

Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20554

In the Matter of)	
)	
2006 Quadrennial Regulatory Review --)	MB Docket No. 06-121
Review of the Commission's Broadcast)	
Ownership Rules and Other Rules Adopted)	
Pursuant to Section 202 of the)	
Telecommunications Act of 1996)	
)	
2002 Biennial Regulatory Review --Review)	MB Docket No. 02-277
Of the Commission's Broadcast Ownership)	
Rules and Other Rules Adopted Pursuant to)	
Section 202 of the Telecommunications Act)	
Of 1996)	
)	
Cross-Ownership of Broadcast Stations and)	MB Docket No. 01-235
Newspapers)	
)	
Rules and Policies Concerning Multiple)	MB Docket No. 01-317
Ownership of Radio Broadcast Stations in)	
Local Markets)	
)	
Definition of Radio Markets)	MB Docket No. 00-244
)	
Ways to Further Section 257 Mandate to)	MB Docket No. 04-228
Build on Earlier Studies)	
)	
Public Interest Obligations of TV Broadcast)	MB Docket No. 99-360
Licenses)	

OPPOSITION TO PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION

CBS Corporation and CBS Broadcasting Inc. ("CBS") hereby oppose the Petition for Reconsideration of the FCC's order in the above-captioned proceeding ("2008 Order"),¹ filed on March 24, 2008 by Common Cause, Benton Foundation, Consumers


¹ 2006 *Quadrennial Regulatory Review, Report & Order and Order on Reconsideration*, FCC 07-216 (rel. Feb. 4, 2008)

Action, Massachusetts Consumers' Coalition, NYC Wireless, James J. Elekes, and National Hispanic Media Coalition ("Petitioners").

The Commission should deny the Petition. CBS has submitted hundreds upon hundreds of pages of comment, facts, and studies in this proceeding, all with the goal of demonstrating that the FCC's broadcast ownership scheme is woefully and perilously out of sync with the realities of today's media marketplace. To that end, we have urged the Commission to deregulate all of its media ownership rules.² Doing so would enable both radio and television broadcasters to continue providing the highest quality news, sports and entertainment programming ---over the air, for free, to virtually every household in America.

For reasons set forth in our comments in this proceeding, as well those provided in the separate Oppositions of the NAB, Clear Channel, and others, CBS respectfully requests that the Commission deny the petition.

Respectfully submitted,



Anne Lucey
Senior Vice President, Regulatory Policy
CBS Corporation
601 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Suite 540
Washington, DC 20004

May 6, 2008


² CBS notes that it is seeking judicial review of the 2008 Order and that it submits this Opposition for the limited purpose of responding to Petitioners' requests for further regulation on reconsideration and *not* to seek reconsideration itself before the FCC.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the Opposition filed by CBS Corporation and CBS Broadcasting Inc. has been served by first-class mail, this 6th of May, 2008, on the following persons at the address shown below:

Angela J. Campbell, Esq.
Jessica J. Gonazalez, Esq.
Coriell Wright, Esq.
Institute for Public Representation
Georgetown University Law Center
600 New Jersey Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20001

*Counsel for Common Cause, Benton
Foundation, Consumers Action,
Massachusetts Consumers' Coalition,
NYC Wireless, James J. Elekes, and
National Hispanic Media Coalition*



Amanda Plott