1612

Docket No. 05-231

Semrau_JK_Don't roll back

FILED/ACCEPTED

From: Jay Keithley
Sent: Monday, August 21, 2006 9:28 AM
To: Pam Gregory
Subject: FW: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Another

*** Non-Public: For Internal Use Only ***

-----Original Message-----

From: Eileen Semrau [mailto:esemrau002@student.monroecc.edu]
Sent: Sunday, August 20, 2006 8:42 PM
To: Jay Keithley
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

August 20, 2006
Jay Keithley

Dear Jay Keithley,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. If a Hearing person must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster, then it is only fair that a D/deaf person should.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Eileen Semrau
214 Rockway Dr
Rochester, NY 14612-1612

Docket No. 05-231

FILED/ACCEPTED

Sewell_FCC_Don't roll back

From: FCCINFO

Sent: Friday, August 25, 2006 11:10 AM

To: Pam Gregory

Subject: CIMS CIMS00000143012 transferred from gloria.verduzco to pam.gregory

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Please DO NOT Reply to this email.

This is an automated message. You have had a CIMS problem transferred to you. It was transferred by the user with the login name gloria.verduzco at 11:09:21 AM on 8/25/2006 with the description:

You can access this problem through your queue.

Consumer Name: JIM SEWELL
Submission Method: Email
Phone Number: (000) 000 - 0000
Email: asluzer@ec.rr.com

Level 1 Scripts: Disabilities
Level 2 Scripts: Broadcast Cable satellite
Level 3 Scripts: Closed Captioning

asluzer@ec.rr.com wrote on 8/24/2006 9:45:16 AM :

I am an interpreter for the Deaf and the child of deaf adults. I have seen first hand the importance of captioning on television. It completes the entertainment portion of shows...however, in the case of emergency broadcasting it provides a CRITICAL link to emergencies that are developing, and provides CRITICAL information to those who cannot hear. Crawlers are better than nothing, but captioning gives the full story. Showing a map or a picture with minimal information is just that...minimal. Why should the Deaf and Hard of Hearing be denied an opportunity to be fully informed during emergencies? with all the advances that have been made in making services equally accessible, not requiring TV stations to provide full captioning during emergencies is a step backwards.

Please consider strengthening all requirements regarding captioning. Captioning during ball games, movies, and all are morally right...not captioning during an emergency borders is negligent.

Thank you.

Jim Sewell
800 Country Club Road #44
Morehead City, NC 28557

Docket No. 05-231

Shaw_JK_Don't roll back

FILED/ACCEPTED

From: Jay Keithley
Sent: Monday, September 25, 2006 8:32 AM
To: Pam Gregory
Subject: FW: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

79.1/79.2

*** Non-Public: For Internal Use Only ***

-----Original Message-----

From: Deborah Miller [mailto:deafpower2000@aol.com]
Sent: Saturday, September 23, 2006 7:12 AM
To: Jay Keithley
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

September 23, 2006
Jay Keithley

Dear Jay Keithley,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Deborah Shaw
12 Holcomb St
Danville, VA 24541-6122

Docket No. 05-231
FILED/ACCEPTED

Shaw_TC_Don't roll back

From: Thomas Chandler
Sent: Monday, September 25, 2006 9:22 AM
To: Pam Gregory; Francine Crawford
Subject: FW: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

*** Non-Public: For Internal Use Only ***

Tom Chandler
Chief, Disability Rights Office
Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
Room 3-B431
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554
(202) 418-1475
(cell) (703) 338-0372
(TTY) (202) 418-0597
thomas.chandler@fcc.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: Deborah Miller [mailto:deafpower2000@aol.com]
Sent: Saturday, September 23, 2006 7:12 AM
To: Thomas Chandler
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

September 23, 2006
Thomas Chandler

Dear Thomas Chandler,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Deborah Shaw
12 Holcomb St
Danville, VA 24541-6122

Docket No. 05-231

Stanford_TC_Don't roll back
From: Ron & Alma Stanford [rylgav57@hotmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, August 31, 2006 12:12 PM
To: Thomas Chandler
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

August 31, 2006
Thomas Chandler

Dear Thomas Chandler,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Ron & Alma Stanford
3856 Sterret Rd.
Calera, OK 74730

Docket No. 05-231

Schultz_TC_Don't roll back

FILED/ACCEPTED

From: Thomas Chandler
Sent: Monday, September 25, 2006 9:22 AM
To: Pam Gregory; Francine Crawford
Subject: FW: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

*** Non-Public: For Internal Use Only ***

Tom Chandler
Chief, Disability Rights Office
Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
Room 3-B431
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554
(202) 418-1475
(cell) (703) 338-0372
(TTY) (202) 418-0597
thomas.chandler@fcc.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: Laura Schultz [mailto:Quiettweety@comcast.net]
Sent: Sunday, September 24, 2006 10:12 AM
To: Thomas Chandler
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

September 24, 2006
Thomas Chandler

Dear Thomas Chandler,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Laura Schultz
16 Meadowbrook Dr
Browns Mills, NJ 08015-6851

Docket No. 05-231

Schultz_JK_Don't roll back

From: Jay Keithley
Sent: Monday, September 25, 2006 8:42 AM
To: Pam Gregory
Subject: FW: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

79.1/79.2

*** Non-Public: For Internal Use Only ***

-----Original Message-----

From: Laura Schultz [mailto:Quiettweety@comcast.net]
Sent: Sunday, September 24, 2006 10:12 AM
To: Jay Keithley
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

