

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

**Docket # 07-52**

patmelancon@qwest.net wrote on 9/16/2007 4:45:02 PM :

Please continue to defend equal access for web users. Net Neutrality should remain for all users. If the large phone and cable companies are given the right to increase their profits by tiered charges to internet users, it is the small net users and the small newsletters and other sites that will be harmed. I ask that our current Net Neutrality stance be maintained, and that the large companies be given a resounding NO to this money grabbing pressure.

Most sincerely,  
Pat Melancon  
archimedes@pobox.com

**DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL**

ld

No. of Copies rec'd 0  
List ABCDE

---

**Docket # 07-52**

wdawsey@sccoast.net wrote on 9/14/2007 2:52:51 PM :

This man's website has helped me greatly. I back his letter 100 per cent.

William Dawsey  
2780 Dawsey Rd.  
Aynor, SC 29511

----- Original Message -----

From: Joe Prager  
To: fccinfo@fcc.gov  
Sent: Friday, September 14, 2007 2:35 PM  
Subject: Open Letter to the FCC In Support of Net Neutrality

Dear FCC Chairman Martin and Fellow Commissioners:

I was shocked to learn this week that the US Justice Department has written to you opposing the concept of Net Neutrality. I do not see how their stance supports their motto that the Justice Department's mission is "to ensure fair and impartial administration of justice for all Americans". Instead, their stand against Net Neutrality smacks of nothing more than improper corporate support for major telecommunication firms, such as AT&T.

In fact, a news report today mentioned that an organization known as FreePress has raised concerns and filed a FOIA about whether industry lobbyists may have influenced this action by the Justice Department. Given the vast array of unethical and possibly illegal actions and controversies reported about the Justice Department in the last year, I would not be surprised if this were the case.

So, I feel compelled to write to you to speak in opposition of the Justice Department's viewpoint on this matter. The Internet was originally created by the US Military as DARPANET to provide fail-safe communications for military installations, and as such it's development was paid for completely by US taxpayer funds. Therefore, the Internet itself belongs to the citizens of the United States- the taxpayers of the United States-and not any corporate entity. To create tiered access pricing on the Internet, which is what the concept of Net Neutrality opposes, is to bring to ruin a vast system that has worked fine for decades, first for the military, and now for the benefit of the greater public. It would be liken to making every street in Washington D.C. into a toll road, pay to the order of the telecom giants.

Surely, Mr. Chairman, you know that the benefits of unencumbered Internet access are vital to the good of the American people and the American economy. As a small business owner, whose primary source of income is derived from an eCommerce business website, I have to tell you that if the FCC were to oppose Net Neutrality and support a tiered paid access system, it would have a severe financial impact on my business and my family's livelihood. Put another way, while companies like AT&T will get rich off of such a scenario, it will likely drive my small business out of business, forcing me out of a job.

I also publish an environmental health website at my own expense, which would also be drastically affected by a paid tier access system, which would restrict access to the vital information I spent thousands of hours publishing for the benefit of others, for which I have never received any monetary compensation. And, the whole purpose of this health news site is to provide free information, as is the case with thousands of other similar sites.

To put this in more colloquial terms, "If It's Not Broken, Don't Fix It". The American public has enjoyed unrestricted and unencumbered access to the Internet for a couple of decades now and it has benefited businesses, schools, and even non-profit organizations, by providing ready access to goods and information right from your PC at home, at work or at school. For those who use the Internet often, it would be hard to imagine what life would be like without it - without this instant access to news, weather, sports, information, and goods and services.

Restricting access to the Internet by a tiered or paid system, will only disadvantage those who can least afford to be disadvantaged: namely small business and those in the lower or middle income brackets.

