

October 31, 2007

**Via ECFS**

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary  
445 12th Street, SW  
Washington, DC 20554

In re: *Closed Captioning and Video Description of Video Programming – Implementation of Section 305 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 – Video Programming Accessibility*

**CGB-CC-0739 – Opposition to the Petition for Exemption from Closed Captioning Requirements Filed by Life Christian Center International**

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Telecommunications for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing, Inc. (“TDI”), National Association for the Deaf (“NAD”), Deaf and Hard of Hearing Consumer Advocacy Network (“DHHCAN”), Hearing Loss Association of America (“HLAA”), Association of Late-Deafened Adults, Inc. (“ALDA”), American Association of People with Disabilities (“AAPD”), and California Coalition of Agencies Serving the Deaf and Hard of Hearing (“CCASDHH”) (collectively, “Commenters”) submit for filing in the above-captioned proceeding their opposition to the petition for exemption from the Commission’s closed captioning requirements filed by Life Christian Center International for its program “Is This Really Church” (the “Petition”).

The following is a summary of pertinent program, financial, and other information provided in the Petition:

Petitioner produces a 30-minute program broadcast over WFXL Fox 31 two times a week. Petitioner states that the program is produced by one employee and numerous volunteers. Petitioner claims production costs for \$400 per month and air time costs \$800 per month. Petitioner provided a quote that the cost to caption would be \$235 per episode and claims such a cost would have a detrimental impact on Petitioner’s other activities. Petitioner provided an income/expense statement for January through August, 2007, showing income of \$765,707 and a net loss of \$4,274.06. Although Petitioner’s filing includes an affidavit, the filing provides no indication that Petitioner sought competitive pricing from multiple sources or sought to recoup the cost of closed captioning.

Boston  
Hartford  
Hong Kong  
London  
Los Angeles  
New York  
Orange County  
San Francisco  
Santa Monica  
Silicon Valley  
Tokyo  
Walnut Creek  
Washington

Bingham McCutchen LLP  
2020 K Street NW  
Washington, DC  
20006-1806

T 202.373.6000  
F 202.373.6001  
bingham.com

A/72290115.1

Commenters believe that the Petitioner has provided information that suggests that compliance with the closed captioning requirements would impose an undue burden under the Commission's existing waiver standards.<sup>1</sup> Commenters recommend that the Petitioner be given a temporary exemption of two (2) years to comply with the closed captioning rules.

### I. The Legal Standard for Granting a Petition for Exemption

Section 713 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (the "Act"), requires that video programming be closed captioned, regardless of distribution technologies, to ensure that it is accessible to persons with hearing disabilities.<sup>2</sup> The Commission has the authority to grant a petition for an exemption from the closed captioning requirements upon a showing that the requirements would impose an undue burden on the video programming provider or video owner.<sup>3</sup> Congress defined "undue burden" to mean "significant difficulty or expense."<sup>4</sup>

A petition seeking a waiver of the captioning rules must demonstrate that compliance would result in an undue burden within the meaning of Section 713(e) and Section 79.1(f) of the Commission's rules.<sup>5</sup> Section 713 requires the Commission to consider four factors when determining whether the closed captioning requirements will impose an undue burden: (1) the nature and cost of the closed captions for the programming; (2) the impact on the operation of the provider or program owner; (3) the financial resources of

---

<sup>1</sup> Although Commenters believe that the Petitioner may meet the undue burden standard set forth in 47 U.S.C. § 613(e) and 47 C.F.R. § 79.1(f), the Commission may not properly rely on the *Anglers Exemption Order* to determine whether Petitioner's request meets the undue burden standard. *In the Matter of Anglers for Christ Ministries, Inc.; New Beginning Ministries; Video Programming Accessibility; Petitions for Exemption from Closed Captioning Requirements*, Memorandum Opinion and Order, DA 06-1802 (2006) ("*Anglers Exemption Order*"). The *Anglers Exemption Order* is not a final order and the new standard fails to incorporate an "economically burdensome" or an "undue burden" standard as mandated by 47 U.S.C. § 613(e). *See* Application for Review of Bureau Order, Docket No. 06-181, CGB-CC-0005, CGB-CC-0007 (filed October 12, 2006).

<sup>2</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 613(e).

<sup>3</sup> *Id.*

<sup>4</sup> *Id.*

<sup>5</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 613(e); 47 C.F.R. § 79.1(f).

the provider or program owner; and (4) the type of operations of the provider or program owner.<sup>6</sup>

Section 79.1(f) of the Commission's rules sets forth the Commission's procedures for seeking an exemption from the closed captioning requirements on the basis that compliance would impose an undue burden on the programmer.<sup>7</sup> A petition for an exemption from the closed captioning requirements must be supported by sufficient evidence to demonstrate that compliance with the requirements would cause an undue burden.<sup>8</sup> Such petition must contain a detailed, full showing, supported by affidavit, of any facts or considerations relied on by the petitioner.<sup>9</sup> It must also describe any available alternatives that might constitute a reasonable substitute for the captioning requirements.<sup>10</sup>

In the 2006 *Anglers Exemption Order*, the Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau ("CGB") improperly created a new standard that ignored the "undue burden" analysis required by the Act, the Commission's rules, and Commission precedent. Instead, the CGB stated that any non-profit organization may be granted a waiver from the closed captioning rules if the organization does not receive compensation for airing its programming and if it may terminate or substantially curtail its programming or other activities important to its mission if it is required to caption its programming.<sup>11</sup> The Commission may not properly rely on the *Anglers Exemption Order* to determine whether Petitioner's request meets the undue burden standard. Commenters have sought review of the *Anglers Exemption Order* by the Commission and, accordingly, the *Anglers Exemption Order* is not final.<sup>12</sup> Moreover, the standard announced by the CGB in the *Anglers Exemption Order* was inappropriate because it failed to incorporate an "economically burdensome" or an "undue burden" standard as mandated by the Act and fails to require Petitioner to demonstrate the four factors listed above.

---

<sup>6</sup> *Id.*

<sup>7</sup> 47 C.F.R. § 79.1(f).

<sup>8</sup> 47 C.F.R. § 79.1(f)(2).

<sup>9</sup> 47 C.F.R. § 79.1(f)(9).

<sup>10</sup> 47 C.F.R. § 79.1(f)(3).

<sup>11</sup> *In the Matter of Anglers for Christ Ministries, Inc.; New Beginning Ministries; Video Programming Accessibility; Petitions for Exemption from Closed Captioning Requirements*, Memorandum Opinion and Order, DA 06-1802 (2006) ("*Anglers Exemption Order*").

<sup>12</sup> *See* Application for Review of Bureau Order, Docket No. 06-181, CGB-CC-0005, CGB-CC-0007 (filed October 12, 2006).

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary  
CGB-CC-0738  
October 31, 2007  
Page 4

## II. Conclusion

Commenters agree that the Petitioner has provided information that suggests that compliance with the closed captioning requirements would in fact impose an undue burden under the Commission's existing waiver standards. As such, Commenters recommend that the Petitioner be given a temporary exemption of two (2) years to comply with the closed captioning rules.

In addition, Commenters respectfully request that the Commission accept the attached certification that the facts and considerations in this filing are true and correct and waive the requirement to provide an affidavit for a responsive pleading.<sup>13</sup>

Respectfully submitted,

\_\_\_\_\_  
/ s /  
Paul O. Gagnier  
Troy F. Tanner  
Danielle C. Burt  
Bingham McCutchen LLP  
2020 K Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20007

Counsel to TDI

---

<sup>13</sup> 47 C.F.R. §79.1(f)(9).

/ s /

---

Claude L. Stout  
Executive Director  
Telecommunications for the  
Deaf and Hard of Hearing, Inc.  
8630 Fenton Street, Suite 604  
Silver Spring, MD 20910

/ s /

---

Nancy J. Bloch  
Chief Executive Officer  
National Association of the Deaf  
8630 Fenton Street, Suite 820  
Silver Spring, MD 20190-4500

/ s /

---

Cheryl Heppner  
Vice Chair  
Deaf and Hard of Hearing  
Consumer Advocacy Network  
3951 Pender Drive, Suite 130  
Fairfax, VA 22030

/ s /

---

Brenda Battat  
Associate Executive Director  
Hearing Loss Association of America  
7910 Woodmont Avenue, Suite 1200  
Bethesda, MD 20814

/ s /

---

Edgar Palmer  
President  
Association of Late-Deafened Adults,  
Inc.  
8038 Macintosh Lane  
Rockford, IL 61107

/ s /

---

Jenifer Simpson  
Senior Director, Telecommunications  
and Technology Policy  
American Association of  
People with Disabilities  
1629 K Street N.W., Suite 503  
Washington, DC 20006

/ s /

---

Ed Kelly  
Chair  
California Coalition of Agencies  
Serving the Deaf and Hard of Hearing  
6022 Cerritos Avenue  
Cypress, CA 90630

## CERTIFICATION

I, Rosaline Crawford, Director, NAD Law and Advocacy Center, hereby certify that to the extent there are any facts or considerations not already in the public domain which have been relied on in the attached Opposition to the Petition for Exemption from Closed Captioning Requirements, these facts and considerations are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge.



Rosaline Crawford

Date: October 31, 2007

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Danielle Burt, do hereby certify that, on October 31, 2007, a copy of the foregoing Opposition to the Petition for Exemption from Closed Captioning Requirements Filed by Life Christian Center International, as filed with the Federal Communications Commission in CGB-CC-0739, was served by first class U.S. mail, postage prepaid, upon the Petitioner:

Robert Carter, Communications Manager  
Life Christian Center International  
1211 Stuart Ave.  
Albany, GA 31707

/s/  
\_\_\_\_\_   
Danielle Burt