

designated by the Commission or ETC applicants that submitted applications prior to the effective date of the *ETC Designation Order* must make such showings in their annual certification filings.¹²

6. In addition, prior to designating an ETC pursuant to section 214(e)(6) of the Act, the Commission determines whether such designation is in the public interest.¹³ In the *ETC Designation Order*, the Commission adopted one set of criteria for evaluating the public interest for ETC designations for both rural and non-rural areas.¹⁴ Specifically, in determining the public interest, the benefits of increased consumer choice and the unique advantages and disadvantages of the applicant's service offering are considered.¹⁵ As the Commission noted in the *ETC Designation Order*, however, the same factors may be analyzed differently or may warrant a different outcome depending on the specifics of the proposed service area and whether it is rural or non-rural.¹⁶ In particular, the creamskimming analysis is limited to designations in rural service areas.¹⁷ Thus, when an ETC applicant seeks designation below the study area level of a rural telephone company, the Commission conducts a creamskimming analysis to compare the population density of the wire centers in which the ETC applicant seeks designation against that of the wire centers in the study area in which the ETC applicant does not seek designation.¹⁸

C. Requirements for Redefining Rural Telephone Company Service Areas

7. To designate ETCs in service areas that differ from the affected rural telephone companies' study areas, we must redefine the service areas of the rural telephone companies in accordance with section 214(e)(5) of the Act.¹⁹ Under section 214(e)(5), "[i]n the case of an area served by a rural telephone company, 'service area' means such company's 'study area' unless and until the Commission and the States, after taking into account recommendations of a Federal-State Joint Board instituted under section 410(c), establish a different definition of service area for such company."²⁰ Under section 54.207(d)(1) of the Commission's rules, the Commission must petition a state commission with the proposed definition according to that state commission's procedures.²¹ In that petition, the Commission must provide its proposal for redefining the service area and its decision presenting reasons for adopting the new definition, including an analysis that takes into account the recommendations of the Joint Board.²² When the Joint Board recommended that the Commission retain the current study areas of

¹² 47 C.F.R. § 54.202(b).

¹³ 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(6); 47 C.F.R. § 54.202(c); *see also ETC Designation Order*, 20 FCC Rcd at 6388-96, paras. 40-57; *Virginia Cellular Order*, 19 FCC Rcd at 1575, para. 27; *Highland Cellular Order*, 19 FCC Rcd at 6431-32, para. 21. The Commission places the burden on the ETC applicant to demonstrate that the public interest is served. *ETC Designation Order*, 20 FCC Rcd at 6390, para. 44.

¹⁴ *Id.* at 6389-90, paras. 42-43.

¹⁵ 47 C.F.R. § 54.202(c).

¹⁶ *ETC Designation Order*, 20 FCC Rcd at 6390, para. 43.

¹⁷ *Id.* at 6389-90, paras. 42-43. A carrier "creamskims" when it serves only the least expensive customers, thereby undermining the ability of the incumbent local exchange carrier (LEC) to provide service to the entire study area. *See Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service*, Report and Order, CC Docket No. 96-45, 12 FCC Rcd 8776, 8881-82, para. 189 (1997) (subsequent history omitted).

¹⁸ *ETC Designation Order*, 20 FCC Rcd at 6392-95, paras. 48-53.

¹⁹ 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(5).

²⁰ *Id.*

²¹ 47 C.F.R. § 54.207(d)(1).

²² *Id.*

rural telephone companies as the service areas for the rural telephone companies, the Joint Board made the following observations: (1) the potential for creamskimming is minimized by retaining study areas because competitors, as a condition of eligibility, must provide services throughout the rural telephone company's study area; (2) the Act, in many respects, places rural telephone companies on a different competitive footing from other local telephone companies; and (3) there would be an administrative burden imposed on rural telephone companies by requiring them to calculate costs at something other than the study area level.²³

III. DISCUSSION

A. Commission Authority to Perform ETC Designations

8. Petitioners have demonstrated that, except for the Florida Public Service Commission, the Georgia Public Service Commission, and the U.S. Virgin Islands Public Services Commission, the relevant state commissions lack authority to perform the requested ETC designations and the Commission has authority to consider the Petitions under section 214(e)(6) of the Act.²⁴

9. In April 2007, the Florida Public Service Commission found that, due to a change in Florida state law, it "now ha[s] jurisdiction to consider CMRS applications for ETC designation."²⁵ The Georgia Public Service Commission found in October 2007 that it has the authority to designate wireless carriers as ETCs.²⁶ Further, the U.S. Virgin Islands in February 2008 granted its Public Services Commission the authority to grant requests for ETC designation by wireless carriers.²⁷ In light of these developments, and because section 214(e)(2) of the Act gives state commissions the primary responsibility for performing ETC designations,²⁸ we dismiss without prejudice the petitions filed by SouthernLINC seeking designation as an ETC in Florida and Georgia and the petition filed by Choice seeking designation as an ETC in the U.S. Virgin Islands (the Dismissed Petitions). SouthernLINC may re-file its petitions with the Florida Public Service Commission and the Georgia Public Service Commission, respectively, and Choice may re-file its petition with the U.S. Virgin Islands Public Services Commission.

²³ See *Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service*, CC Docket No. 96-45, Recommended Decision, 12 FCC Rcd 87, 179-80, paras. 172-74 (1996) (*1996 Recommended Decision*).

²⁴ 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(6).

²⁵ *Petition of Alltel Communications, Inc. for Designation as Eligible Telecommunications Carrier (ETC) in Certain Rural Telephone Company Study Areas Located Partially in Alltel's Licensed Area and for Redefinition of those Study Areas*, PSC-07-0288-PAA-TP, Notice of Proposed Agency Action Finding Authority to Consider Applications By CMRS Providers For ETC Designation, 2007 WL 1029436 (Fla. P.S.C. Apr. 3, 2007). This order was a proposed agency action, which was made final by a consummating order on June 7, 2007. See *Petition of Alltel Communications, Inc. for Designation as Eligible Telecommunications Carrier (ETC) in Certain Rural Telephone Company Study Areas Located Partially in Alltel's Licensed Area and for Redefinition of those Study Areas*, PSC-07-0481A-CO-TP, Amendatory Order, 2007 WL 1774614 (Fla. P.S.C. June 7, 2007).

²⁶ See Ga. Code Ann. § 46-5-222 (2007); *Application of Alltel Communications, Inc. for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the State of Georgia*, 10396-U, Order Granting ETC Status, 2007 WL 3119444 (Ga. P.S.C. Oct. 15, 2007).

²⁷ See 2007 V.I. Sess. Laws 6977; Letter from Joseph B. Boschulte, Chairman, U.S. Virgin Islands Public Services Commission, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, FCC, WC Docket No. 05-337, CC Docket No. 96-45 (dated Feb. 11, 2008).

²⁸ See 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(2).

10. Each of the remaining Petitions includes an affirmative statement from the relevant state commission providing that ETC designation should be sought from the Commission.²⁹ Accordingly, we find that the relevant state commissions lack jurisdiction to designate Petitioners as ETCs and that this Commission therefore has authority to perform the requested ETC designations under section 214(e)(6).³⁰

B. Analysis of the Eligibility Requirements

11. Offering the Services Designated for Support. Petitioners have demonstrated through the required certifications and related filings that they now offer, or will offer upon designation as ETCs, the services supported by the federal universal service mechanisms.³¹

12. Offering the Supported Services Using a Carrier's Own Facilities. Petitioners have demonstrated that they offer, or will offer upon designation as ETCs, the supported services using either their own facilities or a combination of their own facilities and resale of another carrier's services.³²

²⁹ See generally Petitions. The term Petitions shall hereinafter be interpreted to exclude the Dismissed Petitions and the term Petitioners to exclude Choice and, with respect to its Florida and Georgia petitions, SouthernLINC.

³⁰ 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(6).

We note that the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (Pennsylvania Commission) filed reply comments opposing NEP's petition. See *Petitions of NEP Cellcorp, Inc. for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and Corr Wireless Communications, LLC for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the State of Alabama*, CC Docket No. 96-45, Reply Comments of the Pennsylvania Public Utilities Commission (filed Sept. 5, 2007). The Pennsylvania Commission also, however, stated in a letter to NEP that the Pennsylvania Commission did not intend to exercise jurisdiction to consider NEP's petition, and that NEP should seek ETC designation at the federal level. See NEP Petition at Exh. B. We find that NEP has provided sufficient evidence to support the conclusion that we have jurisdiction to perform NEP's requested ETC designation under section 214(e)(6). 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(6). NEP filed a motion to strike the Pennsylvania Commission's reply comments from the record. See NEP Cellcorp, Inc. Application for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, CC Docket No. 96-45, Motion to Strike (filed Oct. 10, 2007). Because we grant NEP's petition here, we dismiss NEP's motion as moot.

The Commission previously has stated that "the Commission's authority to perform [an ETC designation under section 214(e)(6)] is no greater than that of the state that would have otherwise made the designation." *Petition for Reconsideration of Western Wireless Corporation's Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the State of Wyoming*, CC Docket No. 96-45, Order on Reconsideration, 16 FCC Rcd 19144, 19147, para. 8 (2001) (*Western Wireless 2001 Order*), affirming *Western Wireless Corporation Petition for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the State of Wyoming*, CC Docket No. 96-45, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 16 FCC Rcd 48 (Com. Carrier Bur. 2000) (*Western Wireless 2000 Order*).

With respect to U.S. Cellular's New Hampshire petition, the Commission stands in the place of the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission (New Hampshire Commission). U.S. Cellular requested, however, designation for certain wire centers located in Vermont, which are served by Verizon New England, a non-rural incumbent LEC, and two wire centers located in Maine, which are served by Northland Telephone of Maine Inc., a rural incumbent LEC. U.S. Cellular New Hampshire Petition, Exhibits B and C. U.S. Cellular has not demonstrated that the Maine and Vermont Commissions do not have the authority to designate U.S. Cellular as an ETC in their states. As the New Hampshire Commission would not have the authority to perform an ETC designation for wire centers in Maine or Vermont, we exclude from our determination the wire centers of Verizon New England in Vermont and Northland Telephone of Maine Inc. in Maine. The Verizon New England wire centers in Vermont which we exclude are: Barnet, Bellows Falls, Brattleboro, Bradford, Fairlee, Newbury, Windsor, and White River Junction. The Northland Telephone of Maine Inc. wire centers in Maine which we exclude are Fryeburg and North Fryeburg.

³¹ 47 C.F.R. § 214(e)(1)(A); 47 C.F.R. § 54.201(d)(1); 47 C.F.R. § 54.101(a) (listing the services supported by the federal universal service mechanisms); see generally Petitions.

³² 47 C.F.R. § 214(e)(1)(A); 47 C.F.R. § 54.201(d)(1); see generally Petitions.

13. Advertising Supported Services. Petitioners have committed to advertise the availability of the supported services and the related charges using media of general distribution.³³ In addition, Petitioners have committed to advertising the availability of Lifeline and Link-Up services in a manner reasonably designed to reach those likely to qualify for those services.³⁴

14. Additional Eligibility Requirements. Petitioners also satisfy the eligibility requirements set forth in the *ETC Designation Order*, described above,³⁵ or must make such showings in their first annual reports under section 54.209 of the Commission's rules.³⁶

C. Public Interest Analysis

15. Cost-Benefit Analysis. We find that Petitioners' universal service offerings will provide a variety of benefits to consumers, including increased consumer choice, high-quality service offerings,³⁷ and mobility.³⁸ In addition, universal service support will enable Petitioners to construct facilities or accelerate planned construction.³⁹ This should improve quality of service and will, in some cases, extend telephone service to people who do not have access to a wireline telephone or do not have a choice of telephone providers.⁴⁰ On balance, and subject to our creamskimming analysis for rural study areas below, we find that the advantages of designating Petitioners as ETCs to the extent described herein outweigh any disadvantages.⁴¹

³³ 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(1)(B); 47 C.F.R. § 54.201(d)(2); *see generally* Petitions.

³⁴ 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.405, 54.411.

³⁵ *See supra* para. 5.

³⁶ *ETC Designation Order*, 20 FCC Rcd at 6380, para. 20; 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.202(a), 54.209; *see generally* Petitions.

³⁷ For example, Petitioners have committed to comply with the Consumer Code for Wireless Service of the Cellular Telecommunications Industry Association (CTIA). *See generally* Petitions.

³⁸ *See generally* Petitions. As noted in the *PSC Alabama Order*, the mobility of telecommunications assists consumers in rural areas who often must drive significant distances to places of employment, stores, schools, and other locations. *Public Service Cellular, Inc. Petition for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the States of Georgia and Alabama*, CC Docket No. 96-45, Order, 20 FCC Rcd 6854, 6861, para. 25 (Wireline Comp. Bur. 2005) (*PSC Alabama Order*). Moreover, the availability of a wireless universal service offering also provides access to emergency services that can mitigate the unique risks of geographic isolation associated with living in rural communities. *Id.*

³⁹ *See generally* Petitions.

⁴⁰ *See, e.g.*, Dobson First Petition at 17.

⁴¹ Although there may be disadvantages to designating Petitioners as ETCs, such as dropped calls or poor coverage in certain portions of these areas, our concerns about potential disadvantages are allayed by Petitioners' commitments to build out their facilities and to make service quality improvements. We note that Petitioners are required to report on service quality improvements and any problems associated with their coverage on October 1st of each year. 47 C.F.R. § 54.209. *See also ETC Designation Order*, 20 FCC Rcd at 6400-6402, paras. 68-69. We also note that all ETCs must report annually on the progress of their five-year network improvement plans. *See* 47 C.F.R. § 54.209.

In addition, some commenters argued that designation of multiple new ETCs may undermine the sustainability of the universal service fund (Fund). *See, e.g.*, Cingular Wireless Petition for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the Commonwealth of Virginia, CC Docket No. 96-45, Opposition of Verizon, at 4 (filed Dec. 4, 2006). The interim cap on high-cost universal service support disbursements adopted in this order is sufficient to ensure the sustainability of the Fund. Further, the *ETC Designation Order* found that any impact on the Fund must be balanced against other objectives, such as providing access to services comparable to services offered

(continued....)

16. *Creamskimming Analysis.* Because Petitioners request ETC status in the entire study area of each of the rural telephone companies listed in Exhibits 2, 4-9, 11-13, 15-16, and 18-28, we are not concerned about the potential for creamskimming with regard to these companies.⁴² For this reason and for the reasons set forth in paragraph 15, we find that designation of Petitioners as ETCs in the areas stated in Exhibits 2, 4-9, 11-13, 15-16, and 18-28 is in the public interest.

17. Petitioners' service areas differ from the study areas of the rural telephone companies identified in Exhibits 3, 10, 14, 17 and 29. We therefore performed a creamskimming analysis for those service areas. Except as noted below, our analysis of the population density of each of the affected wire centers revealed that Petitioners will not be serving only low-cost areas to the exclusion of high-cost areas. Although there are other factors that define high-cost areas, a lower population density generally indicates a higher-cost area.⁴³ Therefore, except as noted below, we find that, for the reasons stated in paragraph 15 and because designating Petitioners below the study area level of the relevant rural telephone companies will not have the effect of creamskimming, such designations are in the public interest.

18. For the following study areas, we find designating Petitioners below the study area level raises creamskimming concerns based on analysis of population densities and therefore is not in the public interest.

19. *Alltel.* We find that designating Alltel as an ETC in the Butler Telephone Co., Inc. and Frontier Communications of the South study areas in Alabama, the Alltel Carolina-North Inc. and Sprint Mid Atlantic study areas in North Carolina, and the Central Telephone Co.-Virginia, NTELOS Telephone Inc., United Inter-Mountain Telephone, and Verizon South Inc.-VA study areas of Virginia would not be in the public interest.

20. *Dobson.* We find that designating Dobson as an ETC in the Citizens Telecom-NY and State Tel. Co. study areas would not be in the public interest. Designation of Dobson as an ETC in the Empire Tel. Corp. study area does not create creamskimming concerns because, consistent with Dobson's filing, we remove the East Pembroke wire center from the proposed service area.⁴⁴ We therefore designate Dobson as an ETC only in the Pulteney wire center in the Empire Tel. Corp. service area.

21. *U.S. Cellular.* We find that designating U.S. Cellular as an ETC in the CenturyTel of Claiborne, Inc., Citizens Communications Company Tennessee d/b/a Frontier Communications of Tennessee, LLC, and Twin Lakes Telephone Cooperative Corp. study areas would not be in the public interest.

(...continued from previous page)

in urban areas and competitive neutrality. See *ETC Designation Order*, 20 FCC Rcd at 6395-96, para. 56. We find that any impact on the Fund is outweighed by the benefits described above.

⁴² *ETC Designation Order*, 20 FCC Rcd at 6392, para. 49; *Virginia Cellular Order*, 19 FCC Rcd at 1578, para. 32; *Highland Cellular Order*, 19 FCC Rcd at 6434-35, para. 26. As noted above, the creamskimming analysis is limited to designations in rural service areas. See *supra* para. 6.

⁴³ *Advantage Cellular Systems Inc. Petition for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the State of Tennessee*, CC Docket No. 96-45, Order, 19 FCC Rcd 20994, n.67 (Wireline Comp. Bur. 2004).

⁴⁴ Dobson stated "in the event the Commission finds that the present disparity in population density between the served and unserved wire centers of the study area of Empire Telephone Corporation (SAC 150093) (Empire) is large enough to present cream skimming concerns, Dobson would withdraw from its proposed designated area the East Pembroke wire center (EPMBNYXA) to equalize the balance in population density." Dobson Second Amendment at 2.

22. Partial Wire Centers. In the *ETC Designation Order* and *Highland Cellular Order*, the Commission concluded that making designations for a portion of a rural telephone company's wire center would be inconsistent with the public interest.⁴⁵ Therefore, we find that designating NEP as an ETC in the North-Eastern Pennsylvania Telephone Co. study area in Pennsylvania would not be in the public interest because NEP only provides partial coverage in the wire centers of Clifford and Forest City. In addition, we find that designating NEP as an ETC in the Springville wire center in the Frontier study area in Pennsylvania would not be in the public interest because NEP only provides partial coverage in this wire center.⁴⁶

D. Redefinition Analysis

23. Consistent with prior rural service area redefinitions and with the recommendations of the Joint Board described above,⁴⁷ we redefine certain service areas as follows.

24. Alltel. We note that we previously redefined the service areas of Alltel Alabama Inc. and Milry Telephone Company in Alabama⁴⁸ and Central Telephone Co. and Surry Telephone Membership in North Carolina.⁴⁹

25. Dobson. We redefine the services areas of Citizens Tel. Co. of NY, Taconic Tel. Corp., and Middleburgh Tel. Co at the wire center level. We also redefine the revised Empire service area at the wire center level.⁵⁰

26. NEP. We redefine the requested wire centers in the study area of Frontier and Verizon North at the wire center level.⁵¹

27. NY RSA 2. We redefine the requested wire centers in the study area of Citizens Telecommunications of New York d/b/a Frontier Communications as a separate service area.

28. U.S. Cellular. We redefine the requested wire centers in the study area of North Central Telephone Cooperative, Inc. as a separate service area. We also redefine the requested wire centers in the study areas of NTELOS Telephone Inc., Peoples Mutual Telephone Co., Central Telephone Co.-VA and Verizon South Inc.-VA in Virginia as a separate service area. We also redefine the requested wire centers in the study areas of Alltel Carolina-North, Inc., Central Telephone Company-North Carolina, Randolph Telephone Membership Corporation, Skyline Telephone Membership Corporation, Sprint Mid-Atlantic, and Yadkin Valley Telephone Membership Corporation in North Carolina as a separate service area.

⁴⁵ *ETC Designation Order*, 20 FCC Rcd at 6378, para. 15; *Highland Cellular Order*, 19 FCC Rcd at 6438, para. 33.

⁴⁶ We note that NEP sought redefinition of the Frontier study area. *See infra* para. 26.

⁴⁷ *See supra* para. 7.

⁴⁸ *RCC Holdings, Inc. Petition for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier Throughout its Licensed Service Area in the State of Alabama*, CC Docket No. 96-45, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 17 FCC Rcd 23532, 23547, para. 38 (Wireline Comp. Bur. 2002).

⁴⁹ *North Carolina RSA 3 Cellular Telephone Company Petition for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the State of North Carolina*, CC Docket No. 96-45, Order, 21 FCC Rcd 9151, 9159, para. 26 (Wireline Comp. Bur. 2006).

⁵⁰ *See supra* para. 20.

⁵¹ *See supra* para. 22. (declining to designate NEP in the Springville wire center). We note that NEP did not request redefinition of the Verizon Pennsylvania study area, and therefore decline to designate NEP in the Lake Como wire center. *See infra* para. 36.

Finally, we note that we previously redefined Granite State Telephone Inc.'s service area in New Hampshire⁵² and United Inter-Mountain Telephone Company's service area in Virginia.⁵³

29. Except where noted above, our redefinition proposals are subject to the agreement of the relevant state commissions. We therefore submit our redefinition proposals to the relevant state commissions and request that they examine such proposals based on their unique familiarity with the rural areas in question. If, after its review, a state commission determines that it does not agree with a redefinition proposal herein, we intend to reexamine the relevant petition with regard to redefining the service area.

E. Designated Service Areas

30. Based on the foregoing, we hereby designate Petitioners as ETCs as follows.

31. Alltel. We designate Alltel as an ETC for the entire study areas served by the rural telephone companies in Alabama, North Carolina, and Virginia listed in Exhibit 2. Subject to the agreement of the Alabama Public Service Commission, North Carolina Utilities Commission and Virginia Corporation Commission on our proposed redefinition of service areas, if not previously redefined,⁵⁴ we also designate Alltel as an ETC in the wire centers listed in Exhibit 3.

32. Cingular. We designate Cingular as an ETC in the requested areas served by the non-rural telephone companies in Alabama and Virginia listed in Exhibit 4. We designate Cingular as an ETC for the entire study areas served by the rural telephone companies in Virginia listed in Exhibit 5.

33. Corr. We designate Corr as an ETC in the entire study area served by the non-rural telephone company in Alabama listed in Exhibit 6. We designate Corr as an ETC in the entire study areas served by the rural telephone companies in Alabama listed in Exhibit 7.⁵⁵

34. Dobson. We designate Dobson as an ETC in the requested study areas in New York served by non-rural telephone companies, as listed in Exhibit 8. We designate Dobson as an ETC in the entire study areas served by the rural telephone companies in New York, as listed in Exhibit 9. Subject to the New York Department of Public Service's agreement on our proposed redefinition of service areas, we also designate Dobson as an ETC for the wire centers in Exhibit 10.

⁵² *RCC Minnesota Inc., and RCC Atlantic, Inc. Petition for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in New Hampshire*, CC Docket No. 96-45, Order, 20 FCC Rcd 15833, 15841, para. 25 (Wireline Comp. Bur. 2005) (*RCC Order*).

⁵³ *Highland Cellular Order*, 19 FCC Rcd at 6439, paras. 37-38.

⁵⁴ See *supra* para. 24.

⁵⁵ Corr requested designation for one study area, Ardmore Telephone Co., which extends beyond the state boundary of Alabama into Tennessee. The Commission previously has stated that "the Commission's authority to perform [an ETC designation under section 214(e)(6)] is no greater than that of the state that would have otherwise made the designation." *Western Wireless 2001 Order*, 16 FCC Rcd at 19147, para. 8. Corr did not seek ETC designation in Tennessee. Therefore, we designate Corr as an ETC only in the portion of Ardmore Telephone Co.'s study area that is contained within the boundaries of the state of Alabama. See *id.* at 19147-49, paras. 8-13, affirming *Western Wireless 2000 Order*, 16 FCC Rcd at 57-59, paras. 23-24 (designating Western Wireless as an ETC only in the study areas of telephone companies that are located in the state (Wyoming) that was the subject of Western Wireless' ETC petitions).

35. Farmers. We designate Farmers as an ETC in the requested areas served by the non-rural telephone companies in Alabama listed in Exhibit 11. We designate Farmers as an ETC for the entire study areas served by the rural telephone companies in Alabama listed in Exhibit 12.

36. NEP. We designate NEP as an ETC for the entire study areas served by the rural telephone companies in Pennsylvania listed in Exhibit 13. Subject to the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission's agreement on our proposed redefinition of service areas, we also designate NEP as an ETC in the wire centers listed in Exhibit 14.

37. NY RSA 2. We designate NY RSA 2 as an ETC in the requested areas served by the non-rural telephone companies in New York listed in Exhibit 15. We designate NY RSA 2 as an ETC for the entire study areas served by the rural telephone companies in New York listed in Exhibit 16. Subject to the New York Department of Public Service's agreement on our proposed redefinition of service areas, we also designate NY RSA 2 as an ETC for the wire centers listed in Exhibit 17.

38. Pine Belt. We designate Pine Belt as an ETC for the entire study areas served by the rural telephone companies in Alabama listed in Exhibit 18.

39. RCC. We designate RCC as an ETC in the requested areas served by the non-rural telephone companies in Alabama listed in Exhibit 19. We designate RCC as an ETC for the entire study areas served by the rural telephone companies in Alabama listed in Exhibit 20.

40. SouthernLINC. We designate SouthernLINC as an ETC in the requested service areas in Alabama served by the non-rural telephone companies listed in Exhibit 21. We designate SouthernLINC as an ETC in the entire study areas served by the rural telephone companies in Alabama listed in Exhibit 22.⁵⁶

41. St. Lawrence Seaway. We designate St. Lawrence Seaway as an ETC in the requested areas served by the non-rural telephone companies in New York listed in Exhibit 23. We designate St. Lawrence Seaway as an ETC for the entire study areas served by the rural telephone companies in New York listed in Exhibit 24.

42. SunCom. We designate SunCom as an ETC in the requested areas served by the non-rural telephone companies in North Carolina, Tennessee, and Virginia listed in Exhibit 25. We designate SunCom as an ETC for the entire study areas served by the rural telephone companies in North Carolina, Tennessee, and Virginia listed in Exhibit 26.