I trust that your Commission will do the right thing and support Net Neutrality, which benefits all Americans, as well as our economy.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely yours,  
Joe Prager  
P.O. Box 142998  
Gainesville, FL 32614

Id  
**FILED/ACCEPTED**

**NOV - 2 2007**

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

**Docket # 07-52**  
NET NEUTRALITY

AB

**FILED/ACCEPTED**

**NOV - 2 2007**

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

9/6/2007 4:58:17 PM - Email Acknowledgement sent to kray@emotionent.com.

kray@emotionent.com wrote on 9/6/2007 4:12:29 PM :

Kray Mitchell (kray@emotionent.com) writes:

Good Day Sir,

I just wanted to make some quick comments on the whole Net Neutrality issue that has been popping up recently.

Allowing corporations to charge more to access certain websites and services is an outrage to consumers. These companies make billions in profit every year, while many consumers can barely afford to pay their bills as it is.

Corporate America does not require another reason to screw consumers out of their hard earned money.

Please, PLEASE do not let this become a reality. Let the people surf!

Kind Regards,

Kray Mitchell

---

Server protocol: HTTP/1.1  
Remote host: 192.104.54.5  
Remote IP address: 192.104.54.5

7/3/2007 8:05:08 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to victoria@vain.com.

victoria@vain.com wrote on 7/2/2007 6:27:52 PM :

Dear Commissioner Martin;

Docket 07-52, In the Matter of Broadband Industry Practices

Big telecom companies want to twist how the internet works. They want to set up priority service for a fee and second class service for the rest of us. s

Currently FCC rules require equality on the Internet. Delays, when they occur, affect all communication equally. When it is fast, everyone benefits.

Now the big telecom companies want to change the system so that they can charge for priority service.

This is unfair and unnecessary, and it would pander to corporate profit at public expense and will discourage Internet development in the public sector.

The FCC should keep the rules that have worked so well up till now.

Sincerely,

Victoria

ab

**FILED/ACCEPTED**

**NOV - 2 2007**

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

*jlh@conscriptsoftware.com wrote on 6/28/2007 6:46:05 PM :*

Dear Commissioner Martin;

Docket 07-52, In the Matter of Broadband Industry Practices

Big telecom companies want to twist how the internet works. They want to set up priority service for a fee and second class service for the rest of us. s

Currently FCC rules require equality on the Internet. Delays, when they occur, affect all communication equally. When it is fast, everyone benefits.

Now the big telecom companies want to change the system so that they can charge for priority service.

This is unfair and unnecessary, and it would pander to corporate profit at public expense and will discourage Internet development in the public sector.

The FCC should keep the rules that have worked so well up till now.

Sincerely,

JL Henriques

a

lescerier@aol.com wrote on 6/28/2007 2:54:12 PM :

Dear Commissioner Martin;

Docket 07-52, In the Matter of Broadband Industry Practices

Big telecom companies want to twist how the internet works. They want to set up priority service for a fee and second class service for the rest of us. s

Currently FCC rules require equality on the Internet. Delays, when they occur, affect all communication equally. When it is fast, everyone benefits.

Now the big telecom companies want to change the system so that they can charge for priority service.

This is unfair and unnecessary, and it would pander to corporate profit at public expense and will discourage Internet development in the public sector.

The FCC should keep the rules that have worked so well up till now.

Sincerely,

Leslie Cerier, Amherst, MA

ab

**FILED/ACCEPTED**

**NOV - 2 2007**

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

raymond82@finestplanet.com wrote on 6/28/2007 2:53:13 AM :

Dear Commissioner Martin;

Docket 07-52, In the Matter of Broadband Industry Practices

Big telecom companies want to twist how the internet works. They want to set up priority service for a fee and second class service for the rest of us. s

Currently FCC rules require equality on the Internet. Delays, when they occur, affect all communication equally. When it is fast, everyone benefits.

Now the big telecom companies want to change the system so that they can charge for priority service.

This is unfair and unnecessary, and it would pander to corporate profit at public expense and will discourage Internet development in the public sector.

The FCC should keep the rules that have worked so well up till now.

Sincerely,

Raymond Alford  
Coulterville, CA 95311  
2098522055

ab

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

stijn.bruers@fys.kuleuven.be wrote on 6/28/2007 7:50:05 AM :

Dear Commissioner Martin;

Docket 07-52, In the Matter of Broadband Industry Practices

Big telecom companies want to twist how the internet works. They want to set up priority service for a fee and second class service for the rest of us. s

Currently FCC rules require equality on the Internet. Delays, when they occur, affect all communication equally. When it is fast, everyone benefits.

Now the big telecom companies want to change the system so that they can charge for priority service.

This is unfair and unnecessary, and it would pander to corporate profit at public expense and will discourage Internet development in the public sector.

The FCC should keep the rules that have worked so well up till now.

Sincerely

Mr. Stijn Bruers  
Broekhoven 4?3  
Herentals 2200

ab

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

cussery@frontiernet.net wrote on 6/28/2007 8:43:16 AM :

Dear Commissioner Martin;

Docket 07-52, In the Matter of Broadband Industry Practices

Big telecom companies want to twist how the internet works. They want to set up priority service for a fee and second class service for the rest of us. s

Currently FCC rules require equality on the Internet. Delays, when they occur, affect all communication equally. When it is fast, everyone benefits.

Now the big telecom companies want to change the system so that they can charge for priority service.

This is unfair and unnecessary, and it would pander to corporate profit at public expense and will discourage Internet development in the public sector.

The FCC should keep the rules that have worked so well up till now.

Sincerely,

Clyde Ussery  
TN 38572

ab

susanjennifertaylor@yahoo.com wrote on 6/28/2007 7:22:05 PM :

Dear Commissioner Martin;

Docket 07-52, In the Matter of Broadband Industry Practices

Big telecom companies want to twist how the internet works. They want to set up priority service for a fee and second class service for the rest of us. s

Currently FCC rules require equality on the Internet. Delays, when they occur, affect all communication equally. When it is fast, everyone benefits.

Now the big telecom companies want to change the system so that they can charge for priority service.

This is unfair and unnecessary, and it would pander to corporate profit at public expense and will discourage Internet development in the public sector.

The FCC should keep the rules that have worked so well up till now.

Sincerely,

Susan J. Taylor  
9999999999

---

DO YOU YAHOO! Get your free @yahoo.com address at <http://mail.yahoo.com>

ab

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

7/3/2007 8:00:13 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to ieva@juno.com.

ieva@juno.com wrote on 7/2/2007 6:02:46 AM :

Dear Commissioner Martin;

Docket 07-52, In the Matter of Broadband Industry Practices

Big telecom companies want to twist how the internet works. They want to set up priority service for a fee and second class service for the rest of us. s

Currently FCC rules require equality on the Internet. Delays, when they occur, affect all communication equally. When it is fast, everyone benefits.

Now the big telecom companies want to change the system so that they can charge for priority service.

This is unfair and unnecessary, and it would pander to corporate profit at public expense and will discourage Internet development in the public sector.

The FCC should keep the rules that have worked so well up till now.

Sincerely,

Ieva Zadina

---

Get the Internet just the way you want it.  
Free software, free e-mail, and free Internet access for a month!  
Try Juno Web: <http://dl.www.juno.com/dynoget/tagj>.

ab

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

7/3/2007 7:59:59 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to igor\_kuznetsov1@yahoo.com.

Igor\_Kuznetsov1@yahoo.com wrote on 7/1/2007 4:24:57 PM :

Dear Commissioner Martin;

Docket 07-52, In the Matter of Broadband Industry Practices

Big telecom companies want to twist how the internet works. They want to set up priority service for a fee and second class service for the rest of us. s

Currently FCC rules require equality on the Internet. Delays, when they occur, affect all communication equally. When it is fast, everyone benefits.

Now the big telecom companies want to change the system so that they can charge for priority service.

This is unfair and unnecessary, and it would pander to corporate profit at public expense and will discourage Internet development in the public sector.

The FCC should keep the rules that have worked so well up till now.

Sincerely,

Igor Kuznetsov

ab

7/3/2007 7:59:44 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to jgold@netrover.com.

*jgold@netrover.com wrote on 7/1/2007 10:36:15 AM :*

Dear Commissioner Martin;

Docket 07-52, In the Matter of Broadband Industry Practices

Big telecom companies want to twist how the internet works. They want to set up priority service for a fee and second class service for the rest of us. s

Currently FCC rules require equality on the Internet. Delays, when they occur, affect all communication equally. When it is fast, everyone benefits.

Now the big telecom companies want to change the system so that they can charge for priority service.

This is unfair and unnecessary, and it would pander to corporate profit at public expense and will discourage Internet development in the public sector.

The FCC should keep the rules that have worked so well up till now.

Sincerely,

Jeff Gold  
LON 1A0  
240 Lisa Marie Drive, Orangeville, Ontario, Canada L9W 4P6

ab

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

7/3/2007 7:59:31 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to estewart@ymail.yu.edu.

estewart@ymail.yu.edu wrote on 7/1/2007 10:33:44 AM :

Dear Commissioner Martin;

Docket 07-52, In the Matter of Broadband Industry Practices

Big telecom companies want to twist how the internet works. They want to set up priority service for a fee and second class service for the rest of us. s

Currently FCC rules require equality on the Internet. Delays, when they occur, affect all communication equally. When it is fast, everyone benefits.

Now the big telecom companies want to change the system so that they can charge for priority service.

This is unfair and unnecessary, and it would pander to corporate profit at public expense and will discourage Internet development in the public sector.

The FCC should keep the rules that have worked so well up till now.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Stewart

ab

07-52

FILED/ACCEPTED

chance.chancellor@furman.edu wrote on 6/13/2007 9:02:53 AM  
05-151

Federal Communications Commission  
The Secretary

Re: Docket 07-52, In the Matter of Broadband Industry Practices

The free and easy flow of ideas is one of the essential foundations for a free world. The internet has revolutionized the way in which we communicate and do business and has made a global economy possible.

However, the major telecoms such as AT&T and Verizon are seeking to fundamentally alter the foundation of the internet.

They are seeking the ability to add surcharges for viewing certain websites, falsely claiming that they need these revenues to cover the cost of providing services. In a time of record profits, they are seeking to squeeze even more out of their defenseless consumers.

Bellsouth's CEO has declared the "pipes" of the internet to be his and his intent to charge extra, despite the fact that they are subsidized by the Federal Government.

There have be instances of ISPs degrading customers performance for services such as Voice over IP in an effort to switch customers to the ISP's own service. ISPs now want to charge a third time for data traveling over the internet despite the fact that every bit of the bandwidth is already paid for twice. the the transmitter and the receiver.

I urge you to enact Net Neutrality provisions so that customers can continue to enjoy a free and open internet without artificial slowdowns and degradation of performance. ISP's should be forced to compete with services such as Vonage and Skype. Without these provisions they will be able to freely run these companies out of business, slowing technological

growth.

*Net Neutrality can promote competition and protect the consumer.*

Thank You,  
James Chancellor

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

krockers@charter.net wrote on 6/30/2007 7:52:49 PM :

Dear Commissioner Martin;

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Docket 07-52, In the Matter of Broadband Industry Practices

Big telecom companies want to twist how the internet works. They want to set up priority service for a fee and second class service for the rest of us. s

Currently FCC rules require equality on the Internet. Delays, when they occur, affect all communication equally. When it is fast, everyone benefits.

Now the big telecom companies want to change the system so that they can charge for priority service.

This is unfair and unnecessary, and it would pander to corporate profit at public expense and will discourage Internet development in the public sector.

The FCC should keep the rules that have worked so well up till now.

Sincerely,

Kay Rockers

ab

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

phatfil@yahoo.com wrote on 6/30/2007 7:48:58 PM :

Dear Commissioner Martin;

Docket 07-52, In the Matter of Broadband Industry Practices

Big telecom companies want to twist how the internet works. They want to set up priority service for a fee and second class service for the rest of us. s

Currently FCC rules require equality on the Internet. Delays, when they occur, affect all communication equally. When it is fast, everyone benefits.

Now the big telecom companies want to change the system so that they can charge for priority service.

This is unfair and unnecessary, and it would pander to corporate profit at public expense and will discourage Internet development in the public sector.

The FCC should keep the rules that have worked so well up till now.

Sincerely,

Phillip Smith

ab

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

WHawk39564@aol.com wrote on 6/30/2007 3:54:50 PM :

Dear Commissioner Martin;

Docket 07-52, In the Matter of Broadband Industry Practices

Big telecom companies want to twist how the internet works. They want to set up priority service for a fee and second class service for the rest of us. s

Currently FCC rules require equality on the Internet. Delays, when they occur, affect all communication equally. When it is fast, everyone benefits.

Now the big telecom companies want to change the system so that they can charge for priority service.

This is unfair and unnecessary, and it would pander to corporate profit at public expense and will discourage Internet development in the public sector.

The FCC should keep the rules that have worked so well up till now.

Sincerely,

Bill Hawkins

ab

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

bk@artworkshopintl.com wrote on 6/30/2007 2:26:11 PM :

Dear Commissioner Martin;

Docket 07-52, In the Matter of Broadband Industry Practices

Big telecom companies want to twist how the internet works. They want to set up priority service for a fee and second class service for the rest of us, s

Currently FCC rules require equality on the Internet. Delays, when they occur, affect all communication equally. When it is fast, everyone benefits.

Now the big telecom companies want to change the system so that they can charge for priority service.

This is unfair and unnecessary, and it would pander to corporate profit at public expense and will discourage Internet development in the public sector.

The FCC should keep the rules that have worked so well up till now.

Sincerely,

Bea Kreloff  
463 West ST. 1028h  
New York, NY 10014  
(212)-691-1159

ab

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

beth@easi.cc wrote on 6/30/2007 1:29:25 PM :

Dear Commissioner Martin;

Docket 07-52, In the Matter of Broadband Industry Practices

Big telecom companies want to twist how the internet works. They want to set up priority service for a fee and second class service for the rest of us. s

Currently FCC rules require equality on the Internet. Delays, when they occur, affect all communication equally. When it is fast, everyone benefits.

Now the big telecom companies want to change the system so that they can charge for priority service.

This is unfair and unnecessary, and it would pander to corporate profit at public expense and will discourage Internet development in the public sector.

The FCC should keep the rules that have worked so well up till now.

Sincerely,

Beth Coombs  
22132 Caminito Tecate  
Laguna Hills, CA 92653

ab

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

CIMS00000453934 - Re: Docket 07-52, In the Matter of Broadband Industry Practices

grtoolman@yahoo.com wrote on 6/29/2007 5:16:44 PM :

I strongly urge the FCC to protect net neutrality. We need an open internet as it enables the average citizen to connect with others, gather information needed for a quality of life (i.e. medical and financial info, etc.), and understand what is going on in our country and world without discrimination.. Limiting access to the internet would greatly impede free speech and equal opportunity.

Please ensure that broadband providers do not block, interfere with or discriminate against any lawful internet traffic and support net neutrality. If you don't our economy, culture and democracy can be ruined.

Thank you,

Gary Hauser  
3130 Rhettt Court  
Charlotte, NC 28273

ab

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

*tncat@comcast.net wrote on 6/28/2007 7:21:04 PM :*

Dear Commissioner Martin;

Docket 07-52, In the Matter of Broadband Industry Practices

Big telecom companies want to twist how the internet works. They want to set up priority service for a fee and second class service for the rest of us. s

Currently FCC rules require equality on the Internet. Delays, when they occur, affect all communication equally. When it is fast, everyone benefits.

