

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

**Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of)
)
CITY OF BOSTON) PS Docket No. 07-69
)
and)
)
SPRINT NEXTEL CORPORATION) Mediation No. TAM-11155
)
Relating to Rebanding Issues in the)
800 MHz Band)

To: Office of the Secretary
Attention: Chief Administrative Law Judge

FILED/ACCEPTED

AUG 22 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

**NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S
FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO CITY OF BOSTON**

Nextel Communications, Inc. ("Nextel"), by its attorneys and pursuant to Sections 1.311 and 1.323 of the Commission's rules hereby submits its written interrogatories to the City of Boston, Massachusetts ("Boston").

Definitions

The following words and terms, as used in these interrogatories, have the meanings set forth below:

1. The term "Boston" refers to the City of Boston, Massachusetts, and any divisions, departments or affiliated entities thereof, including its agents, employees, and representatives; and any other persons acting on its behalf.
2. The term "Nextel" refers to Nextel Communications, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Sprint Nextel Corporation.

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

ct 6

3. The term "MCM" refers to MCM Technology, and any divisions, parent companies, subsidiaries or affiliated entities thereof, as well as each and every other legal entity within its control or under common control; any predecessor or successor, as well as any assignors; its partners, officers, directors, agents, employees, and representatives; and any other persons acting on its behalf.

4. The term "document" means, without limitation all written or printed material of any kind, including the original and all non-identical copies, whether different from the originals by reason of any notation made on such copies or otherwise, including, by not limited to, correspondence, memoranda, notes, diaries, statistics, letters, telegrams, minutes, agendas, expense accounts, bills of lading, contracts, reports, studies, statements, receipts, returns, summaries, pamphlets, books, inter-office and intra-office communications, notations of any sort or of conversations (including telephone conversations or meetings), bulletins, invoices, work sheets, computer files, or any other documentary materials of any nature whatsoever, and all drafts, alterations, modifications, changes and amendments of any of the foregoing, in the possession, custody, or control of Boston.

5. The term "identify," when used with reference to documents, means to state the date, author, addressees, type of document (e.g., letter, pleading, etc.), its present or last known location and its custodian. If any such document was, but is no longer, in Boston's possession or control, state the disposition made of it, the reason for such disposition, and the date thereof. In lieu of completely identifying any such document, it may be made available to Nextel. A brief identification of any such document should still be made in answering these interrogatories.

6. The term "identify," when used in reference to a person or persons, provide the person's full name, last known business and residence addresses and telephone numbers, last known employer and place of employment, and if a current or former employee, director, officer or independent contractor of Boston, the person's position(s) with Boston and the dates employed in such capacity.

7. "Persons" includes natural persons, corporations, partnerships, associations, and other legal entities, and governments or governmental bodies, commissions, boards, agencies or entities.

8. Please deliver your responses to each data request set forth here in writing by electronic mail to:

Laura H. Phillips
Howard M. Liberman
Patrick R. McFadden
Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP
1500 K Street, N.W.
Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20005
Laura.Phillips@dbr.com
Howard.Liberman@dbr.com
Patrick.McFadden@dbr.com

Interrogatories

1. Have any of Boston's representatives, including its attorneys, ever represented MCM in any capacity or provided professional services to MCM at any point?

A. If the answer to Nextel Interrogatory 1 is in the affirmative, for each instance of representation or the provision of professional services, please provide the date(s) of such representation or provision of professional services, including the date

on which such representation or provision of professional services began and the date on which such representation or provision of professional services ended. If any such representation or provision of professional services is ongoing, so state.

B. If, as to any Boston representative, the answer to Nextel Interrogatory 1 is in the affirmative, please describe such representation or provision of professional service. Such description should include a description of the nature of each representation or provisions of professional service, identification of opposing parties, if any, and identification of the commission, court, board, or other body before which such representation or provision of professional services occurred, if any.

2. Do any of Boston's representatives, including its attorneys, hold any financial or ownership interest in, or have any financial relationship with, MCM?

A. If the answer to Nextel Interrogatory 2 is affirmative, for each such financial interest or financial relationship, please provide the date(s) of such interest or relationship including the date(s) on which such interest or relationship began and the date(s) on which such interest or relationship ended. If any such interest or relationship is ongoing, so state.

B. If, as to any Boston representative, the answer to Nextel Interrogatory 2 is affirmative, please describe each such financial or ownership interest or financial relationship. Such description should include a description of the nature of the interest or relationship as well as the approximate value of the interest or relationship.

3. Identify any methods and/or tools relating to asset tracking and management presently used by Boston for any purpose, and any such methods and/or

tools used for the specific purpose of asset tracking and management in the radio systems
at issue in this hearing.

A. For each method or tool identified in response to Nextel Interrogatory 3, describe how Boston uses the tool or method to track or manage assets.

B. For each method or tool identified in response to Nextel Interrogatory 3, provide the date on which Boston began using the method or tool and the cost of the method or tool.

C. For each method or tool identified in response to Nextel Interrogatory 3, provide complete contact information for a person with knowledge of the operation of that method or tool.

4. Identify any methods and/or tools for personnel management and/or project management, including timekeeping, assignments, staffing or scheduling, presently used by Boston.

A. For each method or tool identified in response to Nextel Interrogatory 4, describe how Boston uses the tool or method to manage personnel.

B. For each method or tool identified in response to Nextel Interrogatory 4, provide the date on which Boston began using the method or tool and the cost of the method or tool.

C. For each method or tool identified in response to Nextel Interrogatory 4, provide complete contact information for a person with knowledge of the operation of that method or tool.

5. Is Boston required to comply with Government Accounting Standards Board 34 (GASB 34)? Is Boston in fact in compliance with GASB 34 requirements?

6. Identify any accounting methods and/or tools presently used by Boston and identify with particularity any methods employed by Boston for compliance with GASB 34 requirements.

A. For each method or tool identified in response to Nextel Interrogatory 6, describe how Boston uses the tool or method to manage personnel.

B. For each method or tool identified in response to Nextel Interrogatory 6, provide the date on which Boston began using the method or tool.

C. For each method or tool identified in response to Nextel Interrogatory 6, provide complete contact information for a person with knowledge of the operation of that method or tool.

7. Identify all MCM personnel involved in the development of the Boston quotes and/or any presentations, demonstrations or training provided to Boston.

8. Identify each and every occasion when Boston personnel have used MCM software and/or been trained in the use of MCM software and/or attended demonstrations or presentations of MCM software. Identify the occasion and method by which Boston initially became aware of MCM's 800 MHz rebanding software.

9. Identify all documents, including manuals, presentations, correspondence, and quotes, provided to Boston or Boston's representatives by MCM.

10. Identify all Boston personnel involved in the evaluation of MCM software, any alternative vendors or suppliers, and/or the ultimate decision to procure MCM software.

A. Identify all documents, including correspondence, between any and all such personnel and any other person discussing, comparing or evaluating MCM software and/or any alternative considered by Boston.

11. Identify each and every alternative to MCM software considered by Boston for this or any other asset tracking or project management purpose.

A. With respect to every alternative identified in response to Nextel Interrogatory 10, identify all documents, including but not limited to correspondence, manuals, presentations, and quotes provided by any alternative vendor to Boston or Boston's representatives.


B. With respect to every alternative identified in response to Nextel Interrogatory 10, identify all documents, including all drafts, discussing or evaluating such alternative.

12. Identify all documents, including all drafts, discussing, comparing or evaluating MCM software and/or any alternatives considered by Boston for this or any other asset tracking or project management purpose. This request includes all correspondence between Boston and MCM.

13. Identify all asset tracking, asset management, and project management functions Boston believes are necessary for the reconfiguration of Boston's systems. With respect to every function identified by Boston in response to Nextel Interrogatory 12, describe with specificity the reasons such function is necessary for the reconfiguration of Boston's systems.

14. Identify all functions, related to the reconfiguration of Boston's system, for which asset tracking is not necessary.

Respectfully Submitted,
NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS, INC.



Laura H. Phillips
Howard M. Liberman
Patrick R. McFadden
Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP
1500 K Street, N.W., Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20005-1209
Laura.Phillips@dbr.com
Howard.Liberman@dbr.com
Patrick.McFadden@dbr.com
202-842-8800
202-842-8465/66 (fax)

Its Attorneys

August 22, 2007

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Patrick R. McFadden, hereby certify that on this 22nd day of August, 2007, a true copy of the foregoing "Nextel Communications, Inc's First Set of Interrogatories" was served via first class, postage paid United States Mail upon the following:

City of Boston
c/o Robert H. Schwaninger, Jr.
Schwaninger & Associates, P.C.
1331 H Street, N.W., Suite 500
Washington, DC 20005

Gary Schonman, Special Counsel
Enforcement Bureau, I&H Division
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW., Room 4C237
Washington, D.C. 20554

And via facsimile to:

Chief Administrative Law Judge Richard L. Sippel
Office of Administrative Law Judges
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW.
Washington, D.C. 20554
(202) 418-0195