

17. Inter-Regional Dispute Resolution Agreement

17.1. Region 18

Page 1 of 4

*Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures
and
Procedures for Resolution of Disputes
That May Arise Under FCC Approved Plans*

I. Coordination Procedures

I. INTRODUCTION

1. This is a mutually agreed upon Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures Agreement (Agreement) by and between the following 700 MHz Regional Planning Committees, Region 51 and Region 18 .

II. INTER-REGIONAL COORDINATION AGREEMENT

2. The following is the specific procedure for inter-regional coordination which has been agreed upon by Region 51 and Region 18 and which will be used by the Regions to coordinate with adjacent Regional Planning Committees.

a. An application filing window is opened or the Region announces that it is prepared to begin accepting applications on a first-come/first-served basis.

b. Applications by eligible entities are accepted.

c. An application filing window (if this procedure is being used) is closed after appropriate time interval.

d. Intra-regional review and coordination takes place, including a technical review resulting in assignment of channels.

e. After intra-regional review, a copy of those frequency-specific applications requiring adjacent Region approval, including a definition statement of proposed service area, shall then be forwarded to the adjacent Region(s) for review.¹ This information will be sent to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s) using the CAPRAD database.

¹ If an applicant's proposed service area or [interference contour](#) extends into an adjacent Public Safety Region(s), the application must be approved by the affected Region(s). Service area shall normally be defined as the area included within the geographical boundary of the applicant, plus three (3) miles. [Interference contour shall normally be defined as a 5 dBU co-channel contour or a 60 dBU adjacent channel](#)

f. The adjacent Region reviews the application. If the application is approved, a letter of concurrence shall be sent, via the CAPRAD database, to the initiating Regional chairperson within thirty (30) calendar days.

II. Dispute Resolution

(1) If the adjacent Region(s) cannot approve the request, the adjacent Region shall document the reasons for partial or non-concurrence, and respond within 10 (Ten) calendar days via email. If the applying Region cannot modify the application to satisfy the objections of the adjacent Region then, a working group comprised of representatives of the two Regions shall be convened within thirty (30) calendar days to attempt to resolve the dispute. The working group shall then report its findings within thirty (30) calendar days to the Regional chairpersons email (CAPRAD database). Findings may include, but not be limited to:

- (i) Unconditional concurrence;
- (ii) conditional concurrence contingent upon modification of applicant's technical parameters; or
- (iii) partial or total denial of proposed frequencies due to inability to meet co-channel/adjacent channel interference free protection to existing licensees within the adjacent Region.

(2) If the Inter-Regional Working Group cannot resolve the dispute, then the matter shall be forwarded for evaluation to the National Plan Oversight Committee (NPOC)², of the National Public Safety Telecommunications Council. Each Region involved in the dispute shall include a detailed explanation of its position, including

² [contour](#). Other definitions of service area or [interference](#) shall be justified with an accompanying *Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)* or other application documentation between agencies, i.e. mutual aid agreements.

engineering studies and any other technical information deemed relevant. The NPOC will, within thirty (30) calendar days, report its recommendation(s) to the Regional chairpersons via the CAPRAD database. The NPOC's decision may support either of the disputing Regions or it may develop a proposal that it deems mutually advantageous to each disputing Region.

g. Where adjacent Region concurrence has been secured, and the channel assignments would result in no change to the Region's currently Commission approved channel assignment matrix. The initiating Region may then advise the applicant(s) that their application may be forwarded to a frequency coordinator for processing and filing with the Commission.

h. Where adjacent Region concurrence has been secured, and the channel assignments would result in a change to the Region's currently Commission approved channel assignment matrix, then the initiating Region shall file with the Commission a *Petition to Amend* their current Regional plan's frequency matrix, reflecting the new channel assignments, with a copy of the *Petition* sent to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s).

i. Upon Commission issuance of an *Order* adopting the amended channel assignment matrix, the initiating Regional chairperson will send a courtesy copy of the *Order* to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s) and may then advise the applicant(s) that they may forward their applications to the frequency coordinator for processing and filing with the Commission.



III. CONCLUSION

3. IN AGREEMENT HERETO, Region 51 and Region 18 do hereunto set their signatures the day and year first above written.

² The Regional Plan Oversight Committee (RPOC) is a committee within the National Public Safety Telecommunications Council (NPSTC) established to arbitrate disputes between 700 MHz Regions that cannot be resolved by the impacted Regions.

Respectfully,

[all signatories to agreement]



Date: _____

Regional Chairman

Louisiana

William R. Vincent, Sr. Chairman
Region 18, 700 MHz Public Safety Planning Committee
Louisiana Public Safety Planning Advisory Committee
P.O. Box 82236
Lafayette, Louisiana 70598
PH: (337) 291-5060
FAX: (337) 291-5080
Email: lftoc@att.net

Regional Chairman

Texas- East

Ron Gillory
Houston Police Department
Communications Management Division
61 Reisner Street
Houston, TX 77002
PH: 713-247-5744
FX: 713-247-4368
Email: sezron@hic.net

17.2. Region 40

***Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures
and
Procedures for Resolution of Disputes
That May Arise Under FCC Approved Plans***

I. Coordination Procedures

I. INTRODUCTION

1. This is a mutually agreed upon Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures Agreement (Agreement) by and between the following 700 MHz Regional Planning Committees, Region 51 and Region 40 .

II. INTER-REGIONAL COORDINATION AGREEMENT

2. The following is the specific procedure for inter-regional coordination which has been agreed upon by Region 51 and Region 40, and which will be used by the Regions to coordinate with adjacent Regional Planning Committees.

- a. An application filing window is opened or the Region announces that it is prepared to begin accepting applications on a first-come/first-served basis.
- b. Applications by eligible entities are accepted.
- c. An application filing window (if this procedure is being used) is closed after appropriate time interval.
- d. Intra-regional review and coordination takes place, including a technical review resulting in assignment of channels.
- e. After intra-regional review, a copy of those frequency-specific applications requiring adjacent Region approval, including a definition statement of proposed service area, shall then be forwarded to the adjacent Region(s) for review.¹ This information will be sent to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s) using the CAPRAD database.

¹ If an applicant's proposed service area [or interference contour](#) extends into an adjacent Public Safety Region(s), the application must be approved by the affected Region(s). Service area shall normally be defined as the area included within the geographical boundary of the applicant, plus three (3) miles. [Interference contour shall normally be defined as a 5 dBu co-channel contour or a 60 dBu adjacent channel](#)

f. The adjacent Region reviews the application. If the application is approved, a letter of concurrence shall be sent, via the CAPRAD database, to the initiating Regional chairperson within thirty (30) calendar days.

II. Dispute Resolution

(1) If the adjacent Region(s) cannot approve the request, the adjacent Region shall document the reasons for partial or non-concurrence, and respond within 10 (Ten) calendar days via email. If the applying Region cannot modify the application to satisfy the objections of the adjacent Region then, a working group comprised of representatives of the two Regions shall be convened within thirty (30) calendar days to attempt to resolve the dispute. The working group shall then report its findings within thirty (30) calendar days to the Regional chairpersons email (CAPRAD database). Findings may include, but not be limited to:

- (i) Unconditional concurrence;
- (ii) conditional concurrence contingent upon modification of applicant's technical parameters; or
- (iii) partial or total denial of proposed frequencies due to inability to meet co-channel/adjacent channel interference free protection to existing licensees within the adjacent Region.

(2) If the Inter-Regional Working Group cannot resolve the dispute, then the matter shall be forwarded for evaluation to the National Plan Oversight Committee (NPOC)², of the National Public Safety Telecommunications Council. Each Region involved in the dispute shall include a detailed explanation of its position, including

² contour. Other definitions of service area or interference shall be justified with an accompanying *Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)* or other application documentation between agencies, i.e. mutual aid agreements.

engineering studies and any other technical information deemed relevant. The NPOC will, within thirty (30) calendar days, report its recommendation(s) to the Regional chairpersons via the CAPRAD database. The NPOC's decision may support either of the disputing Regions or it may develop a proposal that it deems mutually advantageous to each disputing Region.

g. Where adjacent Region concurrence has been secured, and the channel assignments would result in no change to the Region's currently Commission approved channel assignment matrix. The initiating Region may then advise the applicant(s) that their application may be forwarded to a frequency coordinator for processing and filing with the Commission.

h. Where adjacent Region concurrence has been secured, and the channel assignments would result in a change to the Region's currently Commission approved channel assignment matrix, then the initiating Region shall file with the Commission a *Petition to Amend* their current Regional plan's frequency matrix, reflecting the new channel assignments, with a copy of the *Petition* sent to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s).

i. Upon Commission issuance of an *Order* adopting the amended channel assignment matrix, the initiating Regional chairperson will send a courtesy copy of the *Order* to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s) and may then advise the applicant(s) that they may forward their applications to the frequency coordinator for processing and filing with the Commission.


III. CONCLUSION

3. IN AGREEMENT HERETO, Region 51 and Region 40 do hereunto set their signatures the day and year first above written.

² The Regional Plan Oversight Committee (RPOC) is a committee within the National Public Safety Telecommunications Council (NPSTC) established to arbitrate disputes between 700 MHz Regions that cannot be resolved by the impacted Regions.

Respectfully,

[all signatories to agreement]





CHAIR - REGION 40

Date: 9-13-06

**Regional Chairman
Texas (Central and Northeast)**
J. Daniel Scrivner
Manager of Communications
Department of Communications and Information Services
City of Dallas
3131 Dawson St.
Dallas, TX 75226
P: 214-670-7995
F: 214-670-7984
Email: j.scrivner@dallascityhall.com

**Regional Chairman
Texas- East**
Ron Gillory
Houston Police Department
Communications Management Division
61 Reisner Street
Houston, TX 77002
PH: 713-247-5744
FX: 713-247-4368
Email: sezron@hic.net