

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL
FILED/ACCEPTED

FEB 27 2008

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)	EB Docket No. 07-197
)	
Kurtis J. Kintzel, Keanan Kintzel, and all)	File No. EB-06-IH-5037
Entities by which they do business before the)	
Federal Communications Commission)	FRN: 0007179054
)	
Resellers of Telecommunications Services)	NAL/Acct. No. 200732080029

To: Richard L. Sippel
Chief Administrative Law Judge

ENFORCEMENT BUREAU'S CONSENT MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO COMPEL ANSWERS TO DEFENDANTS' FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES AND MOTION FOR REMEDY FOR ENFORCEMENT BUREAU'S FAILURE TO SUBMIT INTERROGATORY RESPONSES UNDER OATH

1. On February 26, 2008, Kurtis J. Kintzel, Keanan Kintzel, and all entities by which they do business (collectively, the "Kintzels"), filed a pleading entitled, "Motion to Compel Answers to Defendants' First Set of Interrogatories, and Motion for Remedy for Enforcement Bureau's Failure to Submit Interrogatory Responses Under Oath ("Motion to Compel"). The Enforcement Bureau hereby requests that the Presiding Judge grant the Bureau a one-week extension of time in which to file an opposition to the Motion. The Defendants have consented to such an extension of time.

2. Pursuant to Section 1.294(b), the Bureau only has four days in which to respond to the Motion. 47 C.F.R. § 1.294(b), which would make the Bureau's opposition due on Monday, March 3, 2008.

No. of Copies rec'd 0+G
Limit ABCDE

3. The twelve-page Motion to Compel is not a *pro forma* motion. The Bureau reasonably believes that it will need more than four days in which to craft and finalize an opposition to the arguments raised in that motion. Thus, the Bureau seeks an extension of one week of the time in which it must respond to the Motion to Compel, which would make the Bureau's Opposition to the Motion to Compel due on March 10, 2008.

4. For the foregoing reasons, the Bureau respectfully requests that the Presiding Judge grant this Consent Motion and permit the Bureau to file its opposition to the Motion to Compel on or before March 10, 2008.

Respectfully submitted,
Kris Anne Monteith
Chief, Enforcement Bureau

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Michele Levy Berlove". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Michele Levy Berlove
Attorney, Investigations and Hearings Division

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W., Room 4-C330
Washington, D.C. 20554
(202) 418-1420

February 27, 2008

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Rebecca Lockhart, a Paralegal Specialist in the Enforcement Bureau's Investigations and Hearings Division, certifies that she has, on this 27th day of February, 2008, sent by first class United States mail copies of the foregoing **Enforcement Bureau's Consent Motion For Extension of Time to File Opposition to Motion to Compel Answers to Defendants' First Set of Interrogatories and Motion for Remedy for Enforcement Bureau's Failure to Submit Interrogatory Responses Under Oath** to:

Catherine Park, Esq.
2300 M Street, NW, Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20037

Counsel for Kurtis J. Kintzel, Keanan Kintzel, Business Options, Inc.,
Buzz Telecom Corporation, US Bell, Inc., Link Technologies and
Avatar Enterprises

A copy of the foregoing was also served via hand-delivery to:

Administrative Law Judge Richard L. Sippel
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W., Room 1-C861
Washington, D.C. 20054



Rebecca Lockhart