

Dear FCC, I would like to comment on the terms and concepts of the commission procedures for broadband.

1. My recommendation for the definition of "unserved area" would be, is an area that does not have "public" access to broadband (see definition 3) services.

2. My recommendation for "underserved" areas, are areas served by existing telcos with broadband service but "public" access is limited and/or service is limited through packet transfer filtering.

3. "Broadband" should be defined as 756k, non-shared.

4. Under the non-discrimination conditions it is my recommendation that any provider that has service on Indian territory or Land be required to offer priority bandwidth to the tribal governments at the Urban Rate, despite the acquisition of USF subsidy.

5. The interconnection obligation should require connection to users on Indian Land or Indian territory, if the provider has other "customers" it must be willing to provide broadband connections at urban rates to ALL users.

The reasoning behind these definitions are because in our region of Alaska, remote villages are getting limited Internet connection through satellite. The service is a shared 256k connection. The service gets no tech support, the user having to buy the terminus equipment at \$200 and if it fails is required to buy new equipment. Even if you have a private line connection, the packets are given priority according to who the user is. Because this limited service is provided, no competition can be funded even tribal governments. The current service is slow and intermittent.