

Pam Gregory

Docket No. 05-231

**From:** Jay Keithley  
**Sent:** Friday, December 01, 2006 4:04 PM  
**To:** Pam Gregory  
**Subject:** FW: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 7 2006

FYI, another 79.1/79.2-related email.

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

\*\*\* Non-Public: For Internal Use Only \*\*\*

-----Original Message-----

**From:** B Assali [mailto:abassali@peoplepc.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, December 01, 2006 3:12 PM  
**To:** Jay Keithley  
**Subject:** Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

December 1, 2006  
Jay Keithley

Dear Jay Keithley,

You know, they are suing the national treasury because the blind can't tell what dollars are which. If that organization of lawyers read about what you are doing, what is to stop them from suing your agency? I think this is a great flaw and is discriminating against the hearing impaired. I witnessed my friend during a fire drill - the sound was horribly loud and hurt my ears - my friend had her back turned away from the fire alarm (that also had a light that flashed as warning) - she could not hear the alarm. Her back was turned away from the light, so she did not see it either. She continued to work on her computer - what if the alarm was a real warning and not a test? What if I was not there to tell her the alarm was sounding? This potential for disaster is very real and if you take closed captioning from warnings and the like you are putting yourselves in the field of being negligent. Not to mention the potential for unneeded human suffering. Also, beyond the safety reasons, imagine how the quality of life drops without closed captioning. How would you feel if you could no longer have your tv? I have 2 close hearing impaired friends, and have met several others. I also have old relatives where their hearing can't keep up with their hearing aids. Please reconsider the lives you are effecting - and take into consideration your potential for negligence on a mass class action suit. Thank you.

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

B Assali  
1711 Bluegrass Ave SE  
East Wenatchee, WA 98802-5580

Pam Gregory

Docket No. 05-231

**From:** Thomas Chandler  
**Sent:** Friday, December 01, 2006 3:12 PM  
**To:** Pam Gregory; Francine Crawford  
**Subject:** FW: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

\*\*\* Non-Public: For Internal Use Only \*\*\*

Tom Chandler  
Chief, Disability Rights Office  
Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau  
Federal Communications Commission  
Room 3-B431  
445 12th Street, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554  
(202) 418-1475  
(cell) (703) 338-0372  
(TTY) (202) 418-0597  
thomas.chandler@fcc.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: B Assali [mailto:abassali@peoplepc.com]  
Sent: Friday, December 01, 2006 3:12 PM  
To: Thomas Chandler  
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

December 1, 2006  
Thomas Chandler

Dear Thomas Chandler,

You know, they are suing the national treasury because the blind can't tell what dollars are which. If that organization of lawyers read about what you are doing, what is to stop them from suing your agency? I think this is a great flaw and is discriminating against the hearing impaired. I witnessed my friend during a fire drill - the sound was horribly loud and hurt my ears - my friend had her back turned away from the fire alarm (that also had a light that flashed as warning) - she could not hear the alarm. Her back was turned away from the light, so she did not see it either. She continued to work on her computer - what if the alarm was a real warning and not a test? What if I was not there to tell her the alarm was sounding? This potential for disaster is very real and if you take closed captioning from warnings and the like you are putting yourselves in the field of being negligent. Not to mention the potential for unneeded human suffering. Also, beyond the safety reasons, imagine how the quality of life drops without closed captioning. How would you feel if you could no longer have your tv? I have 2 close hearing impaired friends, and have met several others. I also have old relatives where their hearing can't keep up with their hearing aids. Please reconsider the lives you are effecting - and take into consideration your potential for negligence on a mass class action suit. Thank you.

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

B Assali  
1711 Bluegrass Ave SE  
East Wenatchee, WA 98802-5580

Docket No. 05-231

Doughty\_JK\_Don't roll back

From: Jay Keithley  
Sent: Monday, September 18, 2006 8:49 AM  
To: Pam Gregory  
Subject: FW: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

\*\*\* Non-Public: For Internal Use Only \*\*\*

-----Original Message-----

From: SHARON DOHERTY [mailto:nanasherrie@bellsouth.net]  
Sent: Saturday, September 16, 2006 8:02 PM  
To: Jay Keithley  
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

September 16, 2006  
Jay Keithley

Dear Jay Keithley,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

SHARON DOHERTY  
3713 S Lake Orlando Pkwy  
CONDO #4  
Orlando, FL 32808-3056

Pam Gregory

Docket No. 05-231

**From:** Jay Keithley  
**Sent:** Monday, December 04, 2006 6:04 PM  
**To:** Pam Gregory  
**Subject:** FW: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

FYI; another 79.1/79.2

\*\*\* Non-Public: For Internal Use Only \*\*\*

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Emily Dobbs [mailto:emilydobbs@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 04, 2006 6:02 PM  
**To:** Jay Keithley  
**Subject:** Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

December 4, 2006  
Jay Keithley

Dear Jay Keithley,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Emily Dobbs  
8627 Oak Chase Circle  
Fairfax Station, VA 22039-3331

Docket No. 05-231

Docket No. 05-231

jackat05@yahoo.com wrote on 8/17/2006 1:33:15 AM :

August 16, 2006

Kevin J. Martin, Chairman  
Michael J. Copps, Commissioner  
Jonathan S. Adelstein, Commissioner  
Deborah Taylor Tate, Commissioner  
Robert M. McDowell, Commissioner

Dear Commissioners,

This is to let you know that I fully support the petition filed by TDI and other national organizations with the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) on August 14, 2006 requesting that you rescind your clarification of 8/7/06 regarding captioning of emergency announcements on TV.

Given that the local broadcasters in the top 25 television markets currently have real-time closed captioning capabilities, and provide captions routinely for their local news shows, I fully expect them to provide closed captioning for all breaking news, including emergency announcements that are broadcast to their viewers.

For example, I live in Texas, and if there is a tornado, I would want my local TV station to tell me using closed captions when the tornado is about to strike, its current direction and speed, immediate action to take, and when it is safe to go outside again.

Closed captioning gives me many more details, nearly equal to what is audible. While visual information is welcome via other methods, such as crawls, signs, and graphics, the information that they convey is limited, and this can endanger my life, health, and safety, and property during an emergency. My survival needs are equally important to those of others in the community.

I support TDI's request that the FCC withdraw its August 7, 2006 clarification notice, and continue to maintain its effective enforcement of its current 79.2 regulations on emergency information broadcasts. Human lives are precious, and access to safety information is a vital American right!

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,

Jacqueline Doyle  
2600 Gracy Farms Ln 1416

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Austin, TX 78758  
Jackat05@yahoo.com

cc: Monica Desai, Chief, Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau  
Jay Keithley, Deputy Chief, Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau  
Tom Chandler, Chief, Disability Rights Office

Docket No. 05-231

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Doherty\_TC\_Don't roll back

From: Thomas Chandler  
Sent: Monday, September 18, 2006 9:04 AM  
To: Pam Gregory; Francine Crawford  
Subject: FW: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

\*\*\* Non-Public: For Internal Use Only \*\*\*

Tom Chandler  
Chief, Disability Rights Office  
Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau  
Federal Communications Commission  
Room 3-B431  
445 12th Street, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554  
(202) 418-1475  
(cell) (703) 338-0372  
(TTY) (202) 418-0597  
thomas.chandler@fcc.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: SHARON DOHERTY [mailto:nanasherrie@bellsouth.net]  
Sent: Saturday, September 16, 2006 8:02 PM  
To: Thomas Chandler  
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

September 16, 2006  
Thomas Chandler

Dear Thomas Chandler,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

SHARON DOHERTY  
3713 S Lake Orlando Pkwy  
CONDO #4  
Orlando, FL 32808-3056

Docket No. 05-231

Dickinson\_TC\_Don't roll back

FILED/ACCEPTED

From: Thomas Chandler  
Sent: Wednesday, September 27, 2006 9:07 AM  
To: Pam Gregory; Francine Crawford  
Subject: FW: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

\*\*\* Non-Public: For Internal Use Only \*\*\*

Tom Chandler  
Chief, Disability Rights Office  
Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau  
Federal Communications Commission  
Room 3-B431  
445 12th Street, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554  
(202) 418-1475  
(cell) (703) 338-0372  
(TTY) (202) 418-0597  
thomas.chandler@fcc.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: Laura Dickinson [mailto:SunnyLoura64@wmconnect.com]  
Sent: Tuesday, September 26, 2006 10:42 PM  
To: Thomas Chandler  
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

September 26, 2006  
Thomas Chandler

Dear Thomas Chandler,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Laura Dickinson  
6250 Lick Creek Road  
Danville, WV 25053-7046

Docket No. 05-231

Dickinson\_JK\_Don't roll back

From: Jay Keithley  
Sent: Wednesday, September 27, 2006 8:26 AM  
To: Pam Gregory  
Subject: FW: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

\*\*\* Non-Public: For Internal Use Only \*\*\*

-----Original Message-----

From: Laura Dickinson [mailto:SunnyLaura64@wmconnect.com]  
Sent: Tuesday, September 26, 2006 10:42 PM  
To: Jay Keithley  
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

September 26, 2006  
Jay Keithley

Dear Jay Keithley,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Laura Dickinson  
6250 Lick Creek Road  
Danville, WV 25053-7046

Docket No. 05-231

Dunn\_JK\_Don't roll back

From: Jay Keithley  
Sent: Thursday, August 17, 2006 3:25 PM  
To: Pam Gregory  
Subject: FW: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

No. 3

\*\*\* Non-Public: For Internal Use Only \*\*\*

-----Original Message-----

From: Audrey Dunn [mailto:Ladyfirstcavalry@hotmail.com]  
Sent: Thursday, August 17, 2006 11:22 AM  
To: Jay Keithley  
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

August 17, 2006  
Jay Keithley

Dear Jay Keithley,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Audrey Dunn  
620 Terrace Way  
Space 16  
Bakersfield, CA 93304-3800

Docket NO. 05-231

Dunn\_TC\_Don't roll back  
From: Audrey Dunn [Ladyfirstcavalry@hotmail.com]  
Sent: Thursday, August 17, 2006 11:22 AM  
To: Thomas Chandler  
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

August 17, 2006  
Thomas Chandler

Dear Thomas Chandler,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Audrey Dunn  
620 Terrace way  
Space 16  
Bakersfield, CA 93304-3800

Docket No. 05-231

DeLuca\_TC\_Don't roll back

From: Thomas Chandler  
Sent: Wednesday, September 20, 2006 9:10 AM  
To: Pam Gregory; Francine Crawford  
Subject: FW: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

FILED/ACCEPTED  
DEC - 7 2006  
Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

\*\*\* Non-Public: For Internal Use Only \*\*\*

Tom Chandler  
Chief, Disability Rights Office  
Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau  
Federal Communications Commission  
Room 3-B431  
445 12th Street, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554  
(202) 418-1475  
(cell) (703) 338-0372  
(TTY) (202) 418-0597  
thomas.chandler@fcc.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: John DeLuca [mailto:jhdpsd82@ptd.net]  
Sent: Tuesday, September 19, 2006 9:22 PM  
To: Thomas Chandler  
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

September 19, 2006  
Thomas Chandler

Dear Thomas Chandler,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

John DeLuca  
126 Four Seasons Dr  
Hazleton, PA 18201-7645

Docket No. 05-231

DeLuca\_JK\_Don't roll back

FILED/ACCEPTED

From: Jay Keithley

Sent: Wednesday, September 20, 2006 8:42 AM

To: Pam Gregory

Subject: FW: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

a 79.1 v. 79.2 email.

\*\*\* Non-Public: For Internal Use Only \*\*\*

-----Original Message-----

From: John DeLuca [mailto:jhdpsd82@ptd.net]

Sent: Tuesday, September 19, 2006 9:22 PM

To: Jay Keithley

Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

September 19, 2006

Jay Keithley

Dear Jay Keithley,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

John DeLuca

126 Four Seasons Dr

Hazleton, PA 18201-7645

Docket No. 05-231

Delgade\_TC\_Don't roll back

From: Thomas Chandler

Sent: Friday, September 22, 2006 10:11 AM

To: Pam Gregory; Francine Crawford

Subject: FW: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

\*\*\* Non-Public: For Internal Use Only \*\*\*

Tom Chandler  
Chief, Disability Rights Office  
Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau  
Federal Communications Commission  
Room 3-B431  
445 12th Street, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554  
(202) 418-1475  
(cell) (703) 338-0372  
(TTY) (202) 418-0597  
thomas.chandler@fcc.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: Don Delgade [mailto:ddelgade@progresscil.org]