Now the big telecom companies want to change the system so that they can charge for priority service.

This is unfair and unnecessary, and it would pander to corporate profit at public expense and will discourage Internet development in the public sector.

The FCC should keep the rules that have worked so well up till now.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Witt  
9999999999

ab

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

*DRAGNFLY2@webtv.net wrote on 6/29/2007 1:23:04 AM :*

Dear Commissioner Martin;

Docket 07-52, In the Matter of Broadband Industry Practices

Big telecom companies want to twist how the internet works. They want to set up priority service for a fee and second class service for the rest of us. s

Currently FCC rules require equality on the Internet. Delays, when they occur, affect all communication equally. When it is fast, everyone benefits.

Now the big telecom companies want to change the system so that they can charge for priority service.

This is unfair and unnecessary, and it would pander to corporate profit at public expense and will discourage Internet development in the public sector.

The FCC should keep the rules that have worked so well up till now.

Sincerely,

Gerj Pistorius

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

solidarity@toast.net wrote on 6/30/2007 5:02:12 AM :

Dear Commissioner Martin;

Docket 07-52, In the Matter of Broadband Industry Practices

Big telecom companies want to twist how the internet works. They want to set up priority service for a fee and second class service for the rest of us. s

Currently FCC rules require equality on the Internet. Delays, when they occur, affect all communication equally. When it is fast, everyone benefits.

Now the big telecom companies want to change the system so that they can charge for priority service.

This is unfair and unnecessary, and it would pander to corporate profit at public expense and will discourage Internet development in the public sector.

The FCC should keep the rules that have worked so well up till now.

Sincerely,

Trina Clemente  
Pueblo, CO

ab

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

**Docket # 07-52**

sharkey@pobox.com wrote on 7/3/2007 9:23:55 PM :

the air waves are publicly owned you have the right to use them for  
bargain prices use it responsibly  
To facilitate "open networks" -- and to maximize competition among  
providers -- at least half of  
the auctioned airwaves should be licensed on an "open access" basis.  
This means the auction  
winner would be less of a gatekeeper than an administrator -- with any  
new competitor allowed  
to access to the airwaves for a fair market rate. By ushering  
competition into the marketplace,  
consumer-friendly practices like Net Neutrality and Carterfone  
principles would be promoted  
and reinforced by market forces -- customers would be able to leave  
companies that didn't abide  
by them for companies that did.  
In the end, the FCC has a choice: Use the public airwaves for the public  
good, or turn them over  
to companies that will stifle competition and innovation. We, the  
undersigned, urge you to allow  
wireless Internet to achieve its full potential -- opening the door to  
affordable high-speed Internet  
for all, and bridging the digital divide..  
get it together

FILED/ACCEPTED

rfahrbach@admedia.tv wrote on 6/13/2007 2:48:56 PM :  
05-151

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Re: Docket 07-52, In the Matter of Broadband Industry Practices

I strongly urge the Federal Communications Commission to act swiftly to protect "net neutrality" - the longstanding principle that prevents discrimination on the Internet.

The Internet is our most democratic medium. It has grown exponentially, fueled innovation and altered how we communicate. But this revolution would not have happened if we hadn't had net neutrality protections in place.

Internet service providers (i.e., telephone and cable companies) have stated numerous times that they now intend to change the way the Internet operates -- from an open and free-flowing medium into a closed system where only the websites and services that could afford to pay hefty fees would continue to operate as they always had. Everyone else (nonprofits, small businesses, bloggers, artists, political candidates, etc.) might find their websites more difficult to find or use. That would be a disaster for our economy, our culture and our democracy.

The Federal Communications Commission must act to protect consumers like me

from companies that would like to discriminate against certain types  
of  
content on the web.

I strongly urge you to support net neutrality principles that prohibit  
broadband providers from blocking, impeding or interfering with any  
lawful  
Internet traffic, or prioritizing any content or services.

