

Francine Crawford

From: Thomas Chandler
Sent: Monday, October 23, 2006 2:56 PM
To: Pam Gregory; Francine Crawford
Subject: FW: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 8 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

fyi

*** Non-Public: For Internal Use Only ***

Tom Chandler
Chief, Disability Rights Office
Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
Room 3-B431
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554
(202) 418-1475
(cell) (703) 338-0372
(TTY) (202) 418-0597
thomas.chandler@fcc.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: Dave Coles [mailto:davejh53@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, October 23, 2006 2:52 PM
To: Thomas Chandler
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

October 23, 2006
Thomas Chandler

Dear Thomas Chandler,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Dave Coles
3828 Dawnview Rd
Chester, IL 62233-2824

Francine Crawford

Docket No. 05-231

5

From: Thomas Chandler
Sent: Friday, October 27, 2006 5:01 PM
To: Pam Gregory; Francine Crawford
Subject: FW: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 8 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

fyi
*** Non-Public: For Internal Use Only ***

Tom Chandler
Chief, Disability Rights Office
Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
Room 3-B431
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554
(202) 418-1475
(cell) (703) 338-0372
(TTY) (202) 418-0597
thomas.chandler@fcc.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: James Short [mailto:jshort@harkle.com]
Sent: Friday, October 27, 2006 5:02 PM
To: Thomas Chandler
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

October 27, 2006
Thomas Chandler

Dear Thomas Chandler,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

James Short
725 Fern Glen
La Jolla, CA 92037-5461

Francine Crawford

Docket No. 05-231

B

From: RONALD SANDERLIN [RSANDER358@AOL.COM]
Sent: Wednesday, September 06, 2006 4:02 AM
To: Monica Desai
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 8 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

September 5, 2006
Monica Desai

Dear Monica Desai,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

RONALD SANDERLIN
3803 Roosevelt Ave NE
Canton, OH 44705-2749

Francine Crawford

Docket No. 05-231 B

From: Barrett Blackard [barry@blackard.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 05, 2006 5:52 PM
To: Monica Desai
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 8 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

September 5, 2006
Monica Desai

Dear Monica Desai,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Barrett Blackard
8462 Ram Ridge Ct
Fort Worth, TX 76137-5927

Francine Crawford

Docket No 05-231

B

From: C Boryslawskyj [cboryslawskyj@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, September 03, 2006 2:22 PM
To: Monica Desai
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 8 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

September 3, 2006
Monica Desai

Dear Monica Desai,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

CM Boryslawskyj
39 Clark Hill Rd
East Hampton, CT 06424-1416

Francine Crawford

Docket No. 05-231

W

From: John Warren [john.m.warren@ssa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, September 05, 2006 1:12 PM
To: Monica Desai
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 8 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

September 5, 2006
Monica Desai

Dear Monica Desai,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

John Warren
115 Abbotts Dr
Abbottstown, PA 17301-9612

Francine Crawford

Docket No.

05-231

FILED/ACCEPTED D

DEC - 8 2006

From: FCCINFO
Sent: Tuesday, November 07, 2006 2:36 PM
To: Francine Crawford
Subject: CIMS CIMS00000169271 transferred from vicky.may to francine.crawford

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Please DO NOT Reply to this email.

This is an automated message. You have had a CIMS problem transferred to you. It was transferred by the user with the login name vicky.may at 2:35:34 PM on 11/7/2006 with the description:

You can access this problem through your queue.

Consumer Name: DON DELGADE
Submission Method: Email
Phone Number: (000) 000 - 0000
Email: ddelgade@progresscil.org

Level 1 Scripts: Disabilities
Level 2 Scripts: Broadcast Cable Satellite
Level 3 Scripts: Closed Captioning

ddelgade@progresscil.org wrote on 9/22/2006 10:00:51 AM :

September 22, 2006
Federal Communications Commission

Dear Federal Communications Commission,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Don Delgade
10221 Chestnut
Franklin Park, IL 60131-2492

S

Pam Gregory

Docket No. 05-231

From: sepielli@aol.com
 Sent: Thursday, November 02, 2006 11:57 AM
 To: Kevin Martin; Michael Coppins; Jonathan Adelstein; Deborah Tate; Robert McDowell; Monica Desai; Tom.Chandler@fcc.gov
 Cc: info@tdi-online.org
 Subject: Tv Captioning

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 8 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

> >
 > > Dear Commissioners,
 > >
 > > This is to let you know that I fully support the action alerts from TDI
 > > and other national organizations to oppose the decisions taken by the
 > > Federal Communications Commission (FCC) on September 13, 2006. We
 > > respectfully ask that the FCC reverse its September 13, 2006 decisions
 > > regarding television captioning waivers.
 > >
 > > Churches make up a very important part of every community. It is within
 > > their mission to support the basic needs of all people within their
 > > reach. When Hurricane Katrina struck the Gulf Coast one year ago, they
 > > were among the first to offer help with shelter, food, and other
 > > assistance to the survivors. Captioning TV programs does meet a
 > > legitimate basic need for access to information just like building a
 > > ramp to the church door. By providing captions to meet the needs of a
 > > significant population group, the churches will find themselves with an
 > > expanded TV viewership, which will lead to an increase in their
 > > membership and other support from the community. When children and
 > > adults are able to read captions on spiritual programs, they are
 > > influenced to live up to high moral standards and contribute their part
 > > to the community. Hearing loss is the number one growing disability
 > > among senior citizens - they will find themselves depending on
 > > captioning to listen to the message.
 > >
 > > We want to participate fully in all programs and services at our local
 > > church because it serves as a vital resource that empowers us to be
 > > fully integrated in the community. If one of us who are deaf or hard of
 > > hearing sees the services with captions on TV, we can interact with
 > > other church members, neighbors, fellow employees, family members, and
 > > service professionals in the local community. We stand to benefit from
 > > the "local connection" that national religious programs are unable to
 > > provide.
 > >
 > > We know that all video programmers have had ten years to prepare for the
 > > captioning regulations now in place, and temporary waivers when
 > > appropriate. When you give full permanent exemptions to the two
 > > programmers, it reverses all the access we have worked on for years. We
 > > ask that programmers consider other possible revenue options such as
 > > sponsorships and aftermarket sales (videotapes or DVDs) to cover the
 > > cost of captioning. Or, they can reduce other expenses in their
 > > production budgets to enable the provision of captioning.
 > >
 > > Closed captioning gives me a) access to news that is indispensable to
 > > the community, b) entertainment that is an integral part of our lives,
 > > and c) education that paves the way for us to become self-sufficient in
 > > society. The information that everyone in the community receives is also
 > > important to me and I can only get it if it is captioned.

11/9/2006

> >
> > Thank you for your consideration,
> >
> > Sincerely,
Peter J. Sepielli
4735 Private Place
Waldorf, MD 20601

Check out the new AOL. Most comprehensive set of free safety and security tools, free access to millions of high-quality videos from across the web, free AOL Mail and more.

06-R 216791