

FILED/ACCEPTED

This is docket MB 07-57.

XM/Sirius Merger

OCT 18 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

9/10/2007 10:39:50 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to proiscool@comcast.net.

proiscool@comcast.net wrote on 9/10/2007 10:39:07 AM :

Dear FCC,

My name is Joe Procopio, I live in Chalfont, Pa. I am just checking in to see if we can get this satellite radio merger "Done", this deal is a win-win for the consumer anyway you look at it. Also, how about you do something about the cable television industry, that is a flat out monopoly.

Thanks
Joe Procopio

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List: ABCDE

9/28/2007 8:50:48 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to ssyanks@mindspring.com.

ssyanks@mindspring.com wrote on 9/27/2007 11:50:14 AM :

Steve Amato (ssyanks@mindspring.com) writes:

I am very disappointed in your commission's actions regarding the Sirius/XM merger. This is just another slap in the face to honest Americans. These two companies need each other to survive. Monopoly? They must compete with terrestrial radio, Ipods, HD radio, internet radio, etc. The fact that terrestrial radio can create and fund an entity such as the NAB and then your commission listens to them like they are some sort of experts on whether this merger is legal is an insult to intelligent Americans. Stop the nonsense, Sir. This merger is being stalled by big money that can't compete with satellite radio's superior product pure and simple. Do the right thing and stop the baloney. Thanks, I'll be watching.

Server protocol: HTTP/1.1

Remote host: 192.104.54.5

Remote IP address: 192.104.54.5

9/28/2007 8:52:10 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to mika@gofever.com.

mika@gofever.com wrote on 9/27/2007 4:28:44 PM :

The NAB is acting against the ideals of a democratic America. Please do not let them waste my money, your time and tie up resources and assets with their requests to investigate XM and Sirius.

XM and Sirius are the greatest things to happen to radio in the last 30 years. Yet--for me and everyone I know--they have not replaced terrestrial radio stations at all! I still listen to local talk and sports just as much as I ever have. There will always be a market for free radio, just as there is for TV.

It is clear that traditional terrestrial radio is not on the verge of disappearing due to these new providers. I know many people who own satellite receivers and still listen to their favorite AM radio stations.

As for FM, well... FM's competition lies largely with MP3 players and phones. Listeners don't want to hear what some program director decides is the "top 20", and we want to hear something else besides the singles. MP3 players and satellite radio provide this... but by no means do these "programming" habits have to be exclusive to MP3 and satellite.
AND--CERTAINLY--IT IS NOT AN ADVANTAGE GAINED BY THE HARDWARE ITSELF.

XM and Sirius (and all of their subscribers) are footing the bill to pioneer a new age in audio content (and other important services). Please don't let the whiny NAB stand in the way of progress. I am sure that any overpowering of transmitters was solely intended to improve customer service AND NOT TO IMPEDE existing terrestrial radio signals.

Thank you,
Jeremy Mika

A happy XM subscriber since September 2001

9/28/2007 8:52:21 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to jsabol@pacbell.net.

jsabol@pacbell.net wrote on 9/27/2007 5:05:10 PM :

John Sabol (jsabol@pacbell.net) writes:

Dear Mr. Martin,

I am writing to voice my opinion on the proposed merger of xm and sirius satellite radio. I am a current subscriber to xm, but would like to take advantage of many of the sirius stations. The merger would allow this access and I would be able to pay for what i want. It seems like a natural to me.

Thanks,

John Sabol

Server protocol: HTTP/1.1
Remote host: 192.104.54.5
Remote IP address: 192.104.54.5

10/2/2007 11:07:05 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to pdzialo@branford.k12.ct.us.

PDzialo@branford.k12.ct.us wrote on 10/1/2007 12:23:20 PM :

Dear Mr. Martin,

After reading several news reports pertaining Mr. Copps views of the Sirius/XM merger I was very disappointed. I hope the merger go's thru because it would allow me to have one service which carries both football and baseball. To get both currently I have to buy two radios and two satellite services costing ~ \$26 a month. In addition, I would like the opportunity to purchase some channels and save money not purchasing others.

In conclusion, please consider the above and I hope the FCC will allow all football and baseball fans to save money.

Thank you.

Paul Dzialo

FILED/ACCEPTED

OCT 18 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

FILED/ACCEPTED

OCT 18 2007

10/2/2007 11:06:15 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to sam3387@yahoo.com.

sam3387@yahoo.com wrote on 10/2/2007 9:01:08 AM :

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Sam Boyd (sam3387@yahoo.com) writes:

I want my XM and Siruis on my unit, Please. I love all sports and I work 24/7 no days off. I feel by letting XM and siruis merge you and your feelow Commissioners will be helping and not hurting me. Mr. Murdochs keeps getting a waiver for his endevers and YES Mr. Commissioner this directly effects me when someone is throwing thier views appond me via it be newspapers or TV and as a Brit how does he get to own so many USA enterprises. Who was asleep at the wheel. You and your fellow Commissioners have a chance to make a majority of PAID subscribers very happy. So Vote yes for the Merger, Thanks, Sam

Server protocol: HTTP/1.0
Remote host: 192.104.54.5
Remote IP address: 192.104.54.5

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List: ABCDE

9/20/2007 3:35:28 PM - Email Acknowledgement sent to
icemanlenny69@roadrunner.com.

icemanlenny69@roadrunner.com wrote on 9/19/2007 5:40:19 PM :

Mr. Martin, Wisconsin Attorney General recently wrote a letter against the merger. I'm sure you saw this and had to laugh at his argument(he sounds like the NAB). I believe he is just backing Senator Kohl(who owns the Milwaukee Bucks and receives advertising money from the terrestrial stations that carry the Bucks games(a clear conflict of interest) and doesn't want more competition from satellite radio. The different price options that the merged satellite companies are offering is terrific for consumers and maybe this will be a step towards the TV cable companies doing something similar. I'm sure you're already aware of this info, and hopefully, with FCC approval, you can change the landscape in this fastchanging electronic age(someone needs to do it, why not you?)

Thanks for you're time, Lenny Freed