

Alex Cassity
4336 So. Mulholland
Salt Lake City, UT 84124-3841

June 30, 2008

FCC
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW Room TW-B204
Washington, DC 20554

Dear FCC:

Dear. FCC,

I am deeply embarrassed for our government failing us as consumers. I am dissatisfied that our system put in place cannot even make a decision as simple as a ruling on a satellite radio merger. A deal that is more beneficial for the consumer than the Exxon Mobile merger, that only had one hearing. The time frame for a deal which clearly benefits the consumer has gone beyond ridiculous. Exxon Mobile and Chevron Texaco never set pricing regulations on their own product.

Sirius and Xm have both agreed to pricing regulations, offer a better product to the consumer, and give the consumer more options to choose from. Justice has been served and the DOJ finds both parties innocent.

Giving away twenty percent of the satellite radio spectrum clearly harms the current subscribers to these services because they will have about twenty channels less to listen to on their current radio. I ask why is this deal still on hold?

In my opinion this deal is both pro choice and pro consumer. Because both parties have agreed to better pricing options, more options to the consumer, and alternative service plans. In my opinion leaving this deal on hold only gives the NAB and other corporations the chance to line the pockets of congress. Even the DOJ admits that there are many other alternatives to Xm and Sirius.

If the problem is whether or not XM and Sirius will increase their prices. Then hold them to their pricing plans. Make them sign an agreement so that

they cannot increase pricing. Both companies have a variety of competitors including: Ipods, Am/Fm, Itunes, Cell phones, HD radio, Internet radio, etc.

Currently both Sirius and Xm continue to loss millions each year. Exxon Mobile weren't in financial trouble when they proposed their merger, and furthermore they never proposed better pricing like Sirius and Xm. Leaving this deal in the hold process is destroying shareholder value, thousands of consumers will not buy a radio because they do not know where the technology will be until a decision is made. Leaving this deal on hold is bankrupting both Sirius and Xm a decision needs to be made weather it be approved or not. If a decision isn't made thousands of employees will loss their jobs when Xm and Sirius go bankrupt, millions of shareholders will be out of their investments, and the consumer will have less options to choose from. I encourage everyone to look at the balance sheets of these two companies. Neither company has turned a profit, I ask how is this a monopoly?

Currently consumers have lots of options to choose from including: Ipods, Mp3's, Am/Fm, HD Radio, Internet Radio, Satellite Radio, Etc. When and if Xm And Sirius go bankrupt the consumer will actually have less options to choose from. Neither company has ever turned a profit. The only thing that will benefit from these two going bankrupt will be Am/Fm, and HD radio, their will always be radio broadcasting which is what Sirius and Xm provide is an alternative to traditional Am/Fm radio. Traditional Am/Fm radio stations will always be around because they make millions each year from radio advertizing by many major corporations. The fact is that this has been delayed by the pull that traditional Am/Fm stations have, they have bought as much time as they can from Congress and Senate to try and bankrupt these companies, because they know these companies are in trouble and they know that if they delay long enough they can destroy them.

Sincerely,

Alex Cassity
8018287090