

**DOCKET 07-57**

tlaboranti@yahoo.com wrote on 8/24/2007 11:19:51 AM :

Anthony Laboranti  
4972 Muirwood Dr  
Pleasanton, CA 94588-4238

**FILED/ACCEPTED**  
**SEP 07 2007**  
Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

August 16, 2007

FCC  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, SW Room TW-B204  
Washington, DC 20554

Dear FCC:

I am writing about the proposed Sirius and XM merger. Currently, to listen to both football and baseball, you need to have both services. I hear all the talk about the FCC and others worrying consumers getting the most for their money. What man in America would argue with the possibility of getting all baseball games and all football games on the radio? I subscribe to both XM and Sirius for that reason. When I purchased my XM receiver and had it hooked up in my vehicle, the technician said I was not alone in hooking up both services. If the FCC is truly concerned with "protecting" the consumer, they should stop stalling the process and let this merger go through.

Sincerely,

Anthony Laboranti

No. of Copies rec'd 0  
List A B C D E

**Docket MB 07-57**

**jec**

8/24/2007 11:21:29 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to borkofsky@hvc.rr.com.

borkofsky@hvc.rr.com wrote on 8/24/2007 11:19:51 AM :

Bruce Orkofsky  
4 Hampton Ct.  
Middletown, NY 10941-1610

**FILED/ACCEPTED**  
**SEP 07 2007**  
Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

August 15, 2007

FCC  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, SW Room TW-B204  
Washington, DC 20554

Dear FCC:

I have enjoyed SIRIUS satellite radio for almost 2 years now and my son has XM. Each has some programming that the other doesn't have and I would really like to see this merger go through so we can all enjoy the best that both companies have to offer.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bruce Orkofsky

**MB 07-57**

FILED/ACCEPTED  
SEP 07 2007  
Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

8/28/2007 9:40:49 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to namerif13@comcast.net.

namerif13@comcast.net wrote on 8/28/2007 12:01:54 AM :

Douglas Fireman (namerif13@comcast.net) writes:

Chairman Martin,

I am of the opinion that the National Association of Radio Broadcasters and their supporters have handled the potential sirius/xmsr merger unfairly.

Millions of people who support the merger are very happy with satellite radio and are willing to pay the price for superior radio opportunities that go beyond music and talking heads.

Satellite radio's time has come, and it is competitive. Outmoded laws need to change with the times and not deny the public opportunities to improve their radio listening experiences.

Regular radio will not become extinct, and the public should have a choice as to what they choose to listen to. The NAB has been fighting Sattelite Radio tooth and nail, and are trying to prevent a wonderful opportunity for SR radio fans who love the multi- channel, and commercial free listening. The listening variety alone far exceeds NAB radio.

Times are changing. The people's radio and listening tastes are changing- and SR is competitive in the market place. One would have to be blind to say that it is not.

Hopefully the FCC will approve the merger and not be pressured by the NAB and allies to quash new opportunities for listening experiences among the public.

Douglas Fireman

-----  
Server protocol: HTTP/1.1  
Remote host: 192.104.54.5  
Remote IP address: 192.104.54.5

**Docket MB 07-57**

8/22/2007 10:20:47 PM - Email Acknowledgement sent to swad50@verizon.net.

swad50@verizon.net wrote on 8/22/2007 10:20:29 PM :

D.W. Swain  
53 Willowood Court  
West Deptford, NJ 08066-2412

August 22, 2007

FCC  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, SW Room TW-B204  
Washington, DC 20554

Dear FCC:

I would very much like to see a merger between XM and Sirius Satellite Radio. I started out with XM because my 2 favorite sports, baseball and NASCAR, were both on XM. But now, NASCAR has gone over to Sirius, so I'm missing those broadcasts. Plus, I have a new vehicle which has Sirius.

It would be much simpler, and much more economical, for everyone (consumers) if the 2 satellite radio companies were one. Please do not fight this! Please.

