

Docket 04-207

8/27/2007 10:48:47 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to theanzalones@earthlink.net.

theanzalones@earthlink.net wrote on 8/25/2007 11:06:14 AM :

Kathleen Anzalone
1032 Valley Forge Dr
Lake Wylie, SC 29710-6060

FILED/ACCEPTED
SEP 07 2007
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

August 25, 2007

Deborah Tate
FCC Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Deborah Tate:

I am disgusted to learn that I am being forced to help pay for scenes describing bestiality and other depraved behavior on the FX network's Nip/Tuck with my cable subscription.

In the episode that aired on September 26, a plastic surgeon treats a female patient who says her nipple was torn off when she tried to break up a dogfight. She is desperate to have the injury repaired and undetectable before her husband returns from Iraq. The husband returns after the surgery and confronts her in the doctor's office, revealing that she used peanut butter to seduce her dog and implying that her nipple was actually torn off when she was having sex with the dog.

It is outrageous that this kind of material is airing on television - period. Nip/Tuck is not my choice, and I don't want it coming into my home. But it is inexcusable for the cable industry to force me to pay for this content with my monthly cable subscription.

The solution is so simple - but so far Congress has done nothing but appease the deep-pocketed cable industry. What about consumers' rights?

Give us cable choice.

Offering parents the ability to choose the channels they want, and to pay only for those channels, puts power back in the hands of the consumer - of parents - and forces the producers of indecent or violent programming to fund their own raunch.

It is the only fair solution. Why should I be forced to pay for programming that insults my intelligence and assaults my values just to gain access to a handful of channels I can watch with my family.

The cable industry has been carried on the backs of American consumers long enough. It is time for this extortion to end.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Anzalone

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List A B C D E

Docket 04-207

8/30/2007 3:51:17 PM - Email Acknowledgement sent to hewinsj@gmail.com.

hewinsj@gmail.com wrote on 8/29/2007 10:22:45 PM :

John Hewins (hewinsj@gmail.com) writes:

I would like to thank you for your recent statement about the benefit of a la carte cable programming. There are less than a dozen cable channels that I actually want to watch, but refuse to subscribe and pay a premium for dozens of channels that I won't use. I hope that this moves forward and one day there will be an affordable alternative to the 100+ channel plan which gives me only the channels that I want.

FILED/ACCEPTED
SEP 07 2007
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Docket# 04-207

FILED/ACCEPTED
SEP 07 2007
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

8/30/2007 3:50:59 PM - Email Acknowledgement sent to ycubbison@adelphia.net.

ycubbison@adelphia.net wrote on 8/30/2007 10:23:12 AM :

Mr. Chairman,

Thank you for your "vote" for al la carte programming. I am a 60 year old disabled woman who relies on the television for numerous reasons...

the news, both local and national, weather, other programs of interest. However I do not watch sports and MTV. I still have to pay for these though.

As of my last bill I pay Comcast Cable Company \$81.71 per month... that's NOT digital either. My limited income is \$948.00. Do I get the prescriptions

I need , pay my electric bill or get cable????? I feel if al la carte was an option, I would be able to select the stations I watch and not have to worry

about the 20+ others I don't watch. Going to satellite is not an option It seems their rates are going up as fast as cable.

Please Mr. Chairman, there has to be some other option than paying for services we do not want. There are millions of people who depend on

the television for all their social activities.... They're unable to leave the confines of the room. I beg you, please , make a provision for the ones

who depend on television.... We need your assistance. I truly believe if cable would offer al la carte programming, it would be less expensive

for those who have limited incomes.

Thank you for your time

Sincerely,

Yvonne Cubbison

ycubbison @comcast.net

Docket 04-207

8/30/2007 3:51:17 PM - Email Acknowledgement sent to hewinsj@gmail.com.

hewinsj@gmail.com wrote on 8/29/2007 10:22:45 PM :

John Hewins (hewinsj@gmail.com) writes:

I would like to thank you for your recent statement about the benefit of a la carte cable programming. There are less than a dozen cable channels that I actually want to watch, but refuse to subscribe and pay a premium for dozens of channels that I won't use. I hope that this moves forward and one day there will be an affordable alternative to the 100+ channel plan which gives me only the channels that I want.

FILED/ACCEPTED
SEP 07 2007
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

DOCKET 04-207.

9/4/2007 10:36:27 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to cananc@cox.net.

cananc@cox.net wrote on 8/31/2007 6:12:04 PM :

nancy manger
28043 braidwood drive
rancho palos verdes, CA 90275-3120

August 31, 2007

Kevin Martin
FCC Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Kevin Martin:

I am disgusted to learn that I am being forced to help pay for scenes describing bestiality and other depraved behavior on the FX network's Nip/Tuck with my cable subscription.

In the episode that aired on September 26, a plastic surgeon treats a female patient who says her nipple was torn off when she tried to break up a dogfight. She is desperate to have the injury repaired and undetectable before her husband returns from Iraq. The husband returns after the surgery and confronts her in the doctor's office, revealing that she used peanut butter to seduce her dog and implying that her nipple was actually torn off when she was having sex with the dog.

It is outrageous that this kind of material is airing on television - period. Nip/Tuck is not my choice, and I don't want it coming into my home. But it is inexcusable for the cable industry to force me to pay for this content with my monthly cable subscription.

The solution is so simple - but so far Congress has done nothing but appease the deep-pocketed cable industry. What about consumers' rights?

Give us cable choice.

Offering parents the ability to choose the channels they want, and to pay only for those channels, puts power back in the hands of the consumer - of parents - and forces the producers of indecent or violent programming to fund their own raunch.

It is the only fair solution. Why should I be forced to pay for programming that insults my intelligence and assaults my values just to gain access to a handful of channels I can watch with my family.

The cable industry has been carried on the backs of American consumers long enough. It is time for this extortion to end.

Sincerely,

nancy manger

FILED/ACCEPTED
SEP 07 2007
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

FILED/ACCEPTED
SEP 07 2007
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

04-207

8/17/2007 8:40:44 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to tomm93@sbcglobal.net.

tomm93@sbcglobal.net wrote on 8/16/2007 3:30:31 PM :

Thomas Mott
20416 Village Green Dr
Strongsville, OH 44149-1346

August 16, 2007

Kevin Martin
FCC Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Kevin Martin:

I am disgusted to learn that I am being forced to help pay for scenes describing bestiality and other depraved behavior on the FX network's Nip/Tuck with my cable subscription. In the episode that aired on September 26, a plastic surgeon treats a female patient who says her nipple was torn off when she tried to break up a dogfight. She is desperate to have the injury repaired and undetectable before her husband returns from Iraq. The husband returns after the surgery and confronts her in the doctor's office, revealing that she used peanut butter to seduce her dog and implying that her nipple was actually torn off when she was having sex with the dog. It is outrageous that this kind of material is airing on television - period. Nip/Tuck is not my choice, and I don't want it coming into my home. But it is inexcusable for the cable industry to force me to pay for this content with my monthly cable subscription.

The solution is so simple - but so far Congress has done nothing but appease the deep-pocketed cable industry. What about consumers' rights?

Give us cable choice. Offering parents the ability to choose the channels they want, and to pay only for those channels, puts power back in the hands of the consumer - of parents - and forces the producers of indecent or violent programming to fund their own raunch. It is the only fair solution. Why should I be forced to pay for programming that insults my intelligence and assaults my values just to gain access to a handful of channels I can watch with my family. The cable industry has been carried on the backs of American consumers long enough. It is time for this extortion to end.

Sincerely,

Tom Mott
440-243-4316