



July 28, 2008

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

**Re: Notice of *Ex Parte* Meeting: CC Docket No. 99-68; WC Docket No. 01-92;
and WC Docket No. 08-152**

Dear Ms. Dortch:

I submit this notice of an *ex parte* meeting held on July 25, 2008 between Core Communications, Inc. ("Core") and the Wireline Competition Bureau. Hannah Anderson, Randy Clarke, and Marcus Maher attended the meeting on behalf of the Wireline Competition Bureau. Bret Mingo, Chris Van de Verg, James Falvey, and I attended the meeting on behalf of Core.

During the meeting, Core discussed the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit's opinion in *In re: Core Communications, Inc.*, 2008 WL 2649636 (C.A.D.C), and Core's May 14, 2008 *ex parte*, filed in CC Docket No. 99-68 and WC Docket No. 01-92.

Core further explained that the Commission should subject all telecommunications traffic to reciprocal compensation under section 251(b)(5) of the Act and that the state commissions should continue to set the rate for such traffic under section 252(d) of the Act.

If you have any questions, please contact me.

Sincerely,

Michael B. Hazzard
Counsel for Core Communications, Inc.

cc: **Via electronic mail**
Hannah Anderson
Randy Clarke
Marcus Maher