

After reading Commissioner Mike Copps comments I have my own to share.

He states

“These stations have their programming piped in from another community. They have also signed up for a service for their weather reporting which is recorded and sent from a thousand miles away. There were flood warnings and flash flood warnings, yet there was never a mention of that severe weather during the peak of the storms. Nobody even works in those buildings, they have an engineer come in to take meter readings and check on things a couple times per day.

“If this is the type of localism we are to expect, then the public interest is not being served by these companies.

“They can raise all the money they want for local charities and air numerous local public service announcements, but if the local citizens are not even warned about looming severe weather, what good is it?”

My reply to his comments is that without the present centralized studio and multi station broadcasting like I enjoy on KLOVE I would not

have access to the type of programming that I enjoy and very much want as it would cost entirely too much

for each station to be staffed at all times.

Also the "local" control of a committee would most likely destroy the rights to many types of programming that I presently enjoy.

This is a bad rule making suggestion under the guise of making everything more local. We have local news and info stations, not every one has to be "local", give us the choice of what we want to hear.

Lee Fundenberger