Thank you.

Robert Fahrback

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission  
*Office of the Secretary*

05-151

jeffwwhalen@yahoo.com wrote on 6/11/2007 10:49:26 PM :

05-151

I support Internet Neutrality, and commercial free independent public radio and television. Also, non-corporation based public newspapers. The freedom of speech implies the freedom to see and hear what we want to hear and I do not want to hear from corporations nor see the commercials, anywhere.

Jeff W Whalen

ezummer@y-comm.com wrote on 6/13/2007 2:03:17 PM :

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

05-151

As an owner of a small non-profit website I strongly urge the Federal Communications Commission to act swiftly to protect "net neutrality" - the longstanding principle that prevents discrimination on the Internet.

The Internet is our most democratic medium. It has grown exponentially, fueled innovation and altered how we communicate. But this revolution would not have happened if we hadn't had net neutrality protections in place.

Internet service providers (i.e., telephone and cable companies) have stated numerous times that they now intend to change the way the Internet operates -- from an open and free-flowing medium into a closed system where only the websites and services that could afford to pay hefty fees would continue to operate as they always had. My site as well as all the other nonprofits, small businesses, bloggers, artists, political candidates, etc. might find our websites more difficult to find or use. That would be a disaster for our economy, our culture and our democracy.

The Federal Communications Commission must act to protect consumers like me from companies that would like to discriminate against certain types of content on the web.

I strongly urge you to support net neutrality principles that prohibit broadband providers from blocking, impeding or interfering with any lawful Internet traffic, or prioritizing any content or services.

Thank you.

Sincerely

Sophia Zummer

<http://faeriedustgallery.org/>

FILED/ACCEPTED

christine@floppywatch.com wrote on 6/11/2007 7:11:03 PM

NOV - 2 2007

FCC 05-151

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Mr. Chairman,

Because the FCC deadline for public comment on the issue of net neutrality expires on June 15, I hope I am sending this to the right person. If not, I apologize and respectfully request that you forward it to the proper contact.

As the FCC considers renewing the rules of net neutrality, I beg that you take into account how the internet became a reality, why it flourished, and what is ultimately at stake. The consequences for all internet users - from online businesses to my grandmother sending family pictures by email - is immense.

These pipes do not belong to the cable and telephone companies. "Their" pipes have been funded by taxpayers in the forms of gigantic government subsidies. The very idea that they could be granted despot-like control over access is enormously disturbing. Without the creativeness and collaboration of everyday users all over the country, many of the online innovations we take for granted today would not exist at all.

I am hoping, somehow, that the millions of dollars spent by telephone & cable lobbyists do not overshadow this basic fact: the internet was paid for and nurtured by end users. How can this commission even consider NOT renewing the rules of net neutrality as they currently stand?

Christine Helms

1143 Teal Drive

Red Bud, IL 62278

(618) 282-4821

dustyrains@gmail.com wrote on 6/13/2007 7:28:55 PM : 05-151

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

Ron Blood (dustyrains@gmail.com) writes:

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Mr Martin, I am researching the subject of Net Neutrality for a computer class. My research indicates that consumers are being poorly served by FCC policies that have created very limited choices in broadband providers for the vast majority of Americans. In Portland Oregon, I have two choices of terrestrial providers, Comcast and Qwest. I have also found that we in the US are paying up to twice as much as other countries such as Korea for speeds that are much, much lower, on average. Mr. Martin, I am very concerned that we are quickly losing our technological standing in the world. What plans does the FCC have to reverse this dangerous trend? My principal source of information for this comment comes from this report <http://www.freepress.net/docs/bbrc2-final.pdf>  
Thank you for your service and I hope to hear back from you. Ron Blood

---

Server protocol: HTTP/1.1  
Remote host: 192.104.54.5  
Remote IP address: 192.104.54.5

fitzie@cebridge.net wrote on 6/14/2007 5:53:59 PM :

05-151

Please put Net Neutrality rules back in place. Small businesses and nonprofit agencies would be hurt without them.