XM and Sirius -- as we New Jerseyians say -- "perfect together." The sooner the better!

Sincerely,

D.W. Swain

**FILED/ACCEPTED**  
**SEP 07 2007**  
Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

**MB 07-57**  
**TSR44**

8/24/2007 11:21:16 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to genevieve2@hotmail.com.

genevieve2@hotmail.com wrote on 8/24/2007 11:19:51 AM :

Jennifer Daberko  
779 Cedar Run Dr  
Blacklick, OH 43004-8809

August 15, 2007

FCC  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, SW Room TW-B204  
Washington, DC 20554

Dear FCC:

This merger is a great thing. My new vehicle has an XM Radio and I have been a loyal Sirius customer. I want my Sirius programming back...please allow the merger

Sincerely,

Jennifer Daberko

**FILED/ACCEPTED**  
**SEP 07 2007**  
Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

**MB 07-57**  
**TSR44**

8/24/2007 11:22:11 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to tim@iradical.net.

tim@iradical.net wrote on 8/24/2007 11:19:57 AM :

Tim Dykema  
1120 Melody Creek Ln  
Jackson, WY 83001-9288

FILED/ACCEPTED  
SEP 07 2007  
Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

August 19, 2007

FCC  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, SW Room TW-B204  
Washington, DC 20554

Dear FCC:

Thank you for taking time to read my comments regarding this proposed merger. I live in Jackson Hole, WY...land of the Tetons...and cannot tell you how much satellite radio means to my wife and I. There are zero AM or FM radio stations which can be continuously received when driving in a 60 mile radius of town. There are just too many mountains, forests, buttes and hills to allow propagation of a signal. To have access to the wide variety of NPR stations, news, weather, music, entertainment and sports programming is fantastic. The poor reception of traditional radio is not unlike the situation with satellite tv. Many residents of Teton County already qualify for all the major national network satellite feeds because not a single local TV broadcaster provides acceptable reception. I know that a number of large groups including the National Association of Broadcasters have been working hard to convince you that this merger should be blocked. To listen to them would be a slap in the face to all of us Americans who live in locations where "their technology", that is, land based rf propagation of signals...just plain DOES NOT WORK. Satellite radio (and TV) are invaluable to those of us who live outside the reach of major metro broadcasters.

As for concerns about the anti-competitive potential of the merger I think that capitalism should be allowed to work in the free market marketplace. Excellent pricing proposals have already been put forward by Sirius and XM officials...but regardless...the consumers will decide with their dollars the success or failure of the proposed plans. Both of these companies incurred huge costs to build their cutting edge satellite networks when others stood by, too timid to act. Any profits they accrue will be well deserved.

Thanks again for considering this matter and I look forward to learning of your support for this merger.

Sincerely,

Tim Dykema  
307 203 2222

**MB 07-57**  
**TSR44**

wittum@hotmail.com wrote on 8/24/2007 11:19:56 AM :

Ryan Wittum  
512 Hobson Place  
Pittsburg, KS 66762-6319

August 23, 2007

FCC  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, SW Room TW-B204  
Washington, DC 20554

Dear FCC:

Hello, Thanks for your time.

My name is Ryan. I am a 28-year-old professional that lives in Southeast Kansas. I recently purchased a Sirius Radio System and really enjoy it. Purchasing the satellite radio was a choice I made as an individual. Living in the rural community that I do, local radio options are very limited. I still enjoy local radio. However, it seems lately bombarded with advertisements and no real information. Sirius allows me to stay up to date with the rest of the United States in sports, news, and music. I believe with the merger of these two great companies, it will be even better. Satellite radio is a service that is not mandatory, it is a choice. Unlike local telephone or cable TV, satellite radio was an option that allows me to choose what I want to listen too. I think satellite radio also provides innovative means of communication not available with traditional means. It was a tough decision to pick between the two-satellite radio systems. They both offer a great service. However, individually they both monopolize certain programming. Together, they would provide an all access pass to anything in the satellite radio market. I really think this is a great opportunity for the people of the United States. I choice to choose a mean of communication that would open them up to a new world. Instead of making them choose and dividing it in half. The proposed price plans are also very attractive. The people deserve the best deal for their money and this merger gives them that.

Sincerely,

Ryan Wittum  
620-331-9321

FILED/ACCEPTED  
SEP 07 2007  
Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

**Docket MB 07-57  
TSR44**

rickgyori@hotmail.com wrote on 8/24/2007 11:19:56 AM :

Rick Gyori  
P.O. Box #416  
Lincoln Park, MI 48146-0416

**FILED/ACCEPTED**  
**SEP 07 2007**  
Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

August 24, 2007

FCC  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, SW Room TW-B204  
Washington, DC 20554

Dear FCC:

To whom it may concern. I think Sirius is a excellent service, I also think XM is a excellent service. I have both services and I do not enjoy paying 25.90 for both and cluttering my dashboard with 2 radios. I am excited about the news of the merger. I even purchased a lifetime subscription to Sirius to recieve "ALL" of both services on one radio for \$399.00 for the lifetime of 3 radios! This is a great idea for people who are music fans as well as NFL and MLB fans. People who switch at commercials from Howard Stern to Opie & Anthony, Coast to Coast AM to Live Events and movie soundtracks. The Biggest selection of Rock, Blues & Metal commercial free. I am endorsing this merger because I think it will save subscribers a lot of money to recieve both services and not have to worry about having 2 radios. Please approve this merger all subscribers will be happy to recieve more programming. Thank You for your time.

Sincerely,

Rick Gyori  
519-562-6586

**DOCKET MB 07-57**

8/22/2007 8:04:55 PM - Email Acknowledgement sent to jmbradley@insightbb.com.

jmbradley@insightbb.com wrote on 8/22/2007 8:04:15 PM :


Senator Lugar said I should contact you directly about the merger of Sirius and XM radio. I hope you approve this merger, for I cannot afford two satellite radios.

I like programs on both radios and am looking forward to being able to listen to all of them. I presently have XM and do not get to listen to NASCAR & NFL which I really miss.

Sincerely  
James Bradley

**FILED/ACCEPTED**  
**SEP 07 2007**  
Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

DOCKET MB-07-57



Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street SW  
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Commissioner Adelstein:

I am writing to urge you to vote against the proposed merger of XM Satellite Radio and Sirius Satellite Radio.

As you know, I was a member of the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission. While serving on the PUC, I routinely dealt with issues relating to competition among telecommunications companies. In deciding whether to approve or disapprove a proposed transaction, I always applied the "best interests of the consumer" standard. After reviewing the facts in this case, I am convinced that the proposed XM and Sirius merger does not meet this standard.

XM and Sirius are the only two satellite radio providers in the marketplace. Allowing these companies to merge would create a classic monopoly. As a monopoly, the combined XM/Sirius satellite radio company would be able to raise prices and eliminate certain programs at will. With no competition and nowhere else to turn, consumers would end up paying more for less.

In 2001, the FCC rejected the merger proposed by satellite television providers DirecTV and Dish Network because it would harm consumers by stifling competition. The FCC should apply the same reasoning to the XM and Sirius merger. It should not abandon the public interest by sanctioning a monopoly for the benefit of two competitors who are seeking a government bailout for their bad business decisions.

Thank you for your consideration of my views on this matter.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Pam Nelson".

Pam Nelson

Pam Nelson  
2505 S. Marion Rd.  
Sioux Falls, SD 57106

**Docket MB 07-57**

**FILED/ACCEPTED**  
**SEP 07 2007**  
Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

8/22/2007 5:37:48 PM - Email Acknowledgement sent to gastirick3@bresnan.net.

gastirick3@bresnan.net wrote on 8/21/2007 10:24:57 AM :

Karen gastineau (gastirick3@bresnan.net) writes:

Please get politics out and the wishes of the consumer in when considering the satellite radio merger. I have Sirius at home and purchased a vehicle with XM. I love satellite radio period. It would be a lot more convenient to have one subscription instead of two. Traditional radio, ipods, iphone,mp3, etc are competition for the satellite industry..not each other. I am afraid without the merger they will both go under and what a sad affair for the consumer. Please don't let politics enter into this. And why do we allow the same company to own digital, fm and am stations? They are different forms of radio.

---

Server protocol: HTTP/1.1  
Remote host: 192.104.54.5  
Remote IP address: 192.104.54.5

**Docket MB 07-57**

FILED/ACCEPTED  
SEP 07 2007  
Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

8/22/2007 5:35:47 PM - Email Acknowledgement sent to cybrkaren5@bresnan.net.

cybrkaren5@bresnan.net wrote on 8/21/2007 10:01:59 PM :

Karen Palmer (cybrkaren5@bresnan.net) writes:

I can see by your bio that you are very political. But please pay attention to the people when it comes to the satellite radio merger. I am an avid subscriber to both sirius and xm because I have vehicles that have oem's. I love the radio and don't understand why this is such a big deal. It is my choice to subscribe and would love to subscribe to one instead of two. They are in competition with traditional radio, ipods, mp3, etc more than with each other. Its a no brainer. Please go with the people instead of politics.

---

Server protocol: HTTP/1.1  
Remote host: 192.104.54.5  
Remote IP address: 192.104.54.5

**MB 07-57**

**FILED/ACCEPTED**  
**SEP 07 2007**  
Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

wittum@hotmail.com wrote on 8/24/2007 11:19:56 AM :

Ryan Wittum  
512 Hobson Place  
Pittsburg, KS 66762-6319

August 23, 2007

FCC  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, SW Room TW-B204  
Washington, DC 20554

Dear FCC:

Hello, Thanks for your time.

My name is Ryan. I am a 28-year-old professional that lives in Southeast Kansas. I recently purchased a Sirius Radio System and really enjoy it. Purchasing the satellite radio was a choice I made as an individual. Living in the rural community that I do, local radio options are very limited. I still enjoy local radio. However, it seems lately bombarded with advertisements and no real information. Sirius allows me to stay up to date with the rest of the United States in sports, news, and music. I believe with the merger of these two great companies, it will be even better. Satellite radio is a service that is not mandatory, it is a choice. Unlike local telephone or cable TV, satellite radio was an option that allows me to choose what I want to listen too. I think satellite radio also provides innovative means of communication not available with traditional means. It was a tough decision to pick between the two-satellite radio systems. They both offer a great service. However, individually they both monopolize certain programming. Together, they would provide an all access pass to anything in the satellite radio market. I really think this is a great opportunity for the people of the United States. I choice to choose a mean of communication that would open them up to a new world. Instead of making them choose and dividing it in half. The proposed price plans are also very attractive. The people deserve the best deal for their money and this merger gives them that.

Sincerely,

Ryan Wittum  
620-331-9321

FILED/ACCEPTED  
SEP 07 2007  
Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

**MB 07-57**

8/24/2007 11:21:50 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to will181@embarqmail.com.

will181@embarqmail.com wrote on 8/24/2007 11:19:54 AM :

William Jurewicz  
49 Cedar Ridge Drive  
vernon, NJ 07462-3505

August 20, 2007

FCC  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, SW Room TW-B204  
Washington, DC 20554

Dear FCC:

I have been a Sirius subscriber for 2 years and no longer listen to FM stations. I think the XM/Sirius merger would be an incredible opportunity to expand the audio entertainment field. Both of these companies combined would enhance the public's listening pleasure. The variety of entertainment I receive from Sirius is unrivaled anywhere else.

To deny the merger would be shortsighted and damaging to the future of radio. The market should determine the merger's merit rather than special interest groups such as the Assaociation of Broadcasters. This would force the FM/AM market to improve their product. I can only see a positive situation for the consumer from approval of the merger.

Sincerely,

William Jurewicz  
973-951-4330

FILED/ACCEPTED  
SEP 07 2007  
Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

**MB 07-57**

8/24/2007 11:20:57 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to jtynes@earthlink.net.

jtynes@earthlink.net wrote on 8/24/2007 11:19:46 AM :

John Tynes  
811 G Street  
Crescent City, CA 95531-3741

August 11, 2007

FCC  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, SW Room TW-B204  
Washington, DC 20554

Dear FCC:

I'm in strong support of the proposed merger between XM and Sirius. I believe that it will provide a more complete range of services available at a lower price.

Sincerely,

John Tynes

**DOCKET MB 07-57**

8/24/2007 11:21:55 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to toddrtucker@hotmail.com.

toddrtucker@hotmail.com wrote on 8/24/2007 11:19:54 AM :

Todd Tucker  
17729 Sanibel Circle  
Noblesville, IN 46062-7636

August 21, 2007

FCC  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, SW Room TW-B204  
Washington, DC 20554

Dear FCC:

Dear Sir or Madam,

I am a Sirius satellite radio subscriber and I wish to register my support for the proposed merger.

I currently enjoy the programming that Sirius radio provides but frankly, with the addition of XM there are several shows, most notably, Major League baseball that would be added.

Honestly, this merger could provide the tipping point on whether or not I will renew my subscription to satellite radio, as much as I love it.

If the merger does not go through, most likely I will just download my favorite radio programs onto my IPOD. It will cost about the same and the entertainment equivalent will be approximately the same.

I understand the monopoly concerns, however the argument that satellite radio is competing against many other forms of media is valid. Quite honestly, there is nothing that will get me to go back to terrestrial radio. I will have to do more downloading, but I will go to my Ipod if I have to.

I am excited about the proposed a la carte programming options that have been proposed.

In closing please support the merger between Sirius and XM satellite radio.

Sincerely,

Todd R. Tucker

**FILED/ACCEPTED**  
**SEP 07 2007**  
Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

**This is docket MB 07-57.**

8/24/2007 11:21:57 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to adambeebe85@comcast.net.

adambeebe85@comcast.net wrote on 8/24/2007 11:19:54 AM :

Adam Beebe  
3475 Torrington Way  
Tallahassee, FL 32317-9020

August 21, 2007

FCC  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, SW Room TW-B204  
Washington, DC 20554

Dear FCC:

I am a subscriber of Sirius satellite radio. I believe the merger with XM will benefit subscribers of both companies and anyone else who wants to enjoy commercial free radio at a reasonable price. Please do what you can to push for the approval of the Sirius/XM merger.

Sincerely,

Adam K. Beebe  
8503398204

**FILED/ACCEPTED**  
**SEP 07 2007**  
Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

**This is docket MB 07-57.**

8/24/2007 11:21:06 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to jobrien\_13@msn.com.

jobrien\_13@msn.com wrote on 8/24/2007 11:19:48 AM :

Jimmie O'Brien  
425 S. Bishop St.  
San Angelo, TX 76901-4125

August 13, 2007

FCC  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, SW Room TW-B204  
Washington, DC 20554

Dear FCC:

I have become more concerned about the possible merger between Sirius and XM satellite radio companies. I am currently a Sirius subscriber and have been for over 3 years. The new "a la carte" programming rates that have been proposed for the new combined company sounds more like a monopoly on service than a convenience for subscribers. How is it that "a la carte" service with different levels of subscription services for different price levels are increasing the convenience of customers when the current pricing plans actually save the consumers by lowering the price for extended service plans for "all" available programming. The new proposed "a la carte" programming packages are leading towards the current satellite TV programming where you have only a limited number of channels available for each level and must pay extra for additional channels. This is completely in the opposite direction of the lure of the current pricing and service plans for both companies. I was under the impression that the merger was to combine the services of both companies so the consumer would benefit from both companies' current programming at a fixed rate and to help decrease the cost of both companies' operating expenses. The new proposed merger procedures makes it sound more like the combined company will decrease operational costs and increase profits from increased service costs. How would this be beneficial to the current subscribers?

Sincerely,

Jimmie O'Brien

**FILED/ACCEPTED**  
**SEP 07 2007**  
Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

**This is docket MB 07-57.**

8/24/2007 11:21:06 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to jobrien\_13@msn.com.

jobrien\_13@msn.com wrote on 8/24/2007 11:19:48 AM :

Jimmie O'Brien  
425 S. Bishop St.  
San Angelo, TX 76901-4125

August 13, 2007

FCC  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, SW Room TW-B204  
Washington, DC 20554

Dear FCC:

I have become more concerned about the possible merger between Sirius and XM satellite radio companies. I am currently a Sirius subscriber and have been for over 3 years. The new "a la carte" programming rates that have been proposed for the new combined company sounds more like a monopoly on service than a convenience for subscribers. How is it that "a la carte" service with different levels of subscription services for different price levels are increasing the convenience of customers when the current pricing plans actually save the consumers by lowering the price for extended service plans for "all" available programming. The new proposed "a la carte" programming packages are leading towards the current satellite TV programming where you have only a limited number of channels available for each level and must pay extra for additional channels. This is completely in the opposite direction of the lure of the current pricing and service plans for both companies. I was under the impression that the merger was to combine the services of both companies so the consumer would benefit from both companies' current programming at a fixed rate and to help decrease the cost of both companies' operating expenses. The new proposed merger procedures makes it sound more like the combined company will decrease operational costs and increase profits from increased service costs. How would this be beneficial to the current subscribers?

Sincerely,

Jimmie O'Brien

FILED/ACCEPTED  
SEP 07 2007  
Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

**DOCKET MB 07-57**

8/24/2007 11:21:02 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to dsrepar@yahoo.com.

dsrepar@yahoo.com wrote on 8/24/2007 11:19:48 AM :

Dean Repar  
4401 Milford Ave  
Parma, OH 44134-2117

August 14, 2007

FCC  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, SW Room TW-B204  
Washington, DC 20554

Dear FCC:

To whom it may concern. I think it is absurd, if not criminal that this merger has not been allowed to proceed. This is not a monopoly it is reasonable competition to regular FM/AM radio. The price of the service will be kept down based on the fact that satellite radio is competing against a free product. The idea that currently I need 2 completely different radios to listen to MLB, NFL, NBA, and NASCAR, is ridiculous. I don't need 2 televisions to watch all of this. Please hurry-up on approve this merger so that I can save some money, and have only one radio.

Sincerely,

Dean Repar  
2162994648

**FILED/ACCEPTED**  
**SEP 07 2007**  
Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

**DOCKET MB 07-57**

8/24/2007 11:21:54 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to ahershey@cinci.rr.com.

ahershey@cinci.rr.com wrote on 8/24/2007 11:19:54 AM :

Andrew Hershey  
10264 Stablehand Dr  
Cincinnati, OH 45242-4639

August 21, 2007

FCC  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, SW Room TW-B204  
Washington, DC 20554

Dear FCC:

To whom it may concern,

As a consumer who has both XM and Sirius due to contract restrictions of automobile corporations that one only offer one company, I find the potential of a merger increasing my options of choosing the musical options based on the increased variety provided by the merger. This allows for a comparisons of options between satellite radio, HD radio, regular broadcast radio, CD, tape, MP3, etc. which are all competitive options.

I find the arguments to date to be biased away from options designed to protect the interest of limited corporate groups (i.e., NAB representing the broadcasters of regular and HD radio) from a potentially fairer competition. As satellite consumers are required to buy extra equipment and pay service fees they should have the broadest choice available and not be restricted to the choice of the individual suppliers of the radio (i.e., the automobile or vehicle manufacturer) and would allow the consumer to chose their choice of musical or broadcast content.

Sincerely,

Andrew Hershey

**FILED/ACCEPTED**  
**SEP 07 2007**  
Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary