



Sprint Nextel
2001 Edmund Halley Drive
Reston, VA 20191
Office: (703) 433-4143
Fax: (703) 433-4142

Laura Holloway Carter
Vice President
Government Affairs-Federal Regulatory
laura.carter@sprint.com

November 29, 2007

Via Electronic Submission

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W., Room TW-A325
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Notice of Ex Parte Communications
Skype Communications Petition, RM 11361; CTIA Petition for Declaratory Ruling, WT 05-194; and Intercarrier Roaming, WT Docket No. 05-265

Dear Ms. Dortch:

This letter is to inform you that on November 28, 2007, Sprint Nextel Corporation (“Sprint Nextel”), through its representatives, Laura H. Carter and Charles W. McKee met with Wayne Leighton, Legal Advisor to Commissioner Deborah Tate, to discuss various issues arising in the above referenced dockets.

Sprint Nextel discussed its announced plans to begin offering prorated early termination fees and modification of its contract extension policies, noting that these changes are a further demonstration that the competitive wireless market continues to produce positive results for consumers. Consistent with its previous filings, Sprint Nextel also emphasized the need for a uniform federal policy for wireless carriers.

Sprint Nextel also discussed its announced participation in the Open Handset Alliance (“OHA”). Once again, Sprint Nextel noted that efforts such as the OHA are evidence of the success of the competitive market and demonstrate that regulation in this area is not necessary. Sprint Nextel continues to seek the dismissal of the Skype Petition for Declaratory Ruling as inappropriate in the highly competitive wireless market.

Finally, Sprint Nextel argued consistent with its Petition for Reconsideration in the Intercarrier Roaming docket that the FCC should modify its ruling regarding in-market roaming. If Sprint Nextel is required to offer roaming services to other carriers as a common carrier service, it is inappropriate for the Commission to exempt other carriers from offering roaming services to Sprint Nextel. Roaming either is or is not a common carrier service subject to 201 and 202 of the Communications Act, and the FCC cannot conclude – outside a forbearance proceeding – that a common carrier service is outside the scope of Title II regulations.

Pursuant to Section 1.1206 of the Commission's rules, this letter is being electronically filed with your office. Please let me know if you have any questions regarding this filing.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Laura H. Carter

Laura H. Carter
Vice President, Government Affairs
Sprint Nextel Corporation

cc: Wayne Leighton