

In a letter from Henry B. Handler of Weiss, Handler, Angelos and Cornwell, P.A., it is stated that 30,000 households stand against Docket 07-51 in an effort to retain Bulk Billing arrangements. These 30,000 households stand united (apparently) through the signatures of 6 individuals.

Six people representing 30,000? I'll enlighten you, Mr. Handler, of the larger picture here considering you're no where close to seeing it.

First and foremost---if you want to bring 30,000 people to the table, show us how you reached out to them! Don't push the FCC some document with 6 signatures on it that lacks any substantial shred of evidence to indicate any of the citizens were spoken to or counseled on the matter.

If you decide that six people will support your group, do some research on those six people. You would find that Sam Oser has already had substantial media attention in 2000 when thousands of elderly voters were misdirected into voting for Bush over Gore.

Take a look at whose name is all over that article. After you read it, come back and try to sell the FCC on Sam Oser doing due diligence to the people of that community in regards to long term bulk billing contracts.
(<http://www.wnd.com/index.php?pageId=4340>)

Second, in the rare case that you exercised due diligence to obtain the consent to speak for 30,000 individual households, give me a sound reason as to why they are more important than the 30,000 households that are distressed by bulk billing. Yes, I have 30,000 followers...I'll show you six names later to prove it.

I've never had a driving accident in my life...not one. The cost of my car is still higher because of air bags and the capital investments the maker incurs by adding the feature. I could honestly do without airbags and feel fine. I would never ask this though because I know how many lives they have saved. Store this idea and save it for the next paragraph.

Your households may have a good deal, but a lot of us do not! We should be locked in to these bad communication companies with higher than average pricing because it's working out for you? On the same note, we should tax Lawyers at 75%---it doesn't affect me so it must be fine! Did you skip your Professional Ethics/Philosophy Class? The communities you represent are saving 10% at the expense of my freedom of choice. Rationalize that last sentence and I'll walk away from this docket.

Lastly, get rid of the bulk billing agreement if you are so confident in the service the homeowners are being offered. If the service is that incredible, it

shouldn't matter if your home owners are under contract or not, they would still use it. If they still used it, why would the cable company need to modify their pricing matrices if the provider representation would still remain 100% in their favor?

I know it's tough, but make your bed and sleep in it! If you say 30,000 all want one company---give them the option to make that decision. The result would be the same and everyone would win. We are common citizens, but we are not idiots. You represent people that want to turn the spotlight on the "INJUSTICE" that will be done to those that are befitting from Bulk Agreements. Answer to the INJUSTICE currently happening to those that are being raped by them. Loosen your tie and just let it out---you are protecting people that feel Money is more important than Freedom. I hope you sleep well at night.

Disappointedlly,

Casey Taylor
Remington Park Homeowner
1113 Teton Circle
Suffolk, VA 23435
757-328-1079