



1776 K STREET NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20006
PHONE 202.719.7000
FAX 202.719.7049

7925 JONES BRANCH DRIVE
McLEAN, VA 22102
PHONE 703.905.2800
FAX 703.905.2820

www.wileyrein.com

September 20, 2007

Catherine M. Hilke
202.719.7418
chilke@wileyrein.com

VIA ECFS

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: **Ex Parte Presentation** – PS Docket No. 07-114, CC Docket No. 94-102,
WC Docket No. 05-196

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Pursuant to Section 1.1206(b)(2) of the Commission's rules, this letter is to notify you that on September 19, 2007, Mary E. Brooner, Bruce Bernhardt, and Jim Krammen of Motorola, Inc. and Thomas S. Dombrowsky, Engineering Consultant, and Catherine M. Hilke of Wiley Rein LLP had meetings with the following individuals regarding the above-captioned proceedings:

- (1) Julius Knapp, Ron Repasi, Ira Keltz, Ron Chase, and Salomon Satche of the Office of Engineering and Technology, and Julia Tu of the Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau; and
- (2) Derek Poarch, Erika Olsen, and Tim Peterson of the Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau.

During these meetings, the parties discussed the attached presentation and issues included in Motorola's filings in the above-captioned proceedings. If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact Mary Brooner at 202.371.6899.

Sincerely,

/s/ *Catherine M. Hilke*

Catherine M. Hilke

cc: Ron Chase, Ira Keltz, Julius Knapp, Erika Olsen, Tim Peterson, Derek Poarch, Ron Repasi, Salomon Satche, Julia Tu,

Attachment



Next Generation E911 Location Issues Motorola, Inc.

PS Docket No. 07-114
CC Docket No. 94-102
WC Docket No. 05-196



Motorola Has Technological Concerns About FCC Proposals For Improving E911 Location Accuracy

Determination of elevation location is technically challenging where needed most, such as in-building

Hybrid location technologies will not provide accuracy at PSAP-level of geography

Beacon approach for in-building solutions needs significant study to even know if it is a feasible, practical solution



GPS Technology Is Only Solution Currently That Contemplates Elevation Location

But . . . satellite geometry limits use in-building

Need four satellites with geometrical separation/distribution

No other known solutions ready for commercial development and implementation in satellite-challenged environments

Known solutions each have issues and limited testing

Motorola actively engaged for years in evaluating in-building location systems for First Responders

Typical solutions involve dropping beacons in building or using multiple external reference signals from different directions that penetrate building



Hybrid Approach Will Not Provide PSAP-level Accuracy

Motorola defines a hybrid approach as network triangulation combined with GPS

While the two approaches may complement each other to some extent . . .

Hybrid has not been shown to meet FCC accuracy requirements in all environments

Area of focus for stakeholder forum – involve other Federally recognized authorities such as NIST

Hybrid is most challenging in-building and won't improve in-building accuracies

Same holds true for satellite-challenged tunnels, urban canyons, heavily forested areas

A hybrid technology approach provides an opportunity for improving in-building location

but at a potential degradation in location accuracy from a full GPS position determination

There are significant operational issues should in-building coverage be addressed with additional cell sites

Deleterious impact on Capacity and Quality of Service

In-building distributed antenna systems do not help with location

Range is limited and talking to a single cell



Hybrid Approach Will Not Provide PSAP-level Accuracy (cont.)

Hybrid requires another nationwide change out of handsets

All handsets will need new software and/or hardware

Today's AGPS handsets need new code for network messaging

Networks need new code to handle messaging

~219 million devices in market today* to be changed out in 5 years

*** mid-2007 Motorola estimates based on 74% penetration of 295m pops**

Phase II reference: 86 million handsets over 5 years w/ enormous effort



Next Generation Solutions Not Developed Or Tested

No industry study of solutions

Area of focus for stakeholder forum

Proposed solutions have not been fully developed or tested in all environments where E911 calls can be made

Not solely a question of funding

Industry does not know where to spend money to achieve desired results

Do not waste time and scarce engineering resources on solutions that do not substantially improve the performance

Follow fundamental best practices

Define the acceptance test and then design the solution

Need to define the solution and then have time for standards, development, testing and deployment



Need Joint Industry Technology Forum

Need to determine:

What solutions are available or could be developed?

What is feasible and reasonable?

How will it perform?

What does it take to make it work?

When can it be deployed and ready?