

SandraLyn Bailey

03-104

From: David Weiss [weissd@inmarketinggroup.com]
Sent: Wednesday, December 06, 2006 4:25 PM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Comments to the Chairman

FILED/ACCEPTED

.IAN - 9 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

David Weiss (weissd@inmarketinggroup.com) writes:

Please don't allow BLP to polute the radio spectrum. There are other less invasive alternatives.

Thanks,
Dave Weiss

Server protocol: HTTP/1.1
Remote host: 64.115.130.98
Remote IP address: 64.115.130.98

SandraLyn Bailey

03-104

From: Russell Beck [kf4aex@roadrunner.com]
Sent: Thursday, December 07, 2006 6:48 PM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Comments to the Chairman

FILED/ACCEPTED

JAN - 9 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Russell Beck (kf4aex@roadrunner.com) writes:

Please give your comments right when giving presentations! Most systems using or testing BPL have been shut down due to interference and other problems. When speaking on this matter please give all the correct issues!

Server protocol: HTTP/1.1
Remote host: 76.182.54.74
Remote IP address: 76.182.54.74

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

SandraLyn Bailey

03-104

From: Charles D. Summers [w4don@roadrunner.com]
Sent: Wednesday, December 06, 2006 10:54 PM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Comments to the Chairman

FILED/ACCEPTED

IAN - 9 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Charles D. Summers (w4don@roadrunner.com) writes:

Mr. Chairman Martin:

Why are you misinforming people about BPL at your speaking invitations and engagements? BPL is not successful and many systems shown in your visual aid have been shut down or not up and operating.

They are many more methods of getting the Internet to the public other than using our power lines and they don't cause RFI.

The FCC has no business allowing our radio spectrum to be polluted with digital transmission RFI radiation from power lines.

When you tell the truth you don't have to remember what you said. The next time you speak about BPL be fair and tell your listeners about other successful methods of getting the Internet to the public without causing RFI. Try telling the truth once.

Mr. Summers

Server protocol: HTTP/1.1
Remote host: 76.182.53.165
Remote IP address: 76.182.53.165

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

SandraLyn Bailey

03704

From: William M Klykylo MD [klykylo1@cs.com]
Sent: Wednesday, December 06, 2006 10:36 PM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Comments to the Chairman

FILED/ACCEPTED

IANI - 9 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

William M Klykylo MD (klykylo1@cs.com) writes:

Dear Sir:

I note that:

"when speaking at Georgetown University November 30. Martin's presentation included a slide of a map from the United Power Line Council (UPLC), a BPL proponent, purporting to show current BPL deployments in the US.

'This slide is taken from a biased industry source and fails to note that a large percentage of the deployments shown on the map have been shut down and no longer exist," Sumner told Martin. His letter included a list of five systems shut down as much as two years earlier. In several instances, utilities announced they had abandoned their BPL pilot projects because they proved to be uneconomical or were unable to compete with existing broadband technologies.'

And:

"the FCC Report, High-Speed Services for Internet Access: Status as of December 31, 2005, showed 448,196 fiber lines and 256,538 fixed wireless "lines" compared to just 5859 for "Power Line and Other," Sumner pointed out. 'Your prepared remarks do not even mention satellite broadband, yet the same report shows 426,928 satellite 'lines,'' he added.

PPL announced in October 2005, more than a year ago, that it was terminating its trial deployment in Pennsylvania's Lehigh Valley, citing the high cost of a full-scale BPL rollout and competition from cable and DSL service. United Illuminating announced two trial deployments in Shelton, Connecticut, but only ever installed one and shut that one down in December 2005 after a few months of operation. SMECO announced in March 2006 that it had ended its Southern Maryland BPL trial in December 2005, noting "BPL signal speeds and bandwidth are not competitive with other technologies currently available." The Idaho Power deployment in Boise was by IDACOMM, which announced in January 2006 that it was abandoning the BPL business (and has done so). IDACOMM also had participated in an early BPL trial in Houston, Texas. RPU had an unsuccessful Main.net BPL trial deployment in Rochester, Minnesota, in 2004. The system has been shut down. Sumner also said BPL, as a technology, doesn't warrant the kind of partiality it's been getting from the FCC"

Of course you know where this is from. You are the product of an administration that already has credibility problems; you might consider not exacerbating them. You might also consider that you are offending several hundred thousand formerly reliable Republican voters. Oh what the heck, knock yourself out!

