In regards to MB Docket No. 04-233 I'd like to state that given the diversity of available radio stations and television stations ... not to mention the various methods of delivery of these stations be via the air waves or cable or satellite link there is no need to mess with the current status. Implementation of these rules would radically undermine the financial stability of some of these stations - by forcing them to man/staff every station/translator or booster station (28-29) many national broadcasts would no longer be able to afford to broadcast in all their current areas … taking programming off the air that serves the needs to the local area losing that broadcast. Many of the nationally broadcast shows serve the needs universal to individuals in all local environments – an example could be political talk show hosts covering topics of national importance with impact to me as an individual; another example could be Christian radio covering news important to Christians everywhere because of the impact to each individual.

Additionally, the requirement to have an Advisory Board (26) sounds like a good idea … however, the question remains on how much power an advisory board has. If, let say, the advisory board of a Christian radio station had members that wanted to promote time for alternate view points – is the radio station required to adopt those suggestion and should those member file a complaint with the FCC because their format changes were not adopted would that radio station find itself battling for license renewal. Additionally, if a talk radio station that had predominately conservative commentators, had a board that wanted equal time for the opposing liberal view point and that time was denied – not the candidates themselves, but other commentators – would that station find itself fighting to keep its license renewed. Basically, can these advisory boards hold up the renewal of a station merely because they didn't like the format of the station to begin with? To put it another way – could these boards have there intention be corrupted by individuals with a grudge against the radio station they are advising. My opinion is that this type of potential corruption shouldn't be acceptable. Advisory boards are just that – advisory – which means they should be ignored when their recommendations are in any way contrary to the content

style of the radio station or when the adoption of their recommendations are beyond a reasonable accommodation (outside the content style changes). In many ways this could be turned into was is referred to as the Fairness Doctrine – which is anything but fair – and that doctrine was determined to be unnecessary by the FCC because of the sheer volume of media content / styles / forums.

Renewal Application Processing Guidelines (124) seems to be an additional avenue for restriction of content style. If a local need is to support something contrary to the guiding principles of the radio station – say a radio fundraiser for the local abortion clinic could be considered a local need, but holding it on a Christian radio station would be contrary to the guiding principles of that station. People with pet causes could make complaints based on a lack of coverage on their cause when having a particular station fail to cover that cause could be in its best interest.

Finally, I read in this document somewhere regarding the ability to file complaints or motions to deny renewal of a license. Can this be also used by individuals who want to encourage the renewal of a license because they are happy with the station? Can those compliments be weighed against the complaints?