

I apologize for my ignorance on this subject.

Questions and comments:

Is this in the best interest of the consumer or an act by the MPAA to tap into a crowded market? Who would benefit more from the waiver, an industry in a downward spiral or the consumer?

I currently have options to view content as I prefer it prior to the TV network distribution; a \$10 movie ticket, a \$4 rental, a \$20 purchase, or a free download.

Two of these options currently allow me to view HD content in the privacy of my home if I chose to also buy compatible equipment.

The populations that will be hit hard by the Digital Transition live outside areas with cable service providers and have very few options for internet connectivity.

How many of those people will run out and buy an HD TV when their satellite provider should provide the set top box for them?

Will it force satellite and/or cable providers to pay the MPAA if they wanted to show the content over their networks?

Would people be forced to subscribe to a new provider?

Would this give the MPAA free reign over it's advertisements; opening the floodgates for advertisers to solicit more 'stuff' before, during and after movies?

Where is the "new business model"? Shouldn't there be an official business model before the waiver is granted? The petition places quotes around phrase suggesting it only has a hypothetical business model.