CC Communications
50 West Williams Avenue
Fallon, Nevada 89406

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 – 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: WT Docket No. 01-309
HAC Digital Wireless Telephones

Supplement And Amendment To Sixth Semi-Annual Report

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On November 13, 2006, the Filer, CC Communications, filed with the Commission its "Sixth Semi-Annual Report" (the "Report") regarding its compliance with the Commission's Rules governing Hearing Aid Compatible ("HAC") digital wireless handsets.

In response to an oral request for additional information from the Commission's staff, the Report is hereby supplemented and amended at the third paragraph on page 1 and at the response to Item 4 on page 2 to include the following information for the three digital wireless handset models, being marketed and activated as of the date of filing of the Report, that meet a HAC rating of M4/T4:

Model	FCC ID Number
Motorola Model RAZRV3m (a/k/a RAZR V3m)	IHDT56FT1
Motorola Model KRZRK1m (a/k/a KRZR K1m)	IHDT56GH1
Nokia Model 6256i	QMNRM-19

In the Report filed on November 13, 2006, the Motorola Model KRZR K1m was incorrectly designated as the Motorola Model KRAZR and the Nokia Model 6256i was incorrectly designated as the Nokia Model 5256.

In light of the Commission's determination at Paragraph No. 48 of its recent *Memorandum Opinion and Order*, *WT Docket No.* 01-*309*, FCC 07-51, released April 11, 2007, the Filer wishes to emphasize that, in compliance with Section 20.19(f) of the Commission's Rules, either the manufacturer-supplied packaging or stickers attached to it by the Filer has at all times clearly displayed the U-rating of these handsets. This has been true from the date upon which the Filer began marketing and activating the handsets on its system.

It should be further emphasized that the same is true for all of the handsets identified as HAC-compliant in the Filer's "Fourth Semi-Annual Report," filed November 16,2005, its "Fifth-Semi-Annual Report',"filed May 15,2006, and its "Sixth Semi-Annual Report," filed November 13,2006, as meeting a U3 (or M3) rating under ANSI Standard C.63.19. Either the manufacturer-supplied packaging or stickers attached to it by the Filer has at all times clearly displayed the U-rating of these handsets. This has been true from the date upon which the Filer began marketing and activating the handsets on its system.

It should be additionally emphasized that at no time has the Filer ever requested a waiver of the Rule Section 20.19(f) package labeling requirements. This is because the Filer has never required a waiver. The Filer has at all times been in compliance with the Commission's package labeling requirements.

Very truly yours,

CC Communications

Dated: 5-7-07

By: _____
Robert G. Adams
General Manager

In accordahce with Rule Section 1.12, please refer any inquiries or correspondence to:

Robert M. Jackson
Blooston, Mordkofsky, Dickens, Duffy & Prendergast, LLP
2120 L Street, N.W.
Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20037
Tel.: 202-828-5515
FAX: 202-828-5568
E-mail: rmj@bloostonlaw.com