

FILED/ACCEPTED
AUG - 7 2007

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Docket MB 07-57

7/24/2007 10:47:37 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to dvogrin@aol.com.

dvogrin@aol.com wrote on 7/24/2007 8:08:53 AM :

Mr. Martin,

I would like to have my voice heard with regard to the merger. I consider this merger to be in the best interest of consumers. Please add my name to the list of those in favor.

Donald F. Vogrin
34284 Pembroke Drive
Livonia, Michigan 48152

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List A B C D E

~~This is docket MB 07-57.~~

7/24/2007 3:09:47 PM - Email Acknowledgement sent to moldrup@sbcglobal.net.

moldrup@sbcglobal.net wrote on 7/24/2007 11:51:22 AM :

Dear Commissioner Tate,

The latest proposal by Sirius regarding the merger with XM offers a two-tiered pricing plan that undermines their merger strategy. One price plan is \$2 more per month for services already received and the other plan is \$6 less but requires that a new radio be purchased and installed. I just purchased a \$250 car radio to receive satellite stations, and now I am told I will have to buy another radio and dispose of my almost new one. This does not sound like good business or good government regulation if they are allowed to proceed with this troublesome plan.

Sincerely,
William Moldrup
3369 Wawona Court
Merced, CA 95340-0959
(209) 723-9417

FILED/ACCEPTED

AUG - 7 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

~~This is docket MB-07-57.~~

FILED/ACCEPTED

AUG - 7 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

7/24/2007 3:09:25 PM - Email Acknowledgement sent to wyattbixby@yahoo.com.

wyattbixby@yahoo.com wrote on 7/24/2007 2:00:51 PM :

Hello,

I just wanted to write a quick email in support of the Sirius XM Merger. It would not represent a monopoly because of the wide base of competition in other radio mediums. This theory of a monopoly is being propagated by the traditional radio broadcasters who fear radio without commercials. The competitive nature of this market is ironically proven by the broadcasters opposition. If the merger is not allowed then what will happen when the first company goes under and there is only 1 left. It is essentially the same result as the merger except it is done in a way that hurts the most people and gives you the least control over the remaining company. I am writing this email because I am incandescent with disdain for traditional radio and a ardent fan of the satellite radio that I own and also I have been home completely bored today.

Thank you,

Wyatt Bixby

FILED/ACCEPTED

AUG - 7 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

DOCKET MB 07-57

7/25/2007 7:21:46 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to shirleywvr@yahoo.com.

shirleywvr@yahoo.com wrote on 7/25/2007 7:21:06 AM :

Dear FCC Commissioners:

My family and I urge the Federal Communication Commission to reject the merger between XM Satellite Radio and Sirius Satellite Radio unless decency restrictions are applied to the merged satellite company.

The FCC does not require Satellite radio to comply with the decency restrictions that are enforced on terrestrial radio. Approving one satellite radio company with no decency restrictions will cause greater competition disparities between a satellite radio monopoly and terrestrial radio stations.

The FCC should apply indecency restrictions to satellite radio as part of the merger terms between XM and Sirius if the application is to be approved. Otherwise, we urge you to reject the merger because it will create competitive disparities that are unfair to terrestrial radio.

Sincerely,

Shirley Weaver
602 Barton Ave
Panama City, FL 32404

DOCKET MB 07-57

7/23/2007 6:48:07 PM - Email Acknowledgement sent to
brooking@www.fcc.gov.akadns.net.

FILED/ACCEPTED
AUG - 7 2007
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Brooking@www.fcc.gov.akadns.net wrote on 7/23/2007 6:23:01 PM :

HOward (Brooking) writes:

I am writing to communicate my opposition to the proposed merger of XM and Sirius satellite radio. As a subscriber, I cannot imagine how this proposal could possibly be in my best interest. Please demonstrate that this administration is capable of providing the same consideration towards consumers that it provides to corporations.

This is docket MB 07-57

7/24/2007 3:09:59 PM - Email Acknowledgement sent to scisportfla@aol.com.

Scisportfla@aol.com wrote on 7/24/2007 10:17:14 AM :

As a member of the broadcast media for over 20 years, I cannot stress enough the importance of the FCC limiting or refusing the proposed merger between these 2 entities.

Along with creating a monopoly that will severely impact the radio industry, the current proposal reeks of a classic "bait and switch" tactic. While Sirius is noting an "a la carte" format, which on the surface seems to be a boon for consumers, hidden within that idea is the restriction that in order for consumers to take advantage of this feature, they must purchase new radios. This leaves people who have invested time & money in these services the only option of having to spend more money for receivers, which in many cases will more than bridge the gap between what the consumer would save in the "a la carte" format.

This merger will also create a monopoly that will affect potential jobs for radio professionals. As satellite services grow and terrestrial radio losing advertisers and listeners to them, those professionals seeking work with the satellite companies now have one fewer choice in an already tight marketplace. Add this to the monopolies the FCC has allowed with regard to massive corporate ownership of terrestrial radio, and the government is in effect helping to limit employment possibilities for these hard working people.

I urge the FCC to reject the current satellite proposal and to re-think it's position on radio ownership having control of multiple stations and formats in regional markets. You are assisting in the drastic lowering of wages in the radio industry and curtailing any original programming ideas.

Please consider this before making any decisions. I am positive you will get the same response from many more broadcasters if you would please listen and seek their ideas.

Respectfully, Ed Berliner.

FILED/ACCEPTED

AUG - 7 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Docket **MB 07-57**

7/24/2007 10:47:27 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to scisportfla@aol.com.

Scisportfla@aol.com wrote on 7/24/2007 10:17:14 AM :

As a member of the broadcast media for over 20 years, I cannot stress enough the importance of the FCC limiting or refusing the proposed merger between these 2 entities.

Along with creating a monopoly that will severely impact the radio industry, the current proposal reeks of a classic "bait and switch" tactic. While Sirius is noting an "a la carte" format, which on the surface seems to be a boon for consumers, hidden within that idea is the restriction that in order for consumers to take advantage of this feature, they must purchase new radios. This leaves people who have invested time & money in these services the only option of having to spend more money for receivers, which in many cases will more than bridge the gap between what the consumer would save in the "a la carte" format.

This merger will also create a monopoly that will affect potential jobs for radio professionals. As satellite services grow and terrestrial radio losing advertisers and listeners to them, those professionals seeking work with the satellite companies now have one fewer choice in an already tight marketplace. Add this to the monopolies the FCC has allowed with regard to massive corporate ownership of terrestrial radio, and the government is in effect helping to limit employment possibilities for these hard working people.

I urge the FCC to reject the current satellite proposal and to re-think it's position on radio ownership having control of multiple stations and formats in regional markets. You are assisting in the drastic lowering of wages in the radio industry and curtailing any original programming ideas.

Please consider this before making any decisions. I am positive you will get the same response from many more broadcasters if you would please listen and seek their ideas.

Respectfully, Ed Berliner.

FILED/ACCEPTED

AUG - 7 2007

*Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary*