

DOCKET 07-57

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

FILED/ACCEPTED
JUL 25 2007
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

rbelli1@comcast.net wrote on 7/5/2007 2:32:04 PM :

I feel the merger of the two satellite radio companies:

1. Is against their pledge, and contractual obligation to remain independent of each other.
2. Would eliminate competition, and also would make it difficult for future companies to get into the business.
3. Would be a reward for poor business and management decisions in the past.
4. Would ultimately cost the consumer more money as it has in the tv cable business, while only making the two companies more cost efficient in the short term by eliminating competition.

Randolph Belli
2464 NW 66th Dr
Boca Raton, FL 33496
561-999-5566

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List A B C D E

DOCKET 07-57

FILED/ACCEPTED
JUL 25 2007
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

jstrommer@hotmail.com wrote on 7/4/2007 8:03:07 PM :

John Strommer (jstrommer@hotmail.com) writes:

Chairman Martin, I just reviewed the docket from May 29 in regards to the Sirius/XM merger and would like to comment. I really didn't understand all of it, but from what I could understand, it seems that the Commission is seeking comments in support or against the merger? Well I'm just a guy whose office is in my truck and I own 2 Sirius radio's I love it. But I also love Baseball and in order to listen to that I have to buy XM, or as I currently do now... subscribe to MLB.com, which I can only listen to on my computer at home. So yeah I support the merger. Also wont people who own stock loose a lot of money? From what I hear if the merger doesn't happen one of the two companies' will go bankrupt. Please be my hero and help this happen .

Server protocol: HTTP/1.1
Remote host: 192.104.54.5
Remote IP address: 192.104.54.5

No. of Copies rec'd 2
List A B C D E

Docket Number MB 07-57

FILED/ACCEPTED

7/11/2007 9:09:57 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to tracy.fruitt@ingfp.com.

JUL 20 2007

tracy.fruitt@ingfp.com wrote on 7/10/2007 2:35:41 PM :

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Kevin,

I am writing in support of the merger. As a broker that represents over 100 shareholders, myself included, think merging the management of SIRI with the XMSR contract with GM will give benefits to both companies. I personally have a 16 year old daughter that has an IPOD, CD burner as well as a cell phone that all have the capability to listen to any music she likes. She is the coming age of electronics and if you know SIRI is now selling advertising on some channels. I also have CD and a cell phone capable of being an MP3 player. All these forms of music should not be clouded by a large lobbyist group. Thank you for your time and attention.

Tracy L Fruitt

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List A B C D E

FILED/ACCEPTED

JUL 25 2007

DOCKET MB 07-57

dmcnico@columbus.rr.com wrote on 6/28/2007 3:12:44 PM : Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

I totally support the merger of XM and Sirius Satellite radio. Contrary to the lies and misleading statements of the National Association of Broadcasters, the merger is in the public interest.

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List A B C D E

DOCKET MB 07-57

FILED/ACCEPTED

JUL 25 2007

GPACE3408@CHARTER.NET wrote on 6/27/2007 8:02:21 PM : Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

gary pace (GPACE3408@CHARTER.NET) writes:

The latest news states you are looking to the public for answers with regards to the XM-Sirius merger.

As a consumer, I am totally for the merger. This product, the consumer will demand to be universal. It seems to me that that there is too much competition that takes away the market share to wait for a universal receiver that accepts both XM and Sirius. Satellite radio is an extremely small portion of marketshare, and by the time that these companies design a unit that will accept both signals, one or both will be bankrupt.

I, for one, love College Football. I chose Sirius because they had the best selection, including my favorite, SEC football. Two years later, the SEC is moving to XM, and likewise Nascar fans are losing their XM signal to SIRIUS.

This choice is costing the consumer money, and ultimately everyone involved because if you switch, one is most likely throws the electronics in the garbage. The consumer should not have to base what brand of car he buys, as well, on channel programming. It is the only product that because of contracts, we can only listen to what Chevy offers, which is XM.

My family owns a Chevy dealership, which exclusively offers XM. Thus, I have to buy a Chevy, leave XM off in the factory model, and buy an aftermarket SIRIUS.

It is simply not right for the consumer, and the merger solves the majority of the issues. The two systems, I know are completely different, but the main issue here is % of marketshare, the potential growth of each company by themselves, and the growing competition with other things such as HD radio, Ipods, MP3, Etc.

I think if you study the facts you will see that the best avenue for success is for these two companies to merge. The consumers are the ones who pay for the service, and I cannot afford to switch back and forth every two years when a contract is up and my favorite team, conference, or sport, switches yet again, to the other radio service.

I appreciate your time.

