

Sandralyn Bailey

06-14

From: dsherman@iwaynet.net
Sent: Friday, January 12, 2007 9:13 AM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: AT& T merger

FILED/ACCEPTED

FEB - 9 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

You guys screwed up.

SBC/AT&T as of 1/12/07 won't allow me to kill my AT&T phone line and kept my AT&T/SBC DSL line.

Didn't AT&T approve this?

thanks

David Sherman

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

SandraLyn Bailey

060-74

From: Thomas Allibone [tallibon@voicenet.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 03, 2007 5:00 PM
To: Michael Copps; Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: AT&T Bell South Merger Should Be Unapproved Before Final Order Released

Dear Commissioners,

I have read Chairman Martin's statement concerning the approval of the merger and it is clear that he has no intention of following thru on critical areas of the agreement. Based upon his statement, I am asking that you consider "unapproving" the merger as Chairman Martin has clearly signaled to the world that he will not support the full implementation of the merger agreement.

As a past member of the FCC Consumer Advisory Committee and an advocate for customers, I continue to support your efforts and I appreciate your genuine concern for the public interest.

I hope that you will give serious consideration to this matter as Chairman Martin has publicly stated his "real" position.

Tom Allibone
President
LTC Consulting

Director of Audits, Teletruth

Past member FCC Consumer Advisory Committee

FILED/ACCEPTED

FEB - 9 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE _____

SandraLyn Bailey

06-174

From: Bill Huey [bhuey@bellsouth.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 03, 2007 11:05 AM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: BellSouth

Dear Commissioner Martin:

I wanted you to know that BellSouth is already welching on the agreement to provide Fast Access DSL service to customers for around \$20 per month, one of the conditions of the merger agreed to by both companies.

In separate telephone calls and Live Chat inquiries, I was told repeatedly that they have no information on that. Why not? Hasn't the deal been consummated? Hasn't the stock symbol changed? Haven't all those BellSouth executives walked away with millions of dollars for dealing the company away to AT&T? Either the deal is done and the conditions must be complied with or it isn't.

BellSouth is notorious for agreeing to regulatory conditions and orders and then dragging its feet on implementation. Apparently, they are up to their old tricks.

Regards,

Bill Huey
3956 Pineview Drive
Smyrna, GA 30080

FILED/ACCEPTED

FEB - 9 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

Sandralyn Bailey

010-174

From: Bill Huey [bhuey@bellsouth.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 03, 2007 11:05 AM
To: dtaylor@tateweb
Subject: BellSouth--Copy of message to Chairman Martin

Dear Commissioner Martin:

I wanted you to know that BellSouth is already welching on the agreement to provide Fast Access DSL service to customers for around \$20 per month, one of the conditions of the merger agreed to by both companies.

In separate telephone calls and Live Chat inquiries, I was told repeatedly that they have no information on that. Why not? Hasn't the deal been consummated? Hasn't the stock symbol changed? Haven't all those BellSouth executives walked away with millions of dollars for dealing the company away to AT&T? Either the deal is done and the conditions must be complied with or it isn't.

BellSouth is notorious for agreeing to regulatory conditions and orders and then dragging its feet on implementation. Apparently, they are up to their old tricks.

Regards,
Bill Huey
3956 Pineview Drive
Smyrna, GA 30080

FILED/ACCEPTED

FEB - 9 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

SandraLyn Bailey

06-74

From: Izabela Szabo [izabelaszabo@gmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, January 06, 2007 5:29 AM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Comments to the Chairman

FILED/ACCEPTED

FEB - 9 2007

Izabela Szabo (izabelaszabo@gmail.com) writes:

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Could you please explain why ??? you favored approving the AT&T deal with no!!! conditions. As much as I know our high speed is the most expensive in the world. I hope you and old administration will go together

Sincerely,
Izabela szabo

Server protocol: HTTP/1.1
Remote host: 192.104.54.5
Remote IP address: 192.104.54.5

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

FILED/ACCEPTED

FEB - 9 2007

06-74

SandraLyn Bailey**From:** Jack Prichett [jackprichett@ca.rr.com]Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary**Sent:** Tuesday, January 02, 2007 1:56 PM**To:** Michael Copps; Johnathan.Adelstein@fcc.gov; KJMWEB; dtaylorate@fcc.gov; Robert McDowell**Subject:** AT&T Acquisition of Bell South/Net Neutrality**FCC Commissioners:**

I have read about the recent FCC approval of the above-referenced AT&T acquisition. I am gratified that Mr. Copps and Mr. Adelstein insisted that the deal be conditional upon short-term "net neutrality."

