

Regulatory Parity for Voice Traffic

- ❑ FGIP lacks standing because it does not seek relief from a regulatory obligation to which it is subject
- ❑ The ESP exemption is not applicable to IP-voice traffic that terminates on the PSTN
- ❑ The delivery of IP-enabled calls to a PSTN subscriber is not contemplated in the ESP exemption
- ❑ IP-voice is viewed increasingly as a substitute for circuit-switched voice services
- ❑ The AT&T “IP-in-the-middle” decision confirmed that traffic is not exempt from access charges only because a portion of a call utilizes IP transmission
- ❑ Users of the network should pay fairly for the benefits they obtain
- ❑ The Commission’s interest in securing rational intercarrier compensation reform can be confirmed by establishing policies that promote regulatory parity for voice traffic