Thank you,  
Patsy Fitzgerald

MHa6445010@aol.com wrote on 6/30/2007 8:11:40 PM :

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Dear Commissioner Martin;

Docket 07-52, In the Matter of Broadband Industry Practices

Big telecom companies want to twist how the internet works. They want to set up priority service for a fee and second class service for the rest of us. s

Currently FCC rules require equality on the Internet. Delays, when they occur, affect all communication equally. When it is fast, everyone benefits.

Now the big telecom companies want to change the system so that they can charge for priority service.

This is unfair and unnecessary, and it would pander to corporate profit at public expense and will discourage Internet development in the public sector.

The FCC should keep the rules that have worked so well up till now.

Sincerely,

Malissa M. Haslam  
2598 Calte  
Sante Fe, NM 8705  
505 471 6979

tamarasnell@comcast.net wrote on 6/30/2007 8:48:26 AM :

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Tammy Snell  
6295 Butterworth Lane  
Corcoran, MN 55340-9406

June 30, 2007

Kevin J. Martin  
Chairman  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, S.W.  
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Kevin Martin:

I am writing in regards to the recent ruling by the Court of Appeals that now allows the television networks to use the F-word and S-word in front of children at any time of day without penalty. The majority of American's do not want this in their home. We cannot allow two judges in New York to make this decision against the will of the people. I am asking you to use your authority and influence to express your support for the FCC's continued enforcement of the broadcast decency laws and for the FCC to appeal this case to the U.S. Supreme Court. It is our duty (and yours) to protect the children of this country.

Thank you for doing everything you can to reverse this ruling.

Sincerely,

Tammy Snell  
763-478-9212

FILED/ACCEPTED

thanedoss@instructor.net wrote on 6/27/2007 8:54:16 PM :

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Dear Commissioner Martin;

Docket 07-52, In the Matter of Broadband Industry Practices

Big telecom companies want to twist how the internet works. They want to set up priority service for a fee and second class service for the rest of us. s

Currently FCC rules require equality on the Internet. Delays, when they occur, affect all communication equally. When it is fast, everyone benefits.

Now the big telecom companies want to change the system so that they can charge for priority service.

This is unfair and unnecessary, and it would pander to corporate profit at public expense and will discourage Internet development in the public sector.

The FCC should keep the rules that have worked so well up till now.

Sincerely,

Thane Doss

07-52

7/13/2007 6:09:01 PM - Email Acknowledgement sent to nick@vanburns.com.

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

nick@vanburns.com wrote on 7/13/2007 6:08:15 PM :

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street SW  
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Federal Communications Commission,

The FCC and Congress must act to assure the 700MHz spectrum auction will create an open network that gives us consumers:

1. The freedom to use whatever device we want on any network.
2. The freedom to choose among many providers in a competitive wholesale marketplace.
3. The freedom to access any content or services we want through our devices.

True open access standards must apply to the entire wireless market.

Net Neutrality must be assured for all.

Thank you --

Sincerely,

nick burns  
187.e dorchester dr  
salt lake city, UT 84103

07-52

7/13/2007 6:09:01 PM - Email Acknowledgement sent to nick@vanburns.com.

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

nick@vanburns.com wrote on 7/13/2007 6:08:15 PM :

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street SW  
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Federal Communications Commission,

The FCC and Congress must act to assure the 700MHz spectrum auction will create an open network that gives us consumers:

1. The freedom to use whatever device we want on any network.
2. The freedom to choose among many providers in a competitive wholesale marketplace.
3. The freedom to access any content or services we want through our devices.

True open access standards must apply to the entire wireless market.

Net Neutrality must be assured for all.

Thank you --

Sincerely,

nick burns  
187 e dorchester dr  
salt lake city, UT 84103