William M. Klykylo, M.D., WA8FOZ

Server protocol: HTTP/1.1
Remote host: 65.189.47.192
Remote IP address: 65.189.47.192

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

SandraLyn Bailey

03-104

From: Dr. Gary A. Martek [w7do@arrl.net]
Sent: Tuesday, December 12, 2006 10:18 AM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Comments to the Chairman

Dr. Gary A. Martek (w7do@arrl.net) writes:

Chairman Martin, you seem like a fine young gentleman, but your "unusual" support of BPL as a promising technology, is drawing some unfortunate legal attention to your new tenure and possible special interest backers. I hope its worth the risk to your post FCC career. Just a friendly "heads-up" sir. Dr. Martek

Server protocol: HTTP/1.0
Remote host: 66.184.208.232
Remote IP address: 66.184.208.232

FILED/ACCEPTED

IAN - 9 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE _____

SandraLyn Bailey

03-104

From: Joe Binns [joebinns@comcast.net]
Sent: Friday, December 22, 2006 1:13 PM
To: dtaylor@tateweb
Subject: Help Close America's Digital Divide 1/2 Support Free Broadband for All Americans

Joe Binns
1239 Gracewood Avenue
Atlanta, GA 30316-2665

FILED/ACCEPTED

IAN - 9 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

December 22, 2006

Deborah Taylor Tate
Commissioner, Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Deborah Taylor Tate:

The Internet has become an integral part of American life. We rely on it to learn, work, play and communicate on issues of importance to our communities. That is why all Americans - regardless of income, education or location - need access to Internet service that's fast, free and family-friendly.

Our nation's future broadband system must be engineered to bridge the digital divide that now separates too many Americans because of their economic circumstances and where they live. We must extend to all Americans the opportunity to take part in e-commerce, use government services, access news, information and educational opportunities and participate in civic discourse online.

Unfortunately, current broadband prices in the United States are too high and far too many households have limited or no connectivity. No American should be left behind because existing broadband options are not affordable or unavailable. Furthermore, the government should be working with business leaders to make sure that all students have Internet access that is fast, reliable and as safe as possible. Parents and teachers are currently saddled with the burden of trying to protect children from indecent content on the Internet. Network level filtration of indecent content is the best solution available to ensure that children cannot access explicit Websites, allowing parents and teachers to focus on the educational resources available online.

Implementation of a fast, free, and family-friendly universal broadband access program, like that supported by the Coalition for Free Broadband Now, is a necessary step towards protecting our children online while ensuring that all Americans have affordable Internet access. This solution would remove many of the technological challenges currently faced by underserved communities 1/2 both urban and rural. It will also help build an Internet-savvy population to drive the American economy to compete globally, provide the equality of access that is such an important step towards equality of opportunity. I urge you to take action now to ensure these steps are taken.

Sincerely,

Joe Binns
4043882097

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

Sandra Lyn Bailey

03-104

From: Jerry Oxendine [joxendi@bellsouth.net]
Sent: Friday, December 22, 2006 7:57 PM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Comments to the Chairman

FILED/ACCEPTED

IAN - 9 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Jerry Oxendine (joxendi@bellsouth.net) writes:

With the obvious interference created by BPL, and the obstinate determination of FCC and its staff, the agency's continued support of this CRAP makes for more than one question. Among them, is HOW much MONEY\$\$\$\$ is being fed to the Commissioners under the table to ram this sh-it down our throats? SOMEBODY is definitely being paid by COMTEK and its cohorts: it is TOO obvious!! AT the very least, FCC should MAKE the proponents USE the available, known methods that REMOVE interference from High Frequency radio and END the controversy over the interference caused by this BPL sh-t!~ But, then, that would end the flow of money into the Commissioners' pockets, now wouldn't it!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! :(

Server protocol: HTTP/1.1
Remote host: 192.104.54.5
Remote IP address: 192.104.54.5

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE