

LUKAS, NACE, GUTIERREZ & SACHS
CHARTERED
1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD
SUITE 1500
McLEAN, VIRGINIA 22102

GEORGE L. LYON, JR.
(703) 584-8664

May 29, 2008

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

RE: Ex Parte Presentation
Docket 03-123

Dear Madam Secretary:

This letter is written on behalf of GoAmerica, Inc. to respond to and correct certain incorrect statements contained in the ex parte notification filed May 22, 2008 by Sprint Nextel concerning the Joint Proposal for Internet based TRS numbering advanced by AT&T, GoAmerica and Hands On Video Relay Services, Inc.

Sprint stated in its ex parte filing that it is difficult to determine who is involved in the proposal. That is a surprising comment. AT&T, GoAmerica and Hands On advanced the proposal and have discussed it with other providers, including Sprint. Dash Carrier Systems has offered to implement the proposal on an expedited basis for those providers interesting in participating immediately so that consumers can enjoy the benefits of 10 digit numbering now. In light of the clear public interest benefit in offering consumers 10 digit numbering now, GoAmerica and certain other providers have accepted that offer. That does not render Dash an interested party. Dash is simply a vendor of which GoAmerica has many, as does Sprint.

Sprint seems, however, to be under the false impression that the Joint Proposal is dependent on Dash serving as the database manager. Nothing could be further from the truth. Unlike NeuStar's NPAC proposal, the Joint Proposal is not dependent on any particular entity to operate the central numbering database. The Joint Proposal contemplates that providers or the FCC would select a database administrator either through consensus or via an expedited RFP process, pursuant to which Dash and all other interested parties could participate. Thus, Sprint's concern with respect to Dash, which in any event appears to be based on faulty information, is irrelevant to the merits of the Joint Proposal. GoAmerica has reviewed Dash's D&B report and find nothing to corroborate Sprint's statements about Dash's

financial ability. Furthermore GoAmerica is surprised that Sprint would make such a comment on the public record without first seeking to confirm such a fact with Dash.

Lastly there is no basis in Sprint's claim that the Joint Proposal is a take it or leave it proposal. The proponents of the proposal have never taken that position. They have attempted to engage with Sprint and other providers to address any concerns with respect to the proposal.

Should any question arise concerning this matter, kindly contact this office.

Very truly yours,

/s/

George L. Lyon, Jr.

cc: Nicholas Alexander, Esquire