

LAW OFFICES  
**GOLDBERG, GODLES, WIENER & WRIGHT**  
1229 NINETEENTH STREET, N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036-2413

HENRY GOLDBERG  
JOSEPH A. GODLES  
JONATHAN L. WIENER  
LAURA A. STEFANI  
DEVENDRA ("DAVE") KUMAR

---

HENRIETTA WRIGHT  
THOMAS G. GHERARDI, P.C.  
COUNSEL

---

THOMAS S. TYCZ\*  
SENIOR POLICY ADVISOR  
\*NOT AN ATTORNEY

(202) 429-4900  
TELECOPIER:  
(202) 429-4912

e-mail:  
[general@g2w2.com](mailto:general@g2w2.com)  
website: [www.g2w2.com](http://www.g2w2.com)

August 1, 2008

**FILED ELECTRONICALLY**

Marlene H. Dortch  
Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th St., S.W.  
Washington, DC 20554

**Re: WT Docket No. 02-55, ET Docket No. 00-258, and ET Docket No. 95-18**  
*Ex parte filing*

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On July 31, 2008, Doug Brandon, Vice President, Regulatory Affairs, TerreStar Networks Inc. ("TerreStar"); Amy Mehlman, Mehlman Capitol Strategies, Inc., representing TerreStar; and the undersigned, counsel to TerreStar, met with Geraldine

Marlene H. Dortch  
August 1, 2008  
Page 2

Matisse,<sup>1</sup> Alan Stillwell, Jamison Prime, and Nicholas Oros, all of the Office of Engineering and Technology, and Howard Griboff of the International Bureau. In the meeting, the TerreStar representatives discussed the arguments concerning reimbursement of broadcast auxiliary service ("BAS") relocation expenses that TerreStar made in Section IV of its recently-filed reply comments in the above-referenced proceedings.<sup>2</sup>

Please direct any questions concerning this filing to the undersigned.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'J. Godles', with a stylized flourish at the end.

Joseph A. Godles  
*Counsel to TerreStar Networks Inc.*

cc: Alan Stillwell  
Geraldine Matisse  
Jamison Prime  
Nicholas Oros  
Howard Griboff

<sup>1</sup> Ms. Matisse participated by telephone.

<sup>2</sup> Reply Comments of TerreStar Networks Inc. (May 30, 2008). Section IV of TerreStar's reply comments responded to arguments made by Sprint Nextel Corporation ("Sprint") concerning reimbursement of BAS relocation expenses. TerreStar took the position in its reply comments, and continues to take the position, that the BAS reimbursement issues raised by Sprint in response to the Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking ("FNPRM") in the above-referenced matters are irrelevant to the FNPRM. The BAS reimbursement issue is, however, implicated by a letter that Sprint filed on June 25, 2008, in WT Docket No. 02-55.