As a person who operates a small public relations business, I use the internet daily for research and communication. The net is an indispensable tool for anyone in my line of work.

"Net neutrality" is essential to maintain open communications for all and to avoid political and economic bias in favor of large corporate users. I am hopeful that Congress will enact legislation to codify net neutrality as serving the best interests of all Americans and persons worldwide and urge you to propose such to Congress.

Thank you Mr. Copps and Mr. Adelstein. Millions of everyday Americans appreciate your efforts.

--Jack Prichett**Venice, CA****310 895-4747****Cc: sent separately to Rep. Jane Harman, 36th CD, CA**

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____

Sandralyn Bailey

06-74

From: Jim Rowe [jrowe@arctic.net]
Sent: Tuesday, January 02, 2007 7:04 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: ATT/BS

FILED/ACCEPTED

FEB - 9 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Jonathan,

I read with interest your comments in the merger decision. Thank you for your strong advocacy. However it is not policy that causes me to write this, but your generous and honest remarks regarding your staff. I have not had the honor of working with Mr. Ohlson, but Scott Bergmann has made a fantastic impression on me and the members of ATA for his legal insight and for his uncommon mannerly demeanor. He is a real gentleman!

Please excuse my less than professional salutation. In public that will never be the case, but I consider myself very fortunate to have both you and Scott for friends.

Best wishes and Happy New Year to you and your family.

Jim

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

SandraLyn Bailey

06-74

From: Mike Martinet [mmrtnt@earthlink.net]
Sent: Tuesday, January 02, 2007 10:58 AM
To: KJMWEB
Cc: dtayloratateweb; Jonathan Adelstein; KJMWEB; Michael Copps; Robert McDowell
Subject: Kevin Martin To AT&T: Okay, Now Feel Free To Ignore Those Concessions You Just Promised

<http://techdirt.com/articles/20070101/224728.shtml>

"...AT&T agreed to some basic definition of net neutrality, and if they dared to actually break it (either in spirit or letter of the concessions), there would be an immediate uproar to the FCC. Of course, that only matters if the FCC cares -- and it appears that they don't."

MjM

FILED/ACCEPTED

FEB - 9 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

Sandralyn Bailey

06-74

From: Gary Lampman [lamppost@bellsouth.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 03, 2007 5:30 AM
To: Michael Copps
Subject: Net Neutrality

Gary Lampman
593 Walton Ferry rd
Hendersonville, TN 37075-4747

FILED/ACCEPTED

FEB - 9 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

January 3, 2007

Commissioner Michael Joseph Copps
445 12th St., S.W.
Washington, DC 20554

Commissioner Copps:

Thanks for sELLING OUT OUR INTERNET. 30 MONTHS WHAT A DAMN JOKE! I HOPE YOU WERE REWARDED HANDSOMELY!

Sincerely,

Gary Lampman
6153496357

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

SandraLyn Bailey

06-14

From: Pat and Jim [pjbull@bellsouth.net]
Sent: Saturday, January 13, 2007 5:11 PM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Broadband

FILED/ACCEPTED

FEB - 9 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Subject: Broadband

Chairman Martin,

Thanks for your last response to my inquiry, it was very informative.

I have learned that the AT&T/BellSouth merger had a commitment of providing Broadband service to all of BellSouth's 9 state area by the end of 2007. Having been waiting 10 years for this service I do hope you will stay on them to live up to this condition they agreed to. I wish you luck as it took Judge Green to get AT&T's attention before.

Regards,

James Bullock
1381 River Chase Trail
Newport TN 37821

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

SandraLyn Bailey

06-14

From: Gary Lampman [lamppost@bellsouth.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 03, 2007 5:33 AM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Net Neutrality AT&T MERGER

Gary Lampman
593 Walton Ferry rd
Hendersonville, TN 37075-4747

FILED/ACCEPTED

FEB - 9 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

January 3, 2007

Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein
445 12th St., S.W.
Washington, DC 20554

Commissioner Adelstein:

Thanks for SELLING OUT OUR INTERNET. 30 MONTHS WHAT A DAMN JOKE! I HOPE YOU WERE REWARDED HANDSOMELY!

Sincerely,

Gary Lampman
6153496357

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

Sandralyn Bailey

06-74

From: Scott Ohlman [sohlman@metromachinerebuilders.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 11, 2007 11:03 AM
To: Michael Copps; dtaylorataweb; Jonathan Adelstein; KJMWEB
Subject: Concession to AT&T in exchange for unbundled DSL

Does the agreement stipulate that AT&T must provide access to DSL to all it's customers? If not, that will leave many of us out.