Sent: Friday, September 22, 2006 10:02 AM

To: Thomas Chandler

Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

September 22, 2006  
Thomas Chandler

Dear Thomas Chandler,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Don Delgade  
10221 Chestnut  
Franklin Park, IL 60131-2492

Docket No. 05-231

Dee\_TC\_Don't roll back

From: Thomas Chandler  
Sent: Thursday, September 14, 2006 9:03 AM  
To: Pam Gregory; Francine Crawford  
Subject: FW: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

FILED/ACCEPTED  
DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

\*\*\* Non-Public: For Internal Use Only \*\*\*

Tom Chandler  
Chief, Disability Rights Office  
Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau  
Federal Communications Commission  
Room 3-B431  
445 12th Street, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554  
(202) 418-1475  
(cell) (703) 338-0372  
(TTY) (202) 418-0597  
thomas.chandler@fcc.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: Chamroeun Dee [mailto:bizcham@gmail.com]  
Sent: Wednesday, September 13, 2006 9:42 PM  
To: Thomas Chandler  
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

September 13, 2006  
Thomas Chandler

Dear Thomas Chandler,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Chamroeun Dee  
13072 Marcey Creek Rd  
Herndon, VA 20171-4807

Docket No. 05-231

Delgade\_JK\_Don't roll back

From: Jay Keithley  
Sent: Friday, September 22, 2006 10:11 AM  
To: Pam Gregory  
Subject: FW: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

\*\*\* Non-Public: For Internal Use Only \*\*\*

-----Original Message-----

From: Don Delgade [mailto:ddelgade@progresscil.org]  
Sent: Friday, September 22, 2006 10:02 AM  
To: Jay Keithley  
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

September 22, 2006  
Jay Keithley

Dear Jay Keithley,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Don Delgade  
10221 Chestnut  
Franklin Park, IL 60131-2492

Docket No. 05-231

Dee\_MD\_Don't roll back

From: Monica Desai  
Sent: Tuesday, September 19, 2006 10:08 AM  
To: Pam Gregory  
Subject: FW: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

\*\*\* Non-Public: For Internal Use Only \*\*\*

-----Original Message-----

From: Chamroeun Dee [mailto:bizcham@gmail.com]  
Sent: Wednesday, September 13, 2006 9:42 PM  
To: Monica Desai  
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

September 13, 2006  
Monica Desai

Dear Monica Desai,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Chamroeun Dee  
13072 Marcey Creek Rd  
Herndon, VA 20171-4807

Docket No - 05-231

Dee\_JK\_Don't roll back

From: Jay Keithley  
Sent: Thursday, September 14, 2006 9:01 AM  
To: Pam Gregory  
Subject: FW: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Another

\*\*\* Non-Public: For Internal Use Only \*\*\*

-----Original Message-----

From: Chamroeun Dee [mailto:bizcham@gmail.com]  
Sent: Wednesday, September 13, 2006 9:42 PM  
To: Jay Keithley  
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

September 13, 2006  
Jay Keithley

Dear Jay Keithley,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Chamroeun Dee  
13072 Marcey Creek Rd  
Herndon, VA 20171-4807

Docket No. 05-231

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Dowds\_TC\_Don't roll back

From: Thomas Chandler  
Sent: Friday, September 08, 2006 9:08 AM  
To: Pam Gregory; Francine Crawford  
Subject: FW: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

\*\*\* Non-Public: For Internal Use Only \*\*\*

Tom Chandler  
Chief, Disability Rights Office  
Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau  
Federal Communications Commission  
Room 3-B431  
445 12th Street, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554  
(202) 418-1475  
(cell) (703) 338-0372  
(TTY) (202) 418-0597  
thomas.chandler@fcc.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: Michael Dowds [mailto:infael@hotmail.com]  
Sent: Thursday, September 07, 2006 5:12 PM  
To: Thomas Chandler  
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

