

# CASEY, GENTZ & MAGNESS, L.L.P.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

98 San Jacinto Blvd., Ste. 1400  
Austin, Texas 78701-4286  
Phone 512-480-9900  
Fax 512-480-9200

Robin A. Casey  
Susan C. Gentz  
Diane M. Barlow  
Valerie P. Kirk  
Bill Magness  
Bradford W. Bayliff

Writer's Direct Dial: 225-0027

June 27, 2007

## **Submitted Via ECFS Filing System**

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch  
Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
TW-B204  
445 12th Street SW  
Washington, DC 20054

RE: *Domestic Transfer of Control Application filed for the Transfer of Control of AMA Communications, LLC; WC-07-134; Applicants' Supplemental Filing in Response to Staff's Informal Inquiries*

Dear Ms. Dortch:

I am submitting this letter on behalf of AMA Communications, L.L.C. ("AMA") and Dialog Telecom LLC ("Dialog") (collectively "Applicants") in response to Commission Staff's informal inquiries regarding the above-referenced matter. The information in this letter corrects an inadvertent error in the Application, clarifies information provided as part of the Applicants' request for streamlined processing, and provides the state of incorporation of Dialog Telecommunications, Inc.

The second page of Attachment A to the Application included information that was provided in response to 47 C.F.R. § 63.04(b)(8), regarding presumption of non-dominance and qualification for streamlining. The text of the provided response included two inadvertent references to 63.02(b)(2)(i). Both of those references should have stated that the Application is eligible for streamlining pursuant to 63.03(b)(2)(ii).

To clarify the information provided in the Application, the Application is eligible for streamlining pursuant to Section 63.03(b)(2)(ii) because, as a result of the proposed transaction, the Applicants will have a market share in the interstate, interexchange market of substantially less than 10 percent and because AMA is a non-dominant carrier that provides competitive telephone exchange services and exchange access services exclusively outside the areas where an affiliate of Dialog is dominant.

Dialog is not a telecommunications provider, but a majority owner of Dialog owns greater than ten percent of rural incumbent local exchange companies in Colorado, Georgia, Illinois, Kansas, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, and Pennsylvania. AMA provides competitive telephone exchange

Ms. Marlene Dortch  
June 27, 2007  
Page Two

services and exchange access services only in Texas. Thus, the competitive telephone exchange services and exchange access services provided by AMA will be outside the areas where the majority owner of Dialog has an interest in dominant carriers.

Commission Staff also requested that the Applicants provide the state of incorporation for Dialog Telecommunications, Inc., a competitive local exchange carrier that is owned by the majority owner of Dialog. The state of incorporation of Dialog Telecommunications, Inc. is North Carolina.

Thank you for your assistance with this matter. If you have additional questions about the Application or the Applicants, please contact me.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Bradford W. Bayliff", written in a cursive style.

Bradford W. Bayliff

Counsel to  
AMA Communications LLC