

In regards to net-neutrality, I think that the solution is rather obvious. Maintain it. We live in a country where free speech is paramount and the internet is the best medium ever created that facilitates this. Any infringement on this neutral medium automatically hinders our access to free speech. Just consider how a company can hinder this whether directly or indirectly by prioritizing traffic flow. What if CNN.com pays more than NYTimes.com? Is there any reason at all either one of them should have more exposure than www.pirate-party.us in a medium that already allows us the freedom of speech we require in this country?

If this is simply about nickel-and-diming the internet to death, then I can't give you an alternative. Just remember that by allowing this you are stepping on our fundamental right of free speech in order to gain a buck.