September 24, 2006
Jay Keithley

Dear Jay Keithley,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Laura Schultz
16 Meadowbrook Dr
Browns Mills, NJ 08015-6851

Docket No. 05-231

Stanley_TC_Don't roll back

FILED/ACCEPTED

From: Michael Stanley [mikes@cilj.com]

Sent: Monday, August 21, 2006 7:32 AM

To: Thomas Chandler

Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

August 21, 2006

Thomas Chandler

Dear Thomas Chandler,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Michael Stanley
5026 Lofty Pines Cir E
Jacksonville, FL 32210-7910

Docket No. 05-231

Sultan_MD_Don't roll back

From: Julie Sultan [wtp39@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, September 18, 2006 7:52 PM
To: Monica Desai
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

September 18, 2006
Monica Desai

Dear Monica Desai,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Julie Sultan
58 N Greenbush Road
West Nyack, NY 10994-2023

Docket No. 05-231

Sultan_TC_Don't roll back

FILED/ACCEPTED

From: Thomas Chandler
Sent: Tuesday, September 19, 2006 9:13 AM
To: Pam Gregory; Francine Crawford
Subject: FW: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

*** Non-Public: For Internal Use Only ***

Tom Chandler
Chief, Disability Rights Office
Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
Room 3-B431
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554
(202) 418-1475
(cell) (703) 338-0372
(TTY) (202) 418-0597
thomas.chandler@fcc.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: Julie Sultan [mailto:wtp39@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, September 18, 2006 7:52 PM
To: Thomas Chandler
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

September 18, 2006
Thomas Chandler

Dear Thomas Chandler,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Julie Sultan
58 N Greenbush Road
West Nyack, NY 10994-2023

Docket No. 05-231

Sanderlin_JK_Don't roll back

FILED/ACCEPTED

From: Jay Keithley
Sent: Wednesday, September 06, 2006 8:17 AM
To: Pam Gregory; Thomas Chandler
Subject: FW: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Another

*** Non-Public: For Internal Use Only ***

-----Original Message-----

From: RONALD SANDERLIN [mailto:RSANDER358@AOL.COM]
Sent: Wednesday, September 06, 2006 4:02 AM
To: Jay Keithley
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

September 5, 2006
Jay Keithley

Dear Jay Keithley,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

RONALD SANDERLIN
3803 Roosevelt Ave NE
Canton, OH 44705-2749

Docket No. 05-231

06-R147294

THUNDERxRAGE@aol.com wrote on 8/29/2006 1:36:58 PM :

FCC,

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

I am writing to you on concern about Closed Captioning for severe weather coverage. This is a very serious issue that need to be addressed and something must be done immediately. When deaf people are watching a sudden coverage of severe weather, we do not have any immediate information to better prepare ourselves. As you know, severe weather can strike anywhere within a matter of minutes and a tornaic storm can drop down a tornado at any time. Without CC, it would be a major delay for people with hearing loss to know whats happening every minutes. Since the FCC does not enforce the stations to provide emergency captioning, most stations will not provide captioning to protect lives. The risk especially increases when a person have to go outside to keep watch of the weather and run back into the house to look at the TV.

When I watch several stations at the same time during severe weather coverage, without captioning, I have no information on where a specific tornado is at and the direction. Everything is happening so fast and everything is delayed for us. This is serious! It could be too late to take cover at the very last minute.

There is one station, KFOR-TV Channel 4 in Oklahoma City, provides captioning during severe weather, but there are flaws. It takes the station about 15 to 30 minutes to get the captioning started. Each time there is a severe weather coverage, I have to call the station constantly to remind them not to forget about the captions. If no one calls them, they will mostly forget. Another flaw, the station only provide captioning when the severe storms approaches nearer to the metro area. This is unacceptable. We want captioning immediately, despite the location of the storms in the state. We have deaf friends and family that live across the state. They would want captioning as well and we need captioning to know specific details to be able to alert our friends and family.

Another thing, a 30 minutes delay is a great risk to everyone with hearing loss. We demand that the station provide Captioner to be on standby on days that is forecasted to have severe weather. As soon the coverage begins, we want the captions to begin immediately as well.

A single storm cell can explode over a city and drop a tornado within 15 minutes. In that city alone, there are populations with deaf citizens. When everything happens quickly and the captions are not ready, it will be a disaster.

Also, providing breaks to a Captioner during severe weather coverage must be adjusted. I do not like it when a Captioner takes a break for several minutes. If one captioner need a few minutes rest, then another captioner must be ready to take over immediately.

I've been working with 3 stations in Oklahoma City and I am pushing for a improvement in all three stations. Please, I ask the FCC to help join the fight with all the Americans with hearing loss. FCC can begin to save lives and help people to be better prepared by enforcing the stations to provide emergency captioning and to enforce the stations that provide emergency captioning

to improve.

Thank you for reading my concerns.

Clarence Tedford - Del City, Oklahoma

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Docket No. 05-231

scrapbookingmom2006@gmail.com wrote on 8/24/2006 5:39:30 PM :

No to cut Close Caption is not acceptable due to the fact how we are going know if tornado is heading our way as we are deaf that is why it is vital we have close caption during emergency broadcasting of any kind so that we know what is going on to protect ourselves and our family and not be a causality due to lack of Close caption during emergency.

Tonia