

**CC Communications  
50 West Williams Avenue  
Fallon, Nevada 89406**

**Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary  
Office of the Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 - 12<sup>th</sup> Street, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554**

**Re: WT Docket No. 01-309  
HAC Digital Wireless Telephones**

**Supplement And Amendment To Sixth Semi-Annual Report**

Dear Ms. Dortch

On November 13, 2006, the Filer, CC Communications, filed with the Commission its "Sixth Semi-Annual Report" (the "Report") regarding its compliance with the Commission's Rules governing Hearing Aid Compatible ("HAC") digital wireless handsets.

In response to an oral request for additional information from the Commission's staff, the Report is hereby supplemented and amended at the third paragraph on page 1 and at the response to Item 4 on page 2 to include the following information for the three digital wireless handset models, being marketed and activated as of the date of filing of the Report, that meet a HAC rating of M4/T4:

<b><u>Model</u></b>	<b><u>FCC ID Number</u></b>
Motorola Model RAZRV3m (a/k/a RAZR V3m)	IHDT56FT1
Motorola Model KRZRK1m (a/k/a KRZR K1m)	IHDT56GH1
Nokia Model 6256i	QMNRM-19

In the Report filed on November 13, 2006, the Motorola Model KRZR K1m was incorrectly designated as the Motorola Model KRAZR, and the Nokia Model 6256i was incorrectly designated as the Nokia Model 5256.

In light of the Commission's determination at Paragraph No. 48 of its recent *Memorandum Opinion and Order*, WT Docket No. 01-309, FCC 07-51, released April 11, 2007, the Filer wishes to emphasize that, in compliance with Section 20.19(f) of the Commission's Rules, either the manufacturer-supplied packaging or stickers attached to it by the Filer has at all times clearly displayed the U-rating of these handsets. This has been true from the date upon which the Filer began marketing and activating the handsets on its system.


It should be further emphasized that **the same** is true for all of the handsets identified as HAC-compliant in the Filer's "Fourth Semi-Annual Report," filed November 16, 2005, its "Fifth-Semi-Annual Report," filed May 15, 2006, and its "Sixth Semi-Annual Report," filed November 13, 2006, as meeting a U3 (or M3) rating under ANSI Standard C.63.19. **Either the manufacturer-supplied packaging or stickers attached to it by the Filer has at all times clearly displayed the U-rating of these handsets. This has been true from the date upon which the Filer began marketing and activating the handsets on its system.**

**It should be additionally emphasized that at no time has the Filer ever requested a waiver of the Rule Section 20.19(f) package labeling requirements. This is because the Filer has never required a waiver. The Filer has at all times been in compliance with the Commission's package labeling requirements.**

Very truly yours,

CC Communications

Dated: 5-7-07

By:   
Robert G. Adains  
General Manages

**In accordance with Rule Section 1.12, please refer any inquiries or correspondence to:**

Robert M. Jackson  
Blooston, Mordkofsky, Dickens, Duffy & Prendergast, LLP  
2120 L Street, N.W.  
Suite 300  
Washington, D.C. 20037  
Tel.: 202-828-5515  
FAX 202-828-5568  
E-mail: mj@bloostonlaw.com