



**Law Offices of Bennet & Bennet, PLLC**

*Maryland*

4350 East West Highway, Suite 201  
Bethesda, Maryland 20814  
Tel: (202) 371-1500  
Fax: (202) 371-1558

*District of Columbia*

10 G Street NE, Suite 710  
Washington, DC, 20002

Caressa D. Bennet\*

Michael R. Bennet

Gregory W. Whiteaker

Marjorie G. Spivak\*

Donald L. Herman, Jr. +

Howard S. Shapiro †

Kenneth C. Johnson ‡

Rebecca L. Murphy

Technical Consulting Services

David A. Fritz

Judy Y. Deng

James C. Egyud

\*Admitted in DC & PA Only

+Admitted in DC & AL Only

†Admitted in DC, VA & FL Only

‡Admitted in DC & VA Only

June 20, 2007

**Via Electronic Delivery**

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW, TW-A325  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**Re: Notice of *Ex Parte* Presentation**

**Service Rules for the 698-746, 747-762 and 777-792 MHz Bands  
WT Docket No. 06-150**

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On June 19, 2007, Caressa Bennet of Bennet & Bennet, PLLC, representing the Rural Telecommunications Group, Inc. (“RTG”), and Jill Canfield, Senior Regulatory Counsel for the National Telecommunications Cooperative Association (“NTCA”) met with Angela E. Giancarlo and Ryan Mullady from Commissioner Robert M. McDowell’s office to discuss the above-referenced proceeding.

In discussions with Ms. Giancarlo, RTG and NTCA reiterated their positions on blind bidding and combinatorial bidding expressed in their previous filings. RTG and NTCA also set forth a potential compromise on the Commission’s proposed geographic build out requirements suggesting that if the Commission were to adopt a band plan that included CMA licenses in both the Upper and Lower Bands that it would not be necessary to impose stricter geographic build out requirements on any of the licensees in the first ten years of the license period. RTG and NTCA explained that if smaller companies and rural carriers had an opportunity to acquire spectrum in the auction because it is offered in smaller geographic blocks (*i.e.*, CMAs) then there would be opportunity for rural entities and small companies to acquire the spectrum in their markets of interest. If, however, the Commission were to forego licensing the 700 MHz spectrum in both the Upper and Lower Bands on a CMA basis, then the Commission should place stricter build out requirements on licensees who purchased spectrum offered on an REAG or EA basis (or any geographic license area larger than CMAs). RTG and NTCA argued that without strict geographic build out requirements, those acquiring larger geographic licenses areas can hold the spectrum in rural areas hostage and there is no incentive to sell or lease the spectrum to rural companies to serve rural America. RTG and NTCA suggested that the Commission utilize the geographic requirements proposed

June 20, 2007

Page 2 of 2

by the Commission in its NPRM for EAs and REAGs if CMAs are not utilized in both the Upper and Lower Bands. RTG and NTCA acknowledged that the 3-year benchmark for covering 25% of the geography in a license area may be overly burdensome for start up companies.

Regardless of the geographic licensing mechanism used, RTG and NTCA advocated across the board that all licensees lose any geographic area not covered ten years from the time the license is granted and that any unserved area at the end of ten years be available for anyone to apply to serve along the lines of the FCC's existing cellular unserved area rules.

Pursuant to Section 1.1206 of the Commission's Rules, this letter is being filed via ECFS with your office. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Caressa D. Bennet

Caressa D. Bennet

Counsel for the Rural Telecommunications  
Group, Inc.

cc: Angela E. Giancarlo