

Comcast's blatant and deceptive blocking of peer-to-peer communications is exactly the problem millions of Americans have warned would occur without Net Neutrality protections.

The FCC must take serious and immediate action to put an abrupt end to this harmful practice and prevent other Internet service providers from following Comcast's example of discriminating against the free flow of online information.

The Internet is a vital engine for economic growth, civic participation and free speech. We simply can't allow corporate gatekeepers to smother these democratic communications by discriminating against new technologies, secretly interfering with Internet traffic and stifling innovations.

Dear Sirs,

It is clear through comcast's actions at the hearing, by filling in seats with paid "dummies" as to prevent access for concerned individuals,(who would contribute real input on this situation) that comcast has no problem or hesitations about actively blocking freedoms of american citizens.

Comcast is enabling these barriers on services that they call unlimited high speed connections. First if they limit the amount of bandwidth a certain program or even website is allowed to use, or be accessed with, it is a clearly not an unlimited service. Not allowing someone to use a service on the world wide web, when they pay for such a service, is like selling copies of the constitution that are missing passages because they wanted to save money on paper and printing. Just because they want their profits to go up and dont want to upgrade a stressed network does not give them the right to limit bandwidth to certain facets of the web. through the integration of communication services and devices in todays world we have become use to the freedom of speech, texting, sharing, downloading, browsing. It is a freedom that must be preserved and maintained for the future. These freedoms allow for a world community to share and contribut to eachothers achievements, research, culture, b
eliefs, and ever so much more.

Allowing a company to slow web pages or other services is creating a virtual monopoly in some cases. Say comcast doesnt like "little joe's coffee shack", however comcast loves starbucks, so comcast makes access to starbucks web page a breeze and sail fast, however "joes coffe shack"'s page will be stuttering and clumsy with frequent disconnect due to service, the ability to do this would make it so comcast or any provider can effectively force or at very least strongly coerse people to specific products or services that they have an interest in. This interest could be sparked by money, political views, and beliefs. To prevent users access to services base on the companies beliefs or political views would be not only unethical, but downright unconstitutional. Just think comcast, or any

company, could just as easily to the same thing to politicians home pages to prevent supporters from viewing or contributing to that politicians causes. I know personally i have bought and contributed to my politicians causes through their websites as it is the easiest method in todays world. These are extreme examples, but were they to happen it would be a tragedy to democracy.

Broadband should be just as it sounds, access to the width of the internet from its virtual shores to its virtual mountains. Users should be able to take any trail they want to access their land of opportunity and equality.

I sincerely hope that actions can be taken today to promote the general welfare and secure the blessings of the internet to ourselves and our posterity.

Gary Burget-