

JUL 25 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Docket # 07-52

c_l_phillips72@hotmail.com wrote on 6/26/2007 10:16:01 PM :

Dear Commissioner Martin,

Please make meaningful telecommunications reform a real priority in the House and Senate during the 110th Congress. Despite bi-partisan support, the past Congress ultimately adjourned without a floor vote on the Senate communications bill, following House passage of similar legislation. That bill was good for consumers like me - it would have provided one consistent national policy for wireless service and a 3-year freeze on new, discriminatory state and local wireless taxes.

The new Congress can continue this progress by passing the Cell Phone Tax Freedom Act of 2007 into law, placing a 3-year freeze on new wireless taxes. The average American wireless user now pays 14 percent in wireless taxes on our monthly bills - some states have even skyrocketed to over 20 percent in wireless taxes. Since wireless is obviously a service that doesn't recognize state borders, a mess of different state taxes and regulations inevitably reduces my choices of products and services, slows down the introduction of new services, and increases my costs. So consumers are getting the short end of the stick.

I use wireless services every day to connect to the office, to my family and friends, and to do whatever I need wherever I am. Things that were never possible are now easy with wireless technology at my fingertips. Please don't let complicated and costly state taxes and regulations on wireless take us backwards, adding cost and confusion to wireless services.

Stand up for over 230 million American wireless consumers by making it a congressional priority to support telecommunications reform legislation - legislation that would provide one fair, consistent national wireless policy. Please pass the Cell Phone Tax Freedom Act of 2007, and place a 3-year tax freeze on new, discriminatory state and local taxes on wireless services.

You can relieve wireless consumers of a maze of costly and confusing state taxes and regulations. Thank you for your leadership and hard work on behalf of consumers. My best wishes to you in the 110th Congress.

Sincerely,

Chris Phillips
10818 N Sycamore Ave
Kansas City, MO 64157

ab

FILED/ACCEPTED

JUL 25 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Docket # 07-52

7/9/2007 3:31:29 PM - Email Acknowledgement sent to calwongncgm@gmail.com.

calwongncgm@gmail.com wrote on 7/9/2007 3:30:49 PM :

Cable and Phone Corporations are responsible to their shareholders and not to The Constitution of the US or it's Citizens. The FCC is responsible to the Citizens of the US and must act for the general good. To the extent it is capable, it's first duty is to ensure an informed and educated citizenry. This is not accomplished by sacrificing resources for public discourse to the interests of market-driven corporate profits. Corporate monopolistic or oligarchical control of public airwaves and internet access guarantees limitation of public information and responsible action by an informed electorate. Corporations that act to limit public access to information are acting to inhibit democratic activity. These should be punished as criminal acts of the highest order and should be adjudicated by a non-political, non-appointed judicial court. The FCC should guarantee Net Neutrality and ally itself with public interest.

Calvin Wong
Chapel Hill, NC 2715

FILED/ACCEPTED

JUL 25 2007

**Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary**

Docket # 07-52

james.dee@chello.at wrote on 7/1/2007 4:24:07 PM :

Dear Commissioner Martin;

Docket 07-52, In the Matter of Broadband Industry Practices

Big telecom companies want to twist how the internet works. They want to set up priority service for a fee and second class service for the rest of us. s

Currently FCC rules require equality on the Internet. Delays, when they occur, affect all communication equally. When it is fast, everyone benefits.

Now the big telecom companies want to change the system so that they can charge for priority service.

This is unfair and unnecessary, and it would pander to corporate profit at public expense and will discourage Internet development in the public sector.

The FCC should keep the rules that have worked so well up till now.

Sincerely

Dr. James P. Dee
L. Wienzeile 158733
Vienna, Austria
4315972406

FILED/ACCEPTED

JUL 25 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Docket # 07-52

7/3/2007 7:59:40 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to carimbo@hotmail.com.

carimbo@hotmail.com wrote on 7/1/2007 10:35:25 AM :

Dear Commissioner Martin;

Docket 07-52, In the Matter of Broadband Industry Practices

Big telecom companies want to twist how the internet works. They want to set up priority service for a fee and second class service for the rest of us. s

Currently FCC rules require equality on the Internet. Delays, when they occur, affect all communication equally. When it is fast, everyone benefits.

Now the big telecom companies want to change the system so that they can charge for priority service.

This is unfair and unnecessary, and it would pander to corporate profit at public expense and will discourage Internet development in the public sector.

The FCC should keep the rules that have worked so well up till now.

Sincerely,

Armando Gonzalez Caban

Docket # 07-52

7/3/2007 8:00:08 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to aardc@tdl.com.

aardc@tdl.com wrote on 7/2/2007 6:01:01 AM :

Dear Commissioner Martin;

Docket 07-52, In the Matter of Broadband Industry Practices

Big telecom companies want to twist how the internet works. They want to set up priority service for a fee and second class service for the rest of us. s

Currently FCC rules require equality on the Internet. Delays, when they occur, affect all communication equally. When it is fast, everyone benefits.

Now the big telecom companies want to change the system so that they can charge for priority service.

This is unfair and unnecessary, and it would pander to corporate profit at public expense and will discourage Internet development in the public sector.

The FCC should keep the rules that have worked so well up till now.

Sincerely,

Ali Ar Rasheed

FILED/ACCEPTED
JUL 2 5 2007
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

FILED/ACCEPTED

JUL 25 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Docket # 07-52

leslie-roberts-9@sbcglobal.net wrote on 7/9/2007 1:26:51 PM :

Honorable members of the FCC,

Thank you for doing your duty serving the entire public citizenry of the United States of America.

For the common good of the entire nation, I strongly urge you to keep Internet Neutrality.

This is the United States of America, not the United Corporations of America. This is supposed to be a democracy of the people, all the people, with equality, not a fascist government of big corporations for the benefit of the wealthy small fragment of society.

Ms. Leslie Roberts
735 S. Scoville Ave.
Oak Park, IL. 60304-1448

ld

Docket #07-16

7/11/2007 9:09:46 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to xena370@aol.com.

xena370@aol.com wrote on 7/10/2007 1:48:48 PM :

Maria Rodriguez
325 East 143 Street Apt#3G
Bronx, NY 10451-6227

July 10, 2007

Deborah Taylor Tate
Commissioner, Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Deborah Taylor Tate:

The Internet has become a vital part of American life. We rely on web-based communication to learn, work, play and communicate on issues of importance to our communities. That is why all American families, schools, independent businesses and charitable organizations need access to Internet service that is fast, free and family-friendly.

America's future broadband infrastructure must allow families access to the benefits of the internet without requiring them to seek out indecency filters. Network providers should take a more active role in blocking indecent material online and help parents protect their children from the dangers of the Internet. Parents should not be expected to become computer experts in order to protect their children from online pornography and children should not be denied the learning opportunities available online because their parents fear they will be exposed to inappropriate content.

Implementation of a proposal now pending with the FCC, such as the one submitted by M2Z Networks (www.m2znetworks.com) to provide a universal, free, fast, and family-friendly broadband service, is supported by the Coalition for Free Broadband Now and is a necessary step to protecting our children online while ensuring that all Americans have affordable Internet access.

This proposal could come at no better time, and I urge you to take action now to ensure it becomes a reality.

Sincerely,

Maria Rodriguez
347-726-3758

FILED/ACCEPTED
JUL 25 2007
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary