

Thank you for a chance to respond to ARRL's "Erratum," ([http://gulfoss2.fcc.gov/prod/ecfs/upload\\_v2.cgi](http://gulfoss2.fcc.gov/prod/ecfs/upload_v2.cgi)).

This revision, made as was the original filing, without the knowledge and over the objection of a majority of ARRL's members, is still flawed.

As an extra-class Amateur Radio Operator and a life member of the ARRL, I continue to urge you to leave RM-11306 as it is by rejecting the ARRL ex-parte presentation entirely.