

Net Neutrality--an Issue of Constitutional Liberty

Net Neutrality is an essential to the government of this nation. Freedom of speech, equality opportunity and the capability of economic innovation must belong as rights to every American. Since the FCC removed this basic protection in 2005, the massively-overpaid and philosophically unqualified executives of government-licensed phone and cable companies have stated their intention to become the Internet's 'gatekeepers', and therefore to begin discriminating against Web site owners and participants who do not pay their potentially unlimited added tolls for unwarranted and illegal privileged status.

This fundamental and illegal change would self-evidently and unarguably end the open Internet as we know it. Its perpetration would immediately damage my ability and everyone else's to connect with others, to share information as is each citizen's categorically equal right and everyone else's and my liberty to participate in our 21st century democracy and economy.

The FCC was chartered, as were the commissions and departments of every other branch of government, for one purpose only I assert--to secure individual life, liberty and the pursuit of prioritized goals of happiness to each citizen within regulated marketplaces of life, goods and services, competition for jobs and idea level leadership positions of all ranks, and for governmental elected and appointed offices.

All these liberties, as affected by the FCC, touch upon communications and selfish rights. At the basis of each subdivision of rights are category level ideas; and the idea behind participation in U.S. marketplaces of political, commercial and teleological life is the categorical equality of all citizens, considered under the laws as having met readiness criteria to be adult political equals, equal citizens under our constitution, at liberty and responsible interactors with each other person.

The FCC's commissioners were given the powers they wield I claim solely to secure rights to individuals, not tsaristic privileges to power-seeking or thieving corporate tsars bent on violating the most fundamental definitions upon which our constitution was exclusively supposed to be based. These provisions in the constitution are what makes us Americans, as distinguished from victims of any totalitarian forms of government whose 'leaders' claim to be "benevolent tyrannies" but who practice collectivist postmodernism as officers over tyrannize citizen enforced obedient nevertheless.

The FCC's commissioners must ensure for these reasons that corporation executives as government regulated broadband providers do not monopolize, block, nor interfere with nor discriminate against any lawful Internet traffic conducted by individual responsible citizen adults; such interference would have to be based upon privileged de facto nobility tiers relating to ownership, sources, or destinations of said governmentally-regulated information formerly supposed to be exchanged by free

men.

Without such protections as I have outlined against potential criminal actions by corporate media executives, those using the Internet for legal citizen purposes would no longer be equal nor in any sense free. There is no public, no public sector, no public interest; these are fantasies, which when inserted into law replace the individuals formerly participating in a marketplace of equal lives in the real space-time universe; and each individual's right to seek his/her life-positive "interests" in liberty is destroyed categorically.

The obliteration of reality, individual rights and each citizen's liberty is hardly what our founding father intended; such a totalitarian purpose cannot for any justifiable legal cause be considered seriously as forming any part of the reason the FCC commissioners were given powers to govern communications in this nation. This was done I argue solely to prevent collectivizing crimes being committed against any individual's rights, not to foment nor enable criminals' activities.

I insist that you must reject these arguments by would-be monopolists, thieves and censors of information. To allow unethical corporate executives such illicit powers would warp our founding fathers' intentions, set aside constitutional protections affecting individuals' freedom of speech and establish a superior government-licensed class of de facto nobility--those being willing to pay extortion to be freed from prohibitions affecting all others, who would thus be unable to escape such dictates and stop themselves from being made into second-class plebeians within an empire of government permitted monopoly media outlets and media tsars.

End this sick farce once and for all now; simply "support one man, one voice"--a free Internet. Yes, you must draft scientific regulations regarding how information is labeled, the form of its presentation to preserve the rights of participants and limit the actions of those engaging in mere opinion mongering, decontexted value claims and unproven belief assertions as opposed to others exercising the regulated right to engage in higher forms of responsible intercommunication and assertion; but do not please do the opposite--take away the rights of equal adult citizens to freedom of expression, equal access and equal rights in regard to web communications and Internet usage.

Thank you for saving all of us from the destruction of freedom of speech on the web, and for curbing the illicit ambitions of corporate opponents of American rights and individual responsibilities.