



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

May 21, 2007

Robert L. Hoegle
Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough LLP
101 Constitution Ave. NW, Suite 900
Washington, DC 20001

William M. Wiltshire
Harris, Wiltshire & Grannis LLP
1200 Eighteenth St. NW
Washington, DC 20036

John C. Quale
Skadden Arps Slate Meagher & Flom LLP
1440 New York Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20005

RE: Liberty Media Corporation, News Corporation and the DirectTV Group,
Inc., MB Docket No. 07-18

Dear Sirs:

This letter will clarify one matter relating to documents the Applicants will produce pursuant to the terms of the Protective Order issued today.

The Protective Order is carefully designed to provide robust protection to proprietary and confidential information filed with the Commission, including responses to Information and Document Requests, while at the same time providing other parties to Commission proceedings with a meaningful opportunity to review and comment on the evidence submitted in the record. Section 6 of the Protective Order permits Submitting Parties to exercise their judgment in identifying and designating as 'copying prohibited' those documents that contain information so sensitive that they should not be copied. We emphasize that this designation should be the exception, rather than the rule. The overdesignation of responsive documents as 'copying prohibited,' which has emerged as a problem recently, frustrates the Commission's goals. Please note that the Commission reserves the right to take corrective measures to address such problems should they arise.

Feel free to contact me if you have any questions about this matter.

Sincerely,

Monica Shah Desai
Chief, Media Bureau

CC: Susan Eid, Senior Vice President, Government Affairs and Stacy R. Fuller, Vice President, Regulatory Affairs, DIRECTV, Inc.
Ellen S. Agress, Senior Vice President and Deputy General Counsel and Maureen A. O'Connell, Senior Vice President, Regulatory and Government Affairs, News Corporation