



December 18, 2007

**ERRATUM**

Ms. Marlene Dortch  
Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW, Room TWA325  
Washington, DC 20554

**Re: ERRATUM:** *In the Matter of: Telephone Number Requirements for IP-Enabled Services Providers WC Docket No. 07-243; Local Number Portability Porting Interval and Validation Requirements WC Docket No. 07-24; IP-Enabled Services WC Docket No. 04-36; Telephone Number Portability CC Docket No. 95-116; Numbering Resource Optimization CC Docket No. 99-200; CTIA Petitions for Declaratory Ruling on Wireline-Wireless Porting Issues; Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

Dear Ms. Dortch:

When originally filed, the ex parte letter submitted in the above proceedings by the United States Telecom Association on December 18, 2007, incorrectly referred to Hank Hulquist from at&t as representing Verizon and Mark Montana as Mark Montagna. Attached is the corrected ex parte letter.

Respectfully submitted,

Glenn Reynolds  
Vice President, Policy

cc: Ian Dillner



December 18, 2007

**EX PARTE PRESENTATION**

Ms. Marlene Dortch  
Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW, Room TWA325  
Washington, DC 20554

**Re:** *In the Matter of: Telephone Number Requirements for IP-Enabled Services Providers WC Docket No. 07-243; Local Number Portability Porting Interval and Validation Requirements WC Docket No. 07-24; IP-Enabled Services WC Docket No. 04-36; Telephone Number Portability CC Docket No. 95-116; Numbering Resource Optimization CC Docket No. 99-200; CTIA Petitions for Declaratory Ruling on Wireline-Wireless Porting Issues; Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

Dear Ms. Dortch:

This is to inform you that on December 17, 2007, USTelecom met with Ian Dillner, Legal Advisor to Chairman Martin, in connection with the proceedings identified above. Participating in this meeting were Hank Hulquist of AT&T; Beth Abesamis, Ann Berkowitz and Mark Montana of Verizon; and Glenn Reynolds of USTelecom. The purpose of this meeting was to discuss procedural and substantive concerns of USTelecom's member companies with respect to the Commission's Order of October 31, 2007 establishing certain obligations in connection with telephone number porting. In particular, USTelecom explained that the Order violated the Administrative Procedures Act; would likely lead to increased numbers of erroneous ports; and could not be implemented in the 90 days established by the Order without the companies violating other regulatory obligations.

Pursuant to Section 1.1206(b) of the Commission's rules, one copy of this electronic notice is being filed in the above-referenced dockets. Please call me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Glenn Reynolds  
Vice President, Policy

cc: Ian Dillner