FILED/ACCEPTED

JUL 25 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

DOCKET MB 07-57

worazi@yahoo.com wrote on 6/27/2007 10:03:00 PM :

Honorable FCC Chairman and Commissioners;

I am a strong believer in free enterprise which also includes freedom of choice for the free thinking consumers. I truly believe that the merger of XM and Sirius satellite radio will not impair, degrade or in any way harm the public welfare. If these two American tax paying companies mutually agree to become partners in business then they have a constitutional right to do so. Satellite radio has competition of conventional radio stations; there is no monopoly of the air waves issue here. In addition, if the consumers decide to not subscribe to Satellite radio they have the right to cancel their service and continue to listen to radio, Cd's, digital tunes, and watch all the TV they desire, oh and surf the net forever. Also, if this is such an important and desirable space to protect then why aren't other companies jumping in and reaping the profits. I am all for this merger.

Respectfully;

Bill Orazi
Stuart, Florida

DOCKET MB 07-57

abailey@KGIResorts.com wrote on 6/28/2007 9:24:27 AM :

FILED/ACCEPTED

JUL 25 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Mr. Chairman,

I am currently a subscriber to Sirius satellite radio. I would welcome the merger between these two companies because I personally like the a-la-carte option that will be offered once these two companies merge. I personally don't see how this merger will be unfair to consumers when the subscription is a voluntary decision by the consumer. Anyone who feels that after the merger the services have been downgraded can cancel their subscription. In addition, I am in awe that the NAB has put so much time and effort to lobby against this merger if satellite isn't competing with terrestrial radio. The marketplace is larger than the naysayers would like to believe. I am absolutely for the proposed merger of these two companies. I like the services it offers and believe it opens up the marketplace to more competition and benefits the consumers.

A. Bailey

Adrienne Bailey
Staff Accountant
ETourandTravel, Inc.
(407) 658-8285 ext. 38
abailey@kgiresorts.com

DOCKET MB 07-57

FILED/ACCEPTED

JUL 25 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

davebenedetto@tx.rr.com wrote on 6/28/2007 10:09:29 AM :

I was unable to find how the general public can make a comment, so please forward this.

Reference: Satellite Radio, Sirius and XM

I encourage the FCC to allow the buyout/merger between SIRIUS and XM.

Technology is increasing yearly, and there will be another party interested in provided satellite-based services, including radio.

If allowed, current subscribers would not be harmed. Future subscribers would benefit immediately from a more streamlined operation which will provide better service options.

David Benedetto
3201 Summit Court
Grapevine, TX
817.416.2571

FILED/ACCEPTED

JUL 25 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

MB 07-57

paula@datanetsolutions.org wrote on 6/30/2007 8:33:25 AM :

Paula Weigand (paula@datanetsolutions.org) writes:

Dear Commissioners,

My family and I urge the Federal Communication Commission to reject the merger between XM Satellite Radio and Sirius Satellite Radio unless decency restrictions are applied to the merged satellite company.

The FCC does not require Satellite radio to comply with the decency restrictions that are enforced on terrestrial radio. Approving one satellite radio company with no decency restrictions will cause greater competition disparities between a satellite radio monopoly and terrestrial radio stations.

The FCC should apply indecency restrictions to satellite radio as part of the merger terms between XM and Sirius if the application is to be approved. Otherwise, we urge you to reject the merger because it will create competitive disparities that are unfair to terrestrial radio.

Sincerely,

Paula Weigand

FILED/ACCEPTED

JUL 25 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

MB 07-57

kelly@oxiprep.com wrote on 6/29/2007 2:20:08 AM :

Sir,

Thank you for taking a moment to read my comment in support of the SIRIUS-XM Satellite Merger. By way of disclosure, I am a SIRUS shareholder, I live rurally in California, and I sit on the Board of Directors of my local Public Radio affiliate station.

The nature of media and choices offered to consumers has changed drastically in the past ten years. As a result, the assumptions that once made sense - such as the necessity to force the existence of more than one satellite radio provider in the interest of fostering competition - no longer, in my opinion and based on my knowledge, make sense.

The two providers have nearly duplicate music offerings and their pricing is similar. There's no real competition here, just wasteful duplication. The real competition for satellite radio comes from internet radio, personal music players, HD commercial and public radio and similar yet-unrealized technologies.

If these two providers cannot operate efficiently as a unified company, one is likely to fail, if not both. If this happens, rural listeners will have a now-rich source of radio entertainment taken from us and our choices limited once again.

I urge the commission to allow the merger of these two organizations, so that the new company can thrive and compete with terrestrial technologies present and future.

I thank you once again,

Kelly Erin O'Brien
38670 Tassajara Road
Carmel Valley CA 93924

DOCKET MB 07-57

FILED/ACCEPTED

JUL 25 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

James.Jones@providence.org wrote on 6/29/2007 4:20:56 PM :

I wanted to let you know that I believe the XM and Sirius merger should be allowed to move forward. The digital radios, internet radios, broadcasts on cell phones and future technology is sufficient for competition.

Sincerely,

James Jones

DISCLAIMER:

This message is intended for the sole use of the addressee, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee you are hereby notified that you may not use, copy, disclose, or distribute to anyone the message or any information contained in the message. If you have received this message in error, please immediately advise the sender by reply email and delete this message.