Already AT&T seems to have somehow overlooked making the modification to the station at County Highway G and I-94 that would serve most of the town I live in (Town of Raymond which the Postal service has divided between Caledonia WI 53108 and Franksville WI 53126).

Here at work in Raymond/ Franksville 53126 it costs my boss \$200 a month for high speed service.

AT&T has yet to provide adequate justification for not upgrading the station -- unless of course the expensive guys are lining the pockets of someone at AT&T.

Scott Ohlman
2311 Waukesha Rd
Town of Raymond
Caledonia WI 53108
H 262 835 9215
W 262 835 9150
okcorral66@tpast.net

FILED/ACCEPTED

FEB - 9 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE _____

Sandralyn Bailey

06-74

From: Glenn Peters [fierov6@starband.net]
Sent: Wednesday, December 20, 2006 8:24 PM
To: KJMWEB; Michael Copps; Jonathan Adelstein; dtaylorataweb; Robert McDowell
Subject: AT&T Acquisition of BellSouth

Dear Commissioners,

I am an employee of AT&T in the Midwest. I live in a rural area and as you can see by my e-mail address, I do not have high speed internet service from AT&T. This is the reason for my letter.

I fully support the merger of our 2 companies and feel that the merger will not stifle competition. However I feel that one of the requirements of this merger should be the combined company should be required to provide a minimum level broadband internet service to ALL of it's customers within a specified time period.

My experience with ADSL technology and equipment available in the industry would make my recommendation that AT&T be required to offer a minimum speed of 3MB download speed to any and all of it's customer base within a time frame of 6 months of the merger completion. The company should also be required to provide a procedure for customers wishing to purchase ADSL that have not received service from AT&T a formal appeal process that includes notification to the F.C.C. or state regulatory body.

Failure to add this restriction/condition to this merger will continue to leave myself and a vast number of other residential customers in rural areas without economical, reasonable broadband access to the internet. The current legislation being passed state by state to allow statewide franchises for broadband video is wonderful for the company, however it is doing exactly what the cable companies are complaining about. From my point of view the only areas being considered for broadband video and internet access are the densely populated or "high end" neighborhoods. Those of us that choose to live in rural areas or farming communities are still being left behind by the influx of technology.

Thank You for your attention

For obvious reasons I prefer my name not be used with any relation to my employer.

Glenn Peters

FILED/ACCEPTED

FEB - 9 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

SandraLyn Bailey

06-74

From: Eric Felberg [ericf@goconvergence.com]
Sent: Saturday, January 13, 2007 7:25 PM
To: FCC.Commissioners@mailgate1.fcc.gov
Subject: Continue to Support Internet Neutrality

FILED/ACCEPTED

FEB - 9 2007

To the Chairman & Commissioners of the FCC:

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

I commend the FCC for its recent successful effort to persuade AT&T to agree to a net-neutrality policy as a condition for its merger with BellSouth.

However, I understand that this agreement has a limited duration, and there are many other large telecommunications companies that should be making similar agreements.

As a U.S. citizen, media consumer and taxpayer, I expect the FCC to maintain vigorous support for Internet neutrality, to guarantee equal rights of access and bandwidth to ALL Internet users, regardless of their size or financial influence. I believe the Internet is now THE most important means of maintaining a healthy democracy in this nation, and I hold the FCC responsible for protecting the equitability and freedom of this invaluable public resource.

Thank you.

Eric Felberg
ericf@goconvergence.com

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

FILED/ACCEPTED

FEB - 9 2007

06/14

SandraLyn Bailey**From:** Gene Mckinlay [GeneM@mayatel.com]**Sent:** Friday, January 05, 2007 6:33 PM**To:** KJMWEB; Michael Copps; Jonathan Adelstein; dtayloratateweb; Robert McDowell**Subject:** RE: How Soon You ForgetFederal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

I really though you people had it together holding off on signing off on the BellSouth – ATT merger but I guess not you have as much as said the people that broke up ATT when they had 92% of the business were wrong and stupid people and lets let them get back in the drivers seat.

I got my first little example of their politics today when I asked to take off the line tied to my DSL and they informed me they would not have to do that for a year and by that time they will come up with a lame reason not to do it. Why should it take a year, I tell you there is no reason they can't assign a virtual number to your DSL if need be but leaving it there is just a way of getting more money from us all you people as well.