43. U.S. Cellular. We designate U.S. Cellular as an ETC in the requested areas served by the non-rural telephone companies in North Carolina, New Hampshire, Tennessee, and Virginia listed in Exhibit 27.⁵⁷ We designate U.S. Cellular as an ETC for the entire study areas served by the rural telephone companies in North Carolina, New Hampshire, Tennessee, and Virginia listed in Exhibit 28.⁵⁸

⁵⁶ SouthernLINC requested designation for one wire center, West Point, which extends beyond the state boundary of Alabama into Georgia. See SouthernLINC December 29 Alabama Supplement at Ex. 1. As discussed above, the Commission does not have the authority to consider the SouthernLINC Georgia Petition. See *infra* para. 9. Therefore, we designate SouthernLINC in the West Point wire center only to the extent that the wire center is contained within the boundaries of the state of Alabama. See *Western Wireless 2001 Order*, 16 FCC Rcd at 19147-49, paras. 8-13, *affirming Western Wireless 2000 Order*, 16 FCC Rcd at 57-59, paras. 23-24.

⁵⁷ As noted above, we exclude the wire centers of the non-rural incumbent LEC Verizon New England in Vermont for purposes of U.S. Cellular's ETC designation. See *supra* note 30.

⁵⁸ As noted above, we exclude the wire centers of rural incumbent LEC Northland Telephone of Maine Inc. in Maine for purposes of U.S. Cellular's ETC designation. See *supra* note 30.

Subject to the agreement of the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission, North Carolina Utilities Commission, Tennessee Regulatory Authority, and Virginia Corporation Commission on our proposed redefinition of service areas, if not previously redefined,⁵⁹ we also designate U.S. Cellular as an ETC for the wire centers listed in Exhibit 29.

44. Petitioners' ETC designations are effective thirty days after publication of this Order in the Federal Register, except where redefined service areas require the agreement of a state commission. Where redefined service areas require the agreement of a state commission, Petitioners' ETC designations are effective upon the effective date of the agreement of the relevant state commission with our redefinition of the service area, but in no event prior to the effective date of this order. We also note that a newly designated ETC shall be eligible to receive support as of the effective date of its designation as an ETC provided it submits the data required by sections 54.307, 54.313, and 54.314, as applicable, of our rules within 60 days of the effective date.⁶⁰ Therefore, if Petitioners file the required data within the 60-day period, they will receive support as of the effective date of their designations.

F. Regulatory Oversight

45. Petitioners are required under section 254(e) of the Act to use high-cost support "only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended" and must, under sections 54.313 and 54.314 of the Commission's rules, certify annually that they are in compliance with this requirement.⁶¹ In addition, Petitioners must report certain information to the Commission and the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) for the areas in which they are designated as ETCs pursuant to section 54.209 of our rules.⁶²

46. We find that reliance on Petitioners' commitments to meet these requirements is reasonable and consistent with the public interest, the Act, and the Fifth Circuit decision in *Texas Office of Public Utility Counsel v. FCC*.⁶³ We conclude that these additional certification and reporting requirements will further the Commission's goal of ensuring that Petitioners satisfy their obligation under section 214(e) of the Act to provide supported services throughout their designated service areas.

47. We note that the Commission may institute an inquiry on its own motion to examine any ETC's records and documentation to ensure that the high-cost support it receives is being used "only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services" in the areas where it is designated as

⁵⁹ See *supra* para. 28.

⁶⁰ See 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.307, 54.313, 54.314; *ETC Designation Order*, 20 FCC Rcd at 6411, para. 92.

⁶¹ 47 U.S.C. § 254(e); 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.313, 54.314.

⁶² See 47 C.F.R. § 54.209(a) (specifying the information to be included in the annual reports submitted by ETCs); *ETC Designation Order*, 20 FCC Rcd at 6400-6402, paras. 68-69. See also *Virginia Cellular Order*, 19 FCC Rcd at 1584, para. 46 & n.140 (anticipating that annual submissions will encompass only the ETC's designated service areas). We note that SouthernLINC requested that, if the Commission were to grant its petition prior to October 1, 2006, it be granted a waiver of the October 1, 2006 filing deadline. See Letter from Todd Daubert, Counsel for SouthernLINC, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, FCC, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Aug. 15, 2006). Given the passage of time, we find that SouthernLINC's waiver request is moot.

⁶³ See generally *Petitions*. In *TOPUC*, the Fifth Circuit held that that nothing in section 214(e)(2) of the Act prohibits states from imposing additional eligibility conditions on ETCs as part of their designation process. See *Texas Office of Public Utility Counsel v. FCC*, 183 F.3d 393, 417-18 (5th Cir. 1999) (*TOPUC*). Consistent with this holding, we find that nothing in section 214(e)(6) prohibits the Commission from imposing additional conditions on ETCs when such designations fall under our jurisdiction.

an ETC.⁶⁴ Petitioners will be required to provide such records and documentation to the Commission and USAC upon request. We further emphasize that if a Petitioner fails to fulfill the requirements of the statute, our rules, or the terms of this Order after it begins receiving universal service support, the Commission has authority to revoke its ETC designation.⁶⁵ The Commission also may assess forfeitures for violations of Commission rules and orders.⁶⁶

IV. ANTI-DRUG ABUSE ACT CERTIFICATION

48. Pursuant to section 5301 of the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988, no applicant is eligible for any new, modified, or renewed instrument of authorization from the Commission, including authorizations issued pursuant to section 214 of the Act, unless the applicant certifies that neither it, nor any party to its application, is subject to a denial of federal benefits, including Commission benefits.⁶⁷ Petitioners have provided a certification consistent with the requirements of the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988.⁶⁸ We find that Petitioners have satisfied the requirements of the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988, as codified in sections 1.2001-1.2003 of the Commission's rules.⁶⁹

⁶⁴ 47 U.S.C. §§ 220, 403.

⁶⁵ See *Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Western Wireless Corporation Petition for Preemption of an Order of the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission*, CC Docket No. 96-45, Declaratory Ruling, 15 FCC Rcd, 15168, 15174, para. 15 (2000) (subsequent history omitted). See also 47 U.S.C. § 254(e).

⁶⁶ See 47 U.S.C. § 503(b).

⁶⁷ 21 U.S.C. § 862; 47 C.F.R. § 1.2002(a)-(b). Section 1.2002(b) provides that a "party to the application" shall include: "(1) If the applicant is an individual, that individual; (2) If the applicant is a corporation or unincorporated association, all officers, directors, or persons holding 5% or more of the outstanding stock or shares (voting and/or nonvoting) of the petitioner; and (3) If the application is a partnership, all non-limited partners and any limited partners holding a 5% or more interest in the partnership." 47 C.F.R. § 1.2002(b). See *Section 214(e)(6) Public Notice*, 12 FCC Rcd at 22949.

⁶⁸ See generally *Petitions*.

⁶⁹ 47 C.F.R. §§ 1.2001-1.2003.

EXHIBIT 1

PENDING ETC DESIGNATION PETITIONS

Alltel Communications, Inc. Alabama Petition

Alltel Communications, Inc. Petition for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the State of Alabama, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Oct.13, 2005) (Alltel Alabama Petition) (redacted).

Alltel Communications, Inc. North Carolina Petition

Alltel Communications, Inc. Petition for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the State of North Carolina, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Oct. 13, 2005) (Alltel North Carolina Petition) (redacted).

Alltel Communications, Inc. Virginia Petition

Alltel Communications, Inc. Petition for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the Commonwealth of Virginia, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Oct. 13, 2005) (Alltel Virginia Petition) (redacted).

Choice Communications LLC U.S. Virgin Islands Petition

Choice Communications LLC, Petition for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the United States Virgin Islands, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Jan. 13, 2005) (Choice Petition) (redacted).

Letter from Jennifer M. Kashatus, Counsel for Choice, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Feb. 9, 2005) (Choice Supplement) (submitting additional information in support of its petition).

Letter from Jennifer M. Kashatus, Counsel for Choice, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Mar. 16, 2005) (Choice Second Supplement) (submitting maps of Choice's coverage area) (redacted).

Letter from Jennifer M. Kashatus, Counsel for Choice, to Marlene Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed May 15, 2007) (Choice Third Supplement) (submitting a list of Choice's MMDS licenses and maps of its service area) (redacted).

Letter from Jennifer M. Kashatus, Counsel for Choice, to Marlene Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Sept. 24, 2007) (Choice Fourth Supplement) (submitting additional information on Choice's service offerings).

Cingular Alabama Petition

Petition of AT&T Wireless Services, Inc. for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier Pursuant to Section 214(e)(6) of the Communications Act, Petition of AT&T Wireless Services, Inc. for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the State of Alabama, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Dec. 31, 2003) (AWS Alabama Petition) (redacted).

Supplement to the Petition of AT&T Wireless Services, Inc. for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier Pursuant to Section 214(e)(6) of the Communications Act, Petition of AT&T Wireless Services, Inc. for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the state of Alabama, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed May 11, 2004) (AWS Alabama Supplement).

Amendment to the Petition of AT&T Wireless Services, Inc. for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the State of Alabama, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Feb. 23, 2006) (Cingular Alabama Amendment) (redacted).

Minor Further Amendment to ETC Petition: Cingular Wireless LLC (f/k/a AT&T Wireless Services, Inc.), CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed April 28, 2006) (explaining that the request includes the Livingston wire center in the BellSouth SAC (255181) with whichever CLLI Code is applicable, noting that currently the Local Exchange Routing Guide and the Universal Service Administrative Company use different CLLI codes – LVTNALMA and LVTNALLA).

Cingular Virginia Petition

Cingular Wireless, LLC Petition for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the Commonwealth of Virginia, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Nov. 7, 2006) (Cingular Virginia Petition) (redacted).

Letter from Russell P. Hanser, Counsel for Cingular, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Sept. 4, 2007) (submitting maps of Cingular's coverage area) (redacted).

Corr Wireless Communications, LLC Alabama Petition

Corr Wireless Communications, LLC Petition for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the State of Alabama, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed June 14, 2007) (Corr Petition).

Dobson Cellular Systems, Inc. New York Petition

Dobson Cellular Systems, Inc. and American Cellular Corp. (Dobson) Joint Petition for Designation as Eligible Telecommunications Carriers in the State of New York (No Rural Redefinition Requested), CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed May 3, 2004) (Dobson First Petition).

Dobson Cellular Systems, Inc. and American Cellular Corp. Joint Petition for Designation as Eligible Telecommunications Carriers in the State of New York (Rural Redefinition Requested), CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed May 10, 2004) (Dobson Redefinition Petition).

Amendment of ETC Petition – Dobson Cellular Systems Inc. and American Cellular Corporation, New York, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Sept. 16, 2005) (Dobson Exhibit E (revised)) (correcting a wire center study area code).

Second Amendment of ETC Petition – Dobson, New York, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Oct. 11, 2005) (Dobson Second Amendment) (filing a revised list of rural wire centers that corrects some slight errors in population data and makes alternative proposals about service area boundaries).

Farmers Cellular Alabama Petition

Farmers Cellular Petition to Amend the Designated Service Area of Farmers Cellular Telephone, Inc., CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Dec. 1, 2005) (seeking to amend its designated area to include additional wire centers in the state of Alabama) (Farmers Petition).

Letter from Gary Kirk, General Manager for Farmers, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Dec. 2, 2005) (submitting a chart and maps in support of Farmers' five-year plan) (redacted).

Supplement to Farmers Cellular Petition to Amend the Designated Service Area of Farmers Cellular Telephone, Inc., CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Feb. 2, 2007) (Farmers February 2 Supplement).

NEP Cellcorp, Inc. Pennsylvania Petition

Petition of NEP Cellcorp, Inc. to be Designated as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed June 7, 2007) (NEP Petition).

New York RSA 2 Cellular Partnership New York Petition

New York RSA 2 Cellular Partnership Petition for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the State of New York, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed June 23, 2005) (NY RSA 2 Petition).

New York RSA 2 Cellular Partnership, Supplement for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the State of New York, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed July 19, 2005) (NY RSA 2 July 19 Supplement).

Pine Belt Cellular, Inc. Alabama Petition

Pine Belt Cellular, Inc. Petition for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the State of Alabama, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Mar. 2, 2006) (Pine Belt Petition) (redacted).

RCC Minnesota, Inc. Alabama Petition

RCC Holdings, Inc. Petition for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in Additional Portions of the State of Alabama, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed June 23, 2005) (RCC Petition) (seeking to extend its ETC designation in Alabama to include newly acquired portions of its service area).

Letter from B. Lynn F. Ratnavale, Counsel for RCC Minnesota, Inc., to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Mar. 2, 2007) (notice of name change from RCC Holdings, Inc. to RCC Minnesota, Inc., and supplemental maps and licensing information).

SouthernLINC Alabama Petitions

Petition of Southern Communications Services, Inc. d/b/a SouthernLINC Wireless for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in Alabama, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Sep. 14, 2004) (SouthernLINC Non-Rural Alabama Petition).

Petition of Southern Communications Services, Inc. d/b/a SouthernLINC for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in Alabama, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Sep. 14, 2004) (SouthernLINC Rural Alabama Petition).

Letter from Erin W. Emmott, Counsel for SouthernLINC, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Jan. 12, 2005) (January 12 Non-rural Alabama Supplement).

Letter from Erin W. Emmott, Counsel for SouthernLINC, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Jan. 12, 2005) (January 12 Rural Alabama Supplement).

Letter from Erin W. Emmott, Counsel for SouthernLINC, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Jan. 21, 2005) (January 21 Non-Rural Alabama Supplement).

Letter from Erin W. Emmott, Counsel for SouthernLINC, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Jan. 21, 2005) (January 21 Rural Alabama Supplement).

Letter from Erin W. Emmott, Counsel for SouthernLINC Wireless, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Mar. 2, 2005) (March 2 Alabama Supplement).

Letter from Erin W. Emmott, Counsel for SouthernLINC Wireless, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Nov. 16, 2005) (November 16 Supplement) (replacing January 21 Rural Alabama Supplement and March 2 Alabama Supplement) (noting also that the company had changed its name to "SouthernLINC Wireless").

Letter from Erin W. Emmott, Counsel for SouthernLINC Wireless, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Dec. 29, 2005) (December 29 Alabama Supplement).

Letter from Robert G. Dawson, SouthernLINC Wireless, to Kevin J. Martin, Chairman, Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed June 29, 2006) (June 29 Supplement).

SouthernLINC Florida Petition

Petition of Southern Communications Services, Inc. d/b/a SouthernLINC for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier Pursuant to Section 214(e)(6) of the Communications Act, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Sep. 14, 2004) (SouthernLINC Florida Petition).

Letter from Erin W. Emmett, Counsel for SouthernLINC, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Jan. 12, 2005) (January 12 Florida Supplement).

Letter from Erin W. Emmett, Counsel for SouthernLINC, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Jan. 21, 2005) (January 21 Florida Supplement).

Letter from Erin W. Emmett, Counsel for SouthernLINC, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Feb. 22, 2005) (February Florida Supplement).

Letter from Erin W. Emmett, Counsel for SouthernLINC Wireless, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Nov. 16, 2005) (November Florida Supplement) (noting that the company had changed its name to "SouthernLINC Wireless").

Letter from Erin W. Emmett, Counsel for SouthernLINC Wireless, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Dec. 29, 2005) (December Florida Supplement).

Letter from Robert G. Dawson, SouthernLINC Wireless, to Kevin J. Martin, Chairman, Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed June 29, 2006) (June 29 Supplement).

SouthernLINC Georgia Petitions

Petition of Southern Communications Services, Inc. d/b/a SouthernLINC for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier Pursuant to Section 214(e)(6) of the Communications Act, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Sep. 14, 2004) (SouthernLINC Non-Rural Georgia Petition).

Petition of Southern Communications Services, Inc. d/b/a SouthernLINC for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier Pursuant to Section 214(e)(6) of the Communications Act, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Sep. 14, 2004) (SouthernLINC Rural Georgia Petition).

Letter from Erin W. Emmott, Counsel for SouthernLINC, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Jan. 12, 2005) (January 12 Non-Rural Georgia Supplement).

Letter from Erin W. Emmott, Counsel for SouthernLINC, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission Petition, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Jan. 12, 2005) (January 12 Rural Georgia Supplement).

Letter from Erin W. Emmott, Counsel for SouthernLINC, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Jan. 21, 2005) (January 21 Georgia Supplement).

Letter from Erin W. Emmott, Counsel for SouthernLINC, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Mar. 2, 2005) (March 2 Georgia Supplement).

Letter from Erin W. Emmott, Counsel for SouthernLINC, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Nov. 16, 2005) (November Georgia Supplement) (noting that the company had changed its name to "SouthernLINC Wireless").

Letter from Erin W. Emmott, Counsel for SouthernLINC, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Dec. 29, 2005) (December 29 Georgia Supplement).

Letter from Robert G. Dawson, SouthernLINC Wireless, to Kevin J. Martin, Chairman, Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed June 29, 2006) (June 29 Supplement).

St. Lawrence Seaway Cellular Partnership New York Petition

St. Lawrence Seaway Cellular Partnership Petition for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the State of New York, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed June 23, 2005) (St. Lawrence Seaway Petition).

Supplement to Petition for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the State of New York, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed July 19, 2005) (St. Lawrence Seaway July 19 Supplement).

SunCom Wireless, Inc. Petition

SunCom Wireless, Inc. Petition for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in Georgia, North Carolina, Tennessee and Virginia, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed June 23, 2005) (SunCom Petition).

Letter from David L. Sieradzki, Counsel for SunCom, to Marlene Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed May 4, 2007) (submitting call signs and maps of its license area).

Letter from David L. Sieradzki, Counsel for SunCom, to Marlene Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Nov. 2, 2007) (submitting a list of wire centers and CLLI codes for which SunCom is seeking designation).

Letter from David L. Sieradzki, Counsel for SunCom, to Marlene Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Dec. 14, 2007) (withdrawing SunCom's petition for ETC designation in Georgia and withdrawing requests for designation in two study areas).

Letter from David L. Sieradzki, Counsel to SunCom, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Jan. 2, 2008) (clarifying the CLLI code for the Hartford wire center in North Carolina).

U.S. Cellular New Hampshire Petition

U.S. Cellular Petition for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the State of New Hampshire, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed April 13, 2004) (U.S. Cellular New Hampshire Petition).

Letter from David A. LaFuria, Counsel for U.S. Cellular, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Nov. 4, 2005) (U.S. Cellular New Hampshire Amendment) (amending its New Hampshire petition to demonstrate compliance with the *Virginia Cellular Order* and the *Highland Cellular Order*).

U.S. Cellular New Hampshire Amendment Erratum, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Nov. 7, 2005) (correcting a footnote).

U.S. Cellular North Carolina Petition

U.S. Cellular Petition for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the State of North Carolina, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Oct. 14, 2004) (U.S. Cellular North Carolina Petition).

Letter from David A. LaFuria, Counsel for U.S. Cellular, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Nov. 4, 2005) (U.S. Cellular North Carolina Amendment) (amending its North Carolina petition to demonstrate compliance with the *Virginia Cellular Order* and the *Highland Cellular Order*, and, for each partial wire center listed in the original North

Carolina Petition, either withdrawing the request or committing to serve the entire wire center through a combination of its own facilities and resale or roaming):

U.S. Cellular North Carolina Amendment Erratum, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Nov. 7, 2005) (correcting a footnote and the signature block).

U.S. Cellular North Carolina Amendment Second Erratum, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Nov. 15, 2005) (correcting certain discrepancies between the list of requested wire centers and the population density analysis in the revised Exhibits D and I (hereinafter "Corrected Revised Exhibit D" and "Corrected Revised Exhibit I")).

U.S. Cellular Tennessee Petition

Tennessee RSA No. 3 Limited Partnership d/b/a Eloqui Wireless, Petition for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the State of Tennessee, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed June 23, 2005) (Eloqui Petition).

U.S. Cellular Corp. Amended Petition for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in Additional Areas in the State of Tennessee, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Sept. 7, 2007) (noting that U.S. Cellular now controls Eloqui, and extending its proposed ETC service area to include additional territory within U.S. Cellular's FCC-licensed service area in Tennessee) (U.S. Cellular Tennessee Amended Petition).

U.S. Cellular Corp. Supplement to Amended Petition for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in Areas in the State of Tennessee, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Nov. 6, 2007) (submitting maps of combined licensed service areas of U.S. Cellular in Tennessee).

U.S. Cellular Virginia Petition

U.S. Cellular Petition for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the Commonwealth of Virginia, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed April 9, 2004) (U.S. Cellular Virginia Petition).

Letter from David A. LaFuria, Counsel for U.S. Cellular, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed Nov. 4, 2005) (U.S. Cellular Virginia Amendment) (amending its Virginia petition to demonstrate compliance with the *Virginia Cellular Order* and the *Highland Cellular Order*).

Letter from David LaFuria, Counsel for U.S. Cellular, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed June 14, 2006) (U.S. Cellular Virginia Second Amendment) (amending its Virginia petition to withdraw certain wire centers from the proposed ETC service area in the Central Telephone Co.-VA and Verizon South-VA study areas; in the alternative, removal of certain wire centers in the Verizon South-VA study area and removal of the Collinsville (COLLINSVL) wire center in the Central Telephone Co.-VA study area).

Letter from David LaFuria, Counsel for U.S. Cellular, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 96-45, (filed July 28, 2006) (U.S. Cellular Virginia Third Amendment) (amending its Virginia petition to withdraw the Alta Vista (ALTVVAXA) and Buena Vista (BNVSVAXA) wire centers and to include the Brownsburg (BRBGVAXA) and Virgilina (VRGLVAXA) wire centers in its proposed service area for Central Telephone Co.-VA).

EXHIBIT 2

Rural Wire Centers for Inclusion in Alltel's ETC Service Area

Alabama:

LEC NAME	WIRE CENTER	CLLI
Castleberry Telephone Co. Inc.	CASTLEBERRY	CSTLALXA
Frontier Communications of AL	BEATRICE	BTRCALXA
Frontier Communications of AL	MONROEVILLE	EXCLALXA
Frontier Communications of AL	FINCHBERG	FNBGALXA
Frontier Communications of AL	FRISCO CITY	FRCYALXA
Frontier Communications of AL	GOSPORT	GSPTALXA
Frontier Communications of AL	MONROEVILLE	MOVLALXA
Frontier Communications of AL	PINE APPLE	PNAPALXA
Frontier Communications of AL	PETERMAN	PTMNALXA
Frontier Communications of AL	REPTON	RPTNALXA
Frontier Communications of AL	URIAH	URIHALXA
Gulf Telephone Co.	BON SECOUR	BNSCALXA
Gulf Telephone Co.	ELBERTA	ELBTALXA
Gulf Telephone Co.	ELBERTA	ELBTALXB
Gulf Telephone Co.	FOLEY	FOLYALXA
Gulf Telephone Co.	FOLEY	FOLYALXB
Gulf Telephone Co.	FORT MORGAN	FTMRALXA
Gulf Telephone Co.	GULF SHORES	GLSHALXA
Gulf Telephone Co.	GULF SHRS	GLSHALXB
Gulf Telephone Co.	LILLIAN	LLNALXA
Gulf Telephone Co.	ELBERTA	LLNALXB
Gulf Telephone Co.	LOXLEY	LXLYALXA
Gulf Telephone Co.	LOXLEY	LXLYALXB
Gulf Telephone Co.	FOLEY	MGSPALXA
Gulf Telephone Co.	SUMMERDALE	MRLWALXA
Gulf Telephone Co.	ORANGE BEACH	ORBHALXA
Gulf Telephone Co.	ORANGE BCH	ORBHALXC
Gulf Telephone Co.	ROBERTSDALE	RBDLALXA
Gulf Telephone Co.	SILVERHILL	RBDLALXB
Gulf Telephone Co.	SEMINOLE	SMNLALXA
Gulf Telephone Co.	ROBERTSDALE	SMNLALXB
Gulf Telephone Co.	SUMMERDALE	SRDLALXA
Hayneville Telephone Co. Inc.	GORDONSVILLE	GOVLALXA
Hayneville Telephone Co. Inc.	HAYNEVILLE	HYVLALXA
Hayneville Telephone Co. Inc.	LOWNDESBORO	LWBOALXA
Mon - Cre Telephone Cooperative	RAMER	RAMRALXA
Union Springs Telephone Co. Inc.	FORT DAVIS	FTDVALXA
Union Springs Telephone Co. Inc.	MIDWAY	MDWYALXA
Union Springs Telephone Co. Inc.	PEROTE	PROTALXA
Union Springs Telephone Co. Inc.	UN SPGS	UNSPALXA

North Carolina:

LEC NAME	WIRE CENTER	CLLI CODE
Atlantic Telephone Membership Co	BOLIVIA	BOLVNCXA
Atlantic Telephone Membership Co	BOILING SPRING LAKES	BSLKNCXA
Atlantic Telephone Membership Co	SUPPLY	HLBHNCXB
Atlantic Telephone Membership Co	LONGWOOD	LGWDNCXA
Atlantic Telephone Membership Co	OCEAN IS BEACH	SESDNCXB
Atlantic Telephone Membership Co	SHALLOTTE	SHLTNCXA
Concord Telephone Co.	ALBEMARLE	ALBMNCXA
Concord Telephone Co.	BADIN	BADNNCXA
Concord Telephone Co.	CHINA GROVE	CHGVNCXA
Concord Telephone Co.	CONCORD	CNCRNCXA
Concord Telephone Co.	HARRISBURG	HRBGNCXA
Concord Telephone Co.	KANNAPOLIS	KNPLNCXA
Concord Telephone Co.	MT PLEASANT	MNPLNCXA
Concord Telephone Co.	NEW LONDON	NWLNNCXA
Concord Telephone Co.	OAKBORO	OKBONCXA
Ellerbe Telephone Co. Inc.	ELLERBE	ELRBNCXA
Lexcom Telephone Company	LEXINGTON	LXTNNCXA
Lexcom Telephone Company	LEXINGTON	LXTNNCXB
Lexcom Telephone Company	LEXINGTON	LXTNNCXC
Lexcom Telephone Company	LEXINGTON	LXTNNCXD
Lexcom Telephone Company	LEXINGTON	LXTNNCXE
Lexcom Telephone Company	SOUTHMONT	SMNTNCXA
Lexcom Telephone Company	WELCOME	WLCMNCXA
Mebtel Inc.	MEBANE	MEBNNCXA
Piedmont Telephone Membership Co	CHURCHLAND	CHLDNCXA
Piedmont Telephone Membership Co	LEXINGTON	REDSNCXA
Pineville Telephone Co.	PINEVILLE	PIVLNCXB
Randolph Telephone Co.	LIBERTY	LBRTNCXA
Randolph Telephone Membership Co.	BADIN LAKE	BDLKNCXA
Randolph Telephone Membership Co.	BENNETT	BNNTNCXA
Randolph Telephone Membership Co.	COLERIDGE	CLRGNCXA
Randolph Telephone Membership Co.	FARMER	FRMRNCXA
Randolph Telephone Membership Co.	HIGHFALLS	HGHFNCXA
Randolph Telephone Membership Co.	JACKSON CREEK	JKCKNCXA
Randolph Telephone Membership Co.	ASHEBORO	PSGHNCXA
Service Telephone Co.	FAIR BLUFF	FRBLNCXA
Star Telephone Membership Corp.	BLADENBORO	ABBGNCXA
Star Telephone Membership Corp.	DUNN	COHRNCXA
Star Telephone Membership Corp.	GARLAND	CYCKNCXA
Star Telephone Membership Corp.	HARRELLS	HRLSNCXA
Star Telephone Membership Corp.	CLINTON	HRNGNCXA
Star Telephone Membership Corp.	KELLY	KLLYNCXA
Star Telephone Membership Corp.	LISBON	LSBNNCXA
Star Telephone Membership Corp.	ROSEBORO	SORVNCXA
Star Telephone Membership Corp.	TURKEY	SXRNNCXA

LEC NAME	WIRE CENTER	CLLI CODE
Star Telephone Membership Corp.	WHITE OAK	WHOKNCXA
Tri-County Telephone Membership	PANTEGO	PKRDNCXA
Tri-County Telephone Membership	PINETOWN	PNTWNCXA
Tri-County Telephone Membership	BATH	SDNYNCXA
Yadkin Valley Telephone Membership	ADVANCE	ADVNNCXA
Yadkin Valley Telephone Membership	HAMPTONVILLE	BRKSNCXA
Yadkin Valley Telephone Membership	COOLEEMEE	COLMNCXA
Yadkin Valley Telephone Membership	YADKINVILLE	CRTYNCXA
Yadkin Valley Telephone Membership	EAST BEND	EBNDNCXA
Yadkin Valley Telephone Membership	FORBUSH	FRBSNCXB
Yadkin Valley Telephone Membership	HARMONY	HRMYNCXA
Yadkin Valley Telephone Membership	MOCKSVILLE	IJAMNCXA
Yadkin Valley Telephone Membership	UNION GROVE	UNGVNCXB
Yadkin Valley Telephone Membership	NEW HOPE	NWHPNCXA

Virginia:

LEC NAME	WIRE CENTER	CLLI CODE
Buggs Island Telephone Coop	LA CROSSE	BCRGVAXA
Buggs Island Telephone Coop	BAKERVILLE	BCWDVAXA
Burkes Garden Telephone Co. Inc	BURKES GARDEN	BRGRVAXA
New Hope Telephone Co.	FT DEFIANCE	NWHPVAXA
Peoples Mutual Telephone Co.	GRETNA	GRETVAXA
Peoples Mutual Telephone Co.	HURT	HURTVAXA
Peoples Mutual Telephone Co.	RENAN	RENNVAXA
Peoples Mutual Telephone Co.	SANDY LEVEL	SNLVVAXA
Scott County Telephone Coop	CLINCHPORT	CLPTVAXA
Scott County Telephone Coop	DUFFIELD	DFFDVAXA
Scott County Telephone Coop	DUNGANNON	DNGNVAXA
Scott County Telephone Coop	FORT BLACKMORE	FTBCVAXA
Scott County Telephone Coop	NICKELSVILLE	NCVLVAXA
Scott County Telephone Coop	WILLIAMS MILL	WLMLVAXA

EXHIBIT 3

Rural Service Areas Previously Reclassified
Along Wire Center Boundaries For Inclusion
In Alltel's ETC Service Area

Alabama:

LEC NAME	WIRE CENTER	CLLI
Alltel Alabama Inc.	ECLECTIC	ECLCALXA
Alltel Alabama Inc.	ECLECTIC	KWLGALXA
Milry Telephone Co.	CHATOM	CHTMALXA

North Carolina:

LEC NAME	WIRE CENTER	CLLI
Central Telephone Co. – North Carolina	ASHEBORO	ASBONCXA
Central Telephone Co. – North Carolina	ASHEBORO	ASBONCXB
Central Telephone Co. – North Carolina	HICKORY	BHLHNCXA
Central Telephone Co. – North Carolina	BISCOE	BISCNCXA
Central Telephone Co. – North Carolina	BOONVILLE	BNVLNCXA
Central Telephone Co. – North Carolina	CANDOR	CNDRNCXA
Central Telephone Co. – North Carolina	CATAWBA	CTWBNCXA
Central Telephone Co. – North Carolina	EDEN	EDENNCXA
Central Telephone Co. – North Carolina	EDEN	EDENNCXB
Central Telephone Co. – North Carolina	ELKIN	ELKNNCXA
Central Telephone Co. – North Carolina	GRANITE FALLS	GRFLNCXA
Central Telephone Co. – North Carolina	HICKORY	HCKRNCXA
Central Telephone Co. – North Carolina	HICKORY	HCKRNCXB
Central Telephone Co. – North Carolina	HILLSBOROUGH	HLBONCXB
Central Telephone Co. – North Carolina	HILDEBRAN	HLDBNCXB
Central Telephone Co. – North Carolina	MADISON	MDSNNCXA
Central Telephone Co. – North Carolina	MOCKSVILLE	MKVLNCXA
Central Telephone Co. – North Carolina	MT GILEAD	MTGLNCXA
Central Telephone Co. – North Carolina	HICKORY	MTVWNCXA
Central Telephone Co. – North Carolina	PILOT MT	PLMTNCXA
Central Telephone Co. – North Carolina	PROSPECT HILL	PRHLNCXA
Central Telephone Co. – North Carolina	WALNUT COVE	QKGPNCXA
Central Telephone Co. – North Carolina	RAMSEUR	RMSRNCXA
Central Telephone Co. – North Carolina	ROXBORO	RXBONCXA
Central Telephone Co. – North Carolina	SEAGROVE	SEGVNCXA
Central Telephone Co. – North Carolina	SHERRILLS FORD	SHFRNCXA
Central Telephone Co. – North Carolina	STONEVILLE	STVLNCXA
Central Telephone Co. – North Carolina	ROUGEMONT	TMLKNCXA
Central Telephone Co. – North Carolina	TROY	TROYNCXA
Central Telephone Co. – North Carolina	VALDESE	VLDSNCXA
Central Telephone Co. – North Carolina	W END	WENDNCXB
Central Telephone Co. – North Carolina	WALKERTOWN	WKTWNCXA
Central Telephone Co. – North Carolina	WALNUT COVE	WLCVNCXA
Central Telephone Co. – North Carolina	YANCEYVILLE	YCVLNCXA
Central Telephone Co. – North Carolina	YADKINVILLE	YDVLNCXA
Surry Telephone Membership Corp.	SHOALS	SHLSNCXA

EXHIBIT 4

Non-Rural Wire Centers for Inclusion in Cingular's ETC Service Area

Alabama:

LEC NAME	WIRE CENTER	CLLI
BellSouth (Study Area Code 255181)	ALBERTVILLE-MAIN	ALVLALMA
BellSouth	ANNISTON-LENLOCK	ANTNALLE
BellSouth	ATHENS-ELK RIVER	ATHNALER
BellSouth	ATHENS-MAIN	ATHNALMA
BellSouth	ATTALLA-MAIN	ATTLALNM
BellSouth	BELLE FONTAINE	BLFNALMA
BellSouth	BOAZ-MAIN	BOAZALMA
BellSouth	BREWTON	BRTOALMA
BellSouth	BESSEMER-BUCKSVILLE	BSMRALBU
BellSouth	BAY MINETTE	BYMNALMA
BellSouth	CALERA	CALRALMA
BellSouth	CHELSEA	CHLSALMA
BellSouth	COLUMBIANA	CLMBALMA
BellSouth	CULLMAN-JONES CHAPEL	CLMNALJC
BellSouth	CORDOVA	CRDVALMA
BellSouth	CARBON HILL	CRHLALNM
BellSouth	COURTLAND	CRLDALMA
BellSouth	DORA	DORAALMA
BellSouth	EUTAW-BOLIGEE	EUTWALBO
BellSouth	EVERGREEN	EVRGALMA
BellSouth	FORT DEPOSIT	FTDPALMA
BellSouth	FORT PAYNE-MAIN	FTPYALMA
BellSouth	GADSDEN-HILLSIDE	GSDDALHS
BellSouth	GADSDEN-RAINBOW DRIVE	GSDDALRD
BellSouth	GARDENDALE	GRDLALNM
BellSouth	GURLEY-MAIN	GRLYALMA
BellSouth	GUNTERSVILLE-MAIN	GTVLALNM
BellSouth	GRAYSVILLE	GYVLALNM
BellSouth	HUNTSVILLE-REDSTONE ARSEN,	HNVLALRA
BellSouth	HANCEVILLE-BREMEN	HNVLALBR
BellSouth	HARTSELLE-MAIN	HRTSALNM
BellSouth	HARTSELLE-PENCE	HRTSALPE
BellSouth	HAZEL GREEN-MAIN	HZGRALMA
BellSouth	JACKSON	JCSNALNM
BellSouth	JACKSONVILLE-MAIN	JCVLALMA
BellSouth	JASPER	JSPRALMT
BellSouth	KILLEN	KLLNALMA
BellSouth	LEIGHTON	LGTNALMA
BellSouth	LIVINGSTON	LVTNALMA
BellSouth	MONTEVALLO	MNTVALNM

LEC NAME	WIRE CENTER	CLLI
BellSouth	MOBILE-SARALAND	MOBLALSA
BellSouth	MOBILE-SEMMES	MOBLALSE
BellSouth	MOBILE-THEODORE	MOBLALTH
BellSouth	MOULTON	MOLTALNM
BellSouth	MONTGOMERY-MILLBROOK	MTGMALMB
BellSouth	MONTGOMERY-NORMANDALE	MTGMALNO
BellSouth	MT VERNON	MTVRALMA
BellSouth	OHATCHEE-MAIN	OHTCALMA
BellSouth	PIEDMONT-MAIN	PDMTALMA
BellSouth	PINSON	PNSNALMA
BellSouth	PARRISH	PRSHALNM
BellSouth	PRATTVILLE	PRVLALMA
BellSouth	RED BAY	RDBAALMA
BellSouth	RUSSELLVILLE	RLVLALMA
BellSouth	ROGERSVILLE	RRVLALMA
BellSouth	SELMA	SELMALMT
BellSouth	STEVENSON-MAIN	STSNALMA
BellSouth	SYLACAUGA	SYLCALMT
BellSouth	THOMASVILLE	THVLALMA
BellSouth	TALLADEGA-MAIN	TLDGALMA
BellSouth	TUSCALOOSA-NORTHPORT	TSCLALNO
BellSouth	TUSKEGEE	TSKGALMA
BellSouth	TOWN CREEK	TWCKALMA
BellSouth	UNIONTOWN	UNTWALNM
BellSouth	VINCENT	VNCNALMA
BellSouth	WARRIOR	WRRRALNM
BellSouth	WETUMPKA	WTMPALMA
CenturyTel (Northern) (Study Area Code 259789)	BAYOU LA BATRE	BLBTALXA
CenturyTel (Northern)	BRILLIANT	BRILALXA
CenturyTel (Northern)	BERRY	BRRYALXA
CenturyTel (Northern)	CHULAFINNEE	CHLFALXA
CenturyTel (Northern)	CARROLLTON	CRTNALXA
CenturyTel (Northern)	DOUBLE SPRINGS	DBSPALXA
CenturyTel (Northern)	DELTA	DELTALXA
CenturyTel (Northern)	ETHELSTVILLE	ETVLALXA
CenturyTel (Northern)	FOWL RIVER	FWRVALXA
CenturyTel (Northern)	GRAND BAY	GDBAALXA
CenturyTel (Northern)	GORDO	GORDALXA
CenturyTel (Northern)	HACKLEBURG	HCBGALXA
CenturyTel (Northern)	HEFLIN	HFLNALXA
CenturyTel (Northern)	HALEYVILLE	HLVLALXA
CenturyTel (Northern)	HAMILTON	HMTNALXA
CenturyTel (Northern)	IRVINGTON	IRSEALXA
CenturyTel (Northern)	LECTA	LECTALXA
CenturyTel (Northern)	LINCOLN	LNCLALXA
CenturyTel (Northern)	MORRISONS XRDC12	MRCRALXA
CenturyTel (Northern)	PHIL CAMPBELL	PHBLALXA
CenturyTel (Northern)	PELL CITY	PLCYALXA

LEC NAME	WIRE CENTER	CLLI
CenturyTel (Northern)	THORSBY	THRSALXA
CenturyTel (Northern)	VERNON	VERNALXA
CenturyTel (Northern)	VALLEY HEAD	VYHDALXA
CenturyTel (Northern)	WEDOWEE	WEDWALXA
CenturyTel (Southern) (Study Area Code 259788)	BRUNDIDGE	BRNDALXA
CenturyTel (Southern)	GEORGIANA	GRGNALXA
CenturyTel (Southern)	MIDLAND CITY	MLCYALXA
CenturyTel (Southern)	SCOTTSBORO	SCBOALXA
CenturyTel (Southern)	WICKSBURG	WCBGALXA

Virginia:

LEC NAME	WIRE CENTER	CLLI
Verizon South Inc.-VA (Contel)	INDEPENDENT HILL	INHLVAXA
Verizon South Inc.-VA (Contel)	DALE CITY	DLCYVAXA
Verizon South Inc.-VA (Contel)	BOWLING GREEN	BWLGVAXA
Verizon South Inc.-VA (Contel)	COLONIAL BEACH	CLBHVAXA
Verizon South Inc.-VA (Contel)	DAWN	DAWNVAXA
Verizon South Inc.-VA (Contel)	KING GEORGE	KGGRVAXA
Verizon South Inc.-VA (Contel)	KING WILLIAM	KGWLVAXA
Verizon South Inc.-VA (Contel)	LORTON	LRTNVAXA
Verizon South Inc.-VA (Contel)	MANASSAS	MNSSVAXA
Verizon South Inc.-VA (Contel)	OCCOQUAN	OCQNVAXA
Verizon South Inc.-VA (Contel)	TAPPAHANNOCK	TPHNVAXA
Verizon South Inc.-VA (Contel)	WARSAW	WRSWVAXA
Verizon South Inc.-VA (Contel)	BROADWAY	BRWYVAXA
Verizon South Inc.-VA (Contel)	ELKTON	EKTNVAXA
Verizon South Inc.-VA (Contel)	GREAT BRIDGE	GRBRVAXA
Verizon South Inc.-VA (Contel)	BATTLEFIELD	GRBRVAXB
Verizon South Inc.-VA (Contel)	HICKORY	HCKRVAXA
Verizon South Inc.-VA (Contel)	HARRISONBURG	HRBGVAXA
Verizon South Inc.-VA (Contel)	MCGAHEYSVILLE	MGVLVAXA
Verizon South Inc.-VA (Contel)	PRINCESS ANNE	PRANVAXA
Verizon South Inc.-VA (Contel)	PUNGO	PUNGVAXA
Verizon South Inc.-VA (Contel)	SMITHFIELD	SMFDVAXA
Verizon Virginia Inc.	ASHBURN	ASBNVAAS
Verizon Virginia Inc.	STEPHENS CITY	STCYVASC
Verizon Virginia Inc.	HARTWOOD	HRWDVAHW
Verizon Virginia Inc.	BERRYVILLE	BEVLVABV
Verizon Virginia Inc.	CAPE CHARLES	CPCHVACC
Verizon Virginia Inc.	CULPEPER	CLPPVACU
Verizon Virginia Inc.	GORDONSVILLE	GOVLVAGV
Verizon Virginia Inc.	LIGNUM	CLPPVALI
Verizon Virginia Inc.	LOUISA	LOUSVALU
Verizon Virginia Inc.	MARSHALL	MRSHVAMA
Verizon Virginia Inc.	ONANCOCK	ONNCVAON
Verizon Virginia Inc.	ORANGE	ORNGVAOR

LEC NAME	WIRE CENTER	CLI
Verizon Virginia Inc.	PARKSLEY	PRKSVAPK
Verizon Virginia Inc.	REVA	CLPPVARV
Verizon Virginia Inc.	SPERRYVILLE	SRVLVASP
Verizon Virginia Inc.	SPOTSYLVANIA	SPTSVASP
Verizon Virginia Inc.	TEMPERANCEVILLE	TMVLVATV
Verizon Virginia Inc.	WARRENTOWN	WRTNVAWR
Verizon Virginia Inc.	EXMORE	EXMRVAEX
Verizon Virginia Inc.	BEDFORD	BDFRVABD
Verizon Virginia Inc.	BETHIA	BTHIVABT
Verizon Virginia Inc.	NEW LONDON ROAD	LYBGVANL
Verizon Virginia Inc.	POWHATAN	PWHTVAPW
Verizon Virginia Inc.	BONSACK	RONKVABS
Verizon Virginia Inc.	STEWARTSVILLE	SWVLVASV