

Atlanta
Beijing
Brussels
Chicago
Frankfurt
Hong Kong
London
Los Angeles
Milan
New York
Orange County
Palo Alto
Paris
San Diego
San Francisco
Shanghai
Tokyo
Washington, DC

(202) 551-1729
larrysidman@paulhastings.com

March 12, 2008

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Notice of Ex Parte Presentation in MB Docket No. 07-57

Dear Mrs. Dortch:

On Tuesday, March 11, 2008, Jessica Marventano, Senior Vice President of Government Relations for Clear Channel Communications, Inc. (“Clear Channel”) and Lawrence R. Sidman of Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker LLP, met with Michelle Carey, Senior Legal Advisor on Media Issues to Chairman Martin, to discuss Clear Channel’s concerns regarding the proposed XM-Sirius merger. Clear Channel elaborated upon its Comments filed in this proceeding on July 9, 2007 and August 13, 2007.

Specifically, Clear Channel maintained that the proposed merger was not in the public interest, and therefore, the Commission should not grant the license transfer application. Clear Channel stressed that the elimination of intramodal competition that is the signature of the proposed transaction was contrary to the entire policy thrust of law and regulation in the communications sector for more than two decades, and specifically, violated the rules for SDARS licensing established by the Commission. Clear Channel depicted some of the harms that would befall consumers and free, over-the-air terrestrial broadcasting were the license transfer application to be approved. Clear Channel emphasized the enormous amount of spectrum that would be concentrated in the control of one essentially unregulated entity were the transaction to proceed as proposed, creating a genuine threat to the economic framework of terrestrial broadcast radio. Clear Channel distributed at the meeting a three-page summary of key points appended as Attachment 1 to this *ex parte* filing.

Additionally, Clear Channel distributed excerpts of previous FCC decisions appended as Attachment 2 which Clear Channel deemed relevant to the Commission’s deliberations regarding the XM-Sirius merger.

Marlene H. Dortch
March 12, 2008
Page 2

Notwithstanding these arguments against approval of the license transfer, in the event the Commission were inclined to approve the license transfer, Clear Channel presented a set of conditions that could be imposed to mitigate some of the harms to the public interest likely to flow from approval. Those conditions are set forth in Attachment 3 to this *ex parte* notice.

In accordance with Section 1.1206 of the Commission's Rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1206, one electronic copy of this letter together with the attached memorandum are being filed in the above-referenced docket. Please direct any inquiries concerning this matter to the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Lawrence R. Sidman".

Lawrence R. Sidman
of PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP

Counsel to Clear Channel Communications, Inc.

LRS/rel

cc: Michelle Carey, Senior Legal Advisor