

Dear FCC

I am a member of a nationwide on line research and study group devoted to LPAM rule making. Naturally we are in support of such a service and are ourselves looking into rule making to resolve technical difficulties and problems such as interference on the band. And our approach is to use a 10 watt RMS carrier rather than higher power levels. And operation from 1620 to 1700 kHz only.

We agree with much of the Fred Baumgartner petition' except with the power level. Yet we do hope you consider this petition of his and publish comments on it for the publics interest.

I hope someones petition does come to life as a real service. If this petition fails we will be back shortly with our petition from the LPAM group at Yahoo. And 65 parties to that petition.

I wish to read more on this petition of Fred Baumgartner and make further comments on it in the future. I can say I am mostly in support of many of his comments.

The service should not be use by currently licensed full power broadcasters and the number of licenses held by an individual should be limited. And the antenna does need to be a little higher. Fred suggest 40 feet, our group was thinking 50 but we should consider allot of Fred's ideas here.

We agree that it should be a licensed service and that it should be for a life of 8 tears. Our vision is for a \$225 fee to go with the processing to fund the paper work and labor involved. And the 8 years license idea we are thinking of will mean the FCC work load is light.

We believe in such things as understanding about modulation limiting and prevention of wave form clipping and the use of low pass filters. Our idea is to produce a service that is cleaner operating than some speculate it will be in their counter arguments that such a service will cause interference. But tech and engineers pooled together as we are now can over come these things and set them down in writing.

If you have a emailing list for this topic only please add me to it.

Sincerely  
Daniel Jackson