If you want to do something for the people get that fixed. It is the only visible thing that appears to be for the people and there is no reason in the world they could not do that Jan 1 2007 but for somebody allowing them to line their pockets a little bit more.

The other people I have copied on this I ask you to write your congressman about what you think of this transaction or just keep it and wait till the next election and by that time all will be able to see what is happening.

Gene McKinlay

707 East Green Lane

Woodstock, Georgia, 30189

Phone 404 409 2372

Fax 866 766 2158

email mckinlay96@bellsouth.net

From: Gene [mailto:mckinlay96@bellsouth.net]**Sent:** Saturday, October 14, 2006 12:28 PM**To:** 'KJMWEB@fcc.gov'; 'Michael.Copps@fcc.gov'; 'Jonathan.Adelstein@fcc.gov'; 'dtayloratateweb@fcc.gov'; 'Robert.McDowell@fcc.gov'**Subject:** How Soon You Forget

Chairman Kevin J. Martin: Commissioner Michael J. Copps: Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein: Commissioner Deborah Taylor Tate: Commissioner Robert McDowell:

How anybody can even consider letting AT&T and BellSouth merger is beyond me. Not so many years back AT&T had 92% of the telephone business (including all the bells) in the US and many put a lot of time in to breaking that monopoly up the stifled communications growth in the US and now you are considering letting them get back together because some sliver tongued manipulator convinced a few it was a good idea. Well if it happens you can expect a lot of small grass roots companies to be out of business.

Prophecies if it passes:

- AT&T will set up shadow Supply Company (non-regulated) they will buy from companies and they will buy things from suppliers at huge discounts saying they save huge amounts. When asked to justify these things they will show you a handful of items that show savings. They won't show you however that they paid 100 for a ladder in shadow company and shadow charged AT&T 300 dollars for that ladder and the shadow company just passed the paper the ladder was shipped to AT&T and they are now allowed to*

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

2/8/2007

make return on the 300 dollar item laughing all the way to the bank and charging you and I a premium for our telephone service.

- *They will with their power make the internet a chargeable item for phone service that is now free as they will control all access in many areas, and why not you let them.*
- *I could go on and on but no need you are smart people and if you are seen to agree to this it will be for the wrong reasons.*

I know this is true because I was part of it back when the split ups happened, I was part of fallacious rate case studies to make things look right that were not right and looking back thank good there were good people in the FCC at that time that did not let young aspiring executives like myself sway them and they broke up AT&T. The point is, are you people going to be the ones that lets history repeat itself and be remembered for the wrong reason along with doing it for the wrong reason. Somebody in your group don't want to do this and that somebody or somebody's is right.

Gene McKinlay

707 East Green Lane

Woodstock, Georgia, 30189

Phone 404 409 2372

Fax 866 766 2158

email mckinlay96@bellsouth.net

Sandralyn Bailey

06-174

From: Marilyn Peppin [marilyn.peppin@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, January 01, 2007 5:12 PM
To: dtayloratateweb
Subject: Internet Neutrality

FILED/ACCEPTED

FEB - 9 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Dear Commissioner Deborah Taylor Tate,

I beg you to allow the citizens of our country to have free access to the internet. Our newspapers and our media are controlled by huge business corporations; the only level playing field left is the internet. Please, please do all that you can to preserve access to an open Internet not just for 2 years (ATT's concession) but permanently. I believe it is vital to our democracy.

Thank you for considering this critically important issue and your responsibility not to big business but to every citizen in the United States.

Marilyn Peppin
900 E. Harrison Ave., Apt. A 24
Pomona, CA 91767

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

Sandralyn Bailey

06-74

From: Grozier, Richard [Richard.Grozier@qwest.com]
Sent: Friday, January 05, 2007 11:57 AM
To: Kevin Martin; Michael Copps; Jonathan Adelstein; Deborah Tate; Robert McDowell; Thomas Navin; Michelle Carey; Daniel Gonzalez; Scott Deutchman; Scott Bergmann; Ian Dillner; Sam Feder; Julie Veach; Donald Stockdale; John Hunter
Subject: On behalf on Ms. Melissa Newman, Qwest, attached is an ex parte Qwest filed today in WC Docket No. 06-74 re the merger commitments of the AT&T/BellSouth merger.
Attachments: 070105 WC 06-74 Qwest Ex Parte.pdf

This communication is the property of Qwest and may contain confidential or privileged information. Unauthorized use of this communication is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the communication and any attachments.

FILED/ACCEPTED

FEB - 9 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE