NEUSTAR 2000 M Street • NW, Suite 600 • Washington, DC, 20036 202 533 2600 202 533 2970 www.neustar.biz

June 4, 2008

By electronic filing:

Marlene Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Ex Parte Meeting
CG Docket No. 03-123

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On June 4, 2008, Tom McGarry, Brian Rosen and I met with Amy Bender, Legal Advisor to Chairman Martin, to discuss NeuStar's proposed solution for providing telephone numbers to the users of IP-based Telecommunications Relay Services. Our discussion was consistent with NeuStar's comments and presentations that are already included in the record.

Sincerely,

Richard L. Fruchterman, III
Public Policy and Regulatory Counsel

cc: Amy Bender

TRU Call Flow Hearing to Deaf/HoH with Alternate Provider



Which looks exactly like a VoIP to VoIP peered call



Hearing person to deaf person call using the GoAmerica/AT&TS proposal



- Call processing is similar to the CSDVRS solution
  - In the CSDVRS solution the user's device updates its IP address directly to the DNS DB Provider

Decisions

Decision 1	Existing Database (i.e., NPAC)	New Database (i.e., RFP)
Timeline	Faster to deploy	Risk to timeline
Achievability	Known capabilities	Unknown pitfalls

Decision 2	Calls go through the default relay provider	Calls DO NOT go through the default relay provider
Address stored in DB	URI of the default relay provider	IP address of the consumer's device
Enhanced services	Can be provided by default relay provider	Cannot be provided by default relay provider
Consumer security	Closed firewalls – consumer not exposed to security threats	Open firewalls – consumer exposed to security threats
Network security / Fraud mitigation	Closed network allows enables security and fraud mitigation	Open network exposes service to security threats and fraud