



Hogan & Hartson LLP  
Columbia Square  
555 Thirteenth Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20004  
+1.202.637.5600 Tel  
+1.202.637.5910 Fax

[www.hhlaw.com](http://www.hhlaw.com)

March 12, 2009

Peter A. Rohrbach  
Partner  
+1.202.637.8631  
parohrbach@hhlaw.com

**BY ELECTRONIC FILING**

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch  
Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**Re: Notice of Ex Parte Presentation  
IB Docket No. 08-143**

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On March 11, 2009, Michael Collins, Chairman and CEO of Mobsat Group Holding Sarl; Bob Baker, President and COO of Vizada Americas; Barbara Spencer, General Counsel of Vizada Americas; and Larry Paul, Vice President, Business Development of Vizada Americas; along with Peter Rohrbach and David Saylor of Hogan & Hartson, counsel to Vizada; met with Acting Chairman Copps and his Legal Advisor, Paul Murray.

During the meeting Vizada discussed the attached materials and the positions stated in its prior pleadings in this docket. In particular, Vizada noted (1) that Inmarsat by its own admission maintains shares in excess of 70% and also high profit margins in most segments of the MSS business (as Inmarsat defines the segments in its public disclosures) and (2) that the proposed merger of Inmarsat and Stratos would enhance the incentive and ability of the combined firm to extend and insulate from effective challenge its market power in those segments. Vizada also explained why it is essential to sound decision-making for the Commission promptly to (i) collect and examine relevant MSS market data, including internal data and documents of the merger parties relevant to market definition, shares, etc., and (ii) based on the facts collected, conduct an analysis of effective competition in the key relevant MSS markets.

Vizada requested that the Commission grant its pending Application for Review or take other action that would permit this necessary analytical process to proceed *prior* to the closing of the transaction and the consequent onset of irrevocable harms to competition and the public interest. Vizada also discussed the competitive safeguards that, at a minimum, would be required if after a full fact-gathering and analysis there is a basis for allowing the transaction to be consummated.

Please direct any questions regarding this submission to the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

\_\_\_\_\_  
/s/

Peter A. Rohrbach  
David J. Saylor  
Counsel to Vizada, Inc.

cc: Paul Murray