September 7, 2006  
Thomas Chandler

Dear Thomas Chandler,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Michael Dowds  
1324 Cascade Creek Vw Apt 208  
Colorado Springs, CO 80915-5014

06-R155723

Docket No. 05-231

Feltner\_TC\_Don't roll back

From: Thomas Chandler  
Sent: Monday, September 25, 2006 9:22 AM  
To: Pam Gregory; Francine Crawford  
Subject: FW: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

\*\*\* Non-Public: For Internal Use Only \*\*\*

Tom Chandler  
Chief, Disability Rights Office  
Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau  
Federal Communications Commission  
Room 3-B431  
445 12th Street, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554  
(202) 418-1475  
(cell) (703) 338-0372  
(TTY) (202) 418-0597  
thomas.chandler@fcc.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: CASSANDRA FELTNER [mailto:BLUIECHRISTIAN05@tmail.com]  
Sent: Sunday, September 24, 2006 12:32 AM  
To: Thomas Chandler  
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

September 23, 2006  
Thomas Chandler

Dear Thomas Chandler,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

CASSANDRA FELTNER  
6913 W Jarvis Ave  
Niles, IL 60714-4323

Docket No. 05-231

Feltner\_JK\_Don't roll back

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

From: Jay Keithley

Sent: Monday, September 25, 2006 8:41 AM

To: Pam Gregory

Subject: FW: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

79.1/79.2

\*\*\* Non-Public: For Internal Use Only \*\*\*

-----Original Message-----

From: CASSANDRA FELTNER [mailto:BLUIECHRISTIAN05@tmail.com]

Sent: Sunday, September 24, 2006 12:32 AM

To: Jay Keithley

Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

September 23, 2006

Jay Keithley

Dear Jay Keithley,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

CASSANDRA FELTNER  
6913 W Jarvis Ave  
Niles, IL 60714-4323

Docket No. 05-231

Foster\_JK\_Don't roll back

From: Jay Keithley  
Sent: Thursday, August 24, 2006 3:55 PM  
To: Pam Gregory; Thomas Chandler  
Subject: FW: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Another one

\*\*\* Non-Public: For Internal Use Only \*\*\*

-----Original Message-----

From: Carrie Foster [mailto:carrietwinkle@yahoo.com]  
Sent: Thursday, August 24, 2006 3:52 PM  
To: Jay Keithley  
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

August 24, 2006  
Jay Keithley

Dear Jay Keithley,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Carrie Foster  
PO Box 605  
Waterbury, VT 05676-0605

Docket No 05-231

Foster\_TC\_Don't roll back

From: Carrie Foster [carrietwinkle@yahoo.com]  
Sent: Thursday, August 24, 2006 3:52 PM  
To: Thomas Chandler  
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

August 24, 2006  
Thomas Chandler

Dear Thomas Chandler,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Carrie Foster  
PO Box 605  
Waterbury, VT 05676-0605

Docket No. 05-231  
FILED/ACCEPTED

Fine\_TC\_Don't roll back

From: Donna Fine [d\_fine10@yahoo.com]  
Sent: Thursday, August 31, 2006 12:12 AM  
To: Thomas Chandler  
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

DEC - 7 2006  
Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

August 30, 2006  
Thomas Chandler

Dear Thomas Chandler,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Donna Fine  
PO Box 523  
Sulphur, OK 73086-0523

Docket No. 05-231

Fine\_JK\_Don't roll back

From: Jay Keithley  
Sent: Thursday, August 31, 2006 9:40 AM  
To: Pam Gregory; Thomas Chandler  
Subject: FW: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 7 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Another

\*\*\* Non-Public: For Internal Use Only \*\*\*

-----Original Message-----

From: Donna Fine [mailto:d\_fine10@yahoo.com]  
Sent: Thursday, August 31, 2006 12:12 AM  
To: Jay Keithley  
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

August 30, 2006  
Jay Keithley

Dear Jay Keithley,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Donna Fine  
PO Box 523  
Sulphur, OK 73086-0523