

I am writing to express my outrage that the FCC's appointed 'leaders' have failed for so many wasted years in their mandated efforts to foster more 'minority' perspectives in mainstream media. The Don Imus controversy shows once again how badly we need more diverse, independent and local media owners. But the FCC's chiefs' policies are demonstrably promoting exactly the opposite outcome.

A recent report by the media reform organization Free Press found that while minorities make up 33 percent of the U.S. population, only 3.26 percent of all broadcast TV stations are owned by minorities. The report also finds that the percentage of minority-owned stations has dropped since 1998. And the ultimate minority is one mind who scientifically knows what others do not, or more than anyone else does. Where are the regulations requiring definitional bon fides of someone allowed make non-fictional statements of value, proof and attested fact? Where are the regulations forbidding those supplying no bona fides to desist from claiming to make or using the value language earned by making such statements?

Diversity is the cornerstone of a democratic media system; we cannot afford to lose it in any more ways than we already unarguably have lost it.. I suggest it would be unconscionable for the FCC's constitutional protectors if individual rights in media as participants dispensing or receiving non-fictional information especially to let large corporate media outlet owners buy up even more local media outlets before attempts are made to redress the agency's historic and egregious failure to foster minority voices in the media, let alone individual merit and regulation requirements.

The FCC's leaders are responsible under law for not allowing relaxation of nor elimination of the present limits on media ownership; especially they must not even consider this dangerous and extreme step without first hearing the widespread public concern about the problems of minority representation, lack of minority broadcast station owners, and the suppression of science and defined regulational rights throughout the US's broadcast media outlets, networks and other monopoly[controlled subdivisions..

Allowing further concentration of local media markets can only worsen the problems we already have. And we are dangerously close now to having no definitions in place, no science of media, and no meaningful regulation to protect individuals' rights at all. In over 50 years, no court in this country has ever punished a broadcaster for acting against FCC rules and US laws for illegal motives nor 'monopolistic or ruinously bad practices. The output of fiction on television is less than a third of what it once was; acting, writing and directing are of a lower quality than at any time in history. Slanted pro-imperial presidential powers headlines and extremist news along with panting fake news stories have warped our media from being a philosophically mistaken try at objectivity to a faking of objectivity favoring statist tyranny and altruistic concerns both drawn out of Medieval times.

The media of the US are unAmerican; and their poisoning has happened on the FCC commissioners' watch. Our democracy requires the free flow of "local" information emanating from diverse responsible voices. And such voices need regulational contexting, before any more damage is done. The FCC's leaders should stand firm with the public who overwhelmingly desire more diverse sources of non-fiction and fiction both; the FCC must act against further concentration of media ownership being placed in the hands of a few immoral, unethical, wholly unscientific and unregulated monopolists of "blocks of airtime", acting as if they were responsible individual minds adhering to regulations of civil conduct when there are no such rules in place and they obey nothing but the purpose of false advertising and pretense at objective and legal behavior.

For that way lies treason and totalitarian control of the airwaves by undeserving and shockingly overpaid bunglers, liars and unscientific would-be dictators over what was supposed to have been "full information" citizens need in order to vote and choose values on the air intelligently.