

**AFFIDAVIT OF LINDA LETTIERI**

State of New York    ]
   
                                  ]        ss.
   
County of Dutchess    ]

LINDA LETTIERI being duly sworn deposes and says:

1. My name is Linda Lettieri. I live at 95 Riverview Drive, Fishkill, New York.
2. I worked at Sunburst Communications, Inc. then located at 101 Castleton Street in Pleasantville, New York from June of 1986 until June of 2001.
3. I resigned from my position of Computer Programmer Analyst after 15 years with Sunburst Communications, Inc. because a cell tower was scheduled for construction on a lot directly across the street from our location. Representatives of the Pleasantville Town Board explained that there was no legal action they could take in order to block the construction of this tower.
4. In March of 1995 I was diagnosed with Kidney Cancer. My left kidney and a surrounding tumor were removed. The tumor was "encapsulated" and my prognosis for a full recovery was excellent after the surgery. However, periodic CAT scans were deemed necessary to ensure that no further growth would be discovered.
5. By the year of 2001 when I heard about the cell tower construction in Pleasantville, my body had withstood many CAT scans and consequently a good deal more radiation than the average person. I could not imagine that ANY studies regarding the safety of Radio Frequency radiation would apply to someone like me who had already been exposed to periodic radiation on a regular basis. Therefore, I saw no recourse except to resign from my position in Pleasantville.
6. Because of my past history, I limit my cell phone use, turning my cell phone on only if I am expecting a call or need to make a call. In our home, we turn off wireless connections except when they are in use.
7. It is my belief that we, as a society, have not done nearly enough relevant health studies to warrant the widespread construction of wireless networks.
8. It would greatly upset me if such a network brought any increase in Radio Frequency exposure to my home! It was difficult enough to leave a job after 15 years with the same company. It would be unbearable to feel that I was forced from my own home, yet, to my knowledge, there are NO studies involving a significant population with my level of

EXHIBIT 17

radiation exposure that assure a greater RF exposure would be perfectly safe for my health.

9. I truly hope that we, as a nation, will stop increasing the scope of wireless networks until conclusive studies can vouch for their safety among the many different members of our population, including (but certainly not limited to) those of us with a greater than average exposure to radiation and developing children and adolescents who may be much more sensitive to radiation effects.

*Linda Lettieri*  
Linda Lettieri

Sworn to before me

This 2 day of June, 2009

*Amy Lynn Minutolo*  
Notary Public

AMY LYNN MINUTOLO  
Notary Public, State of New York  
No. 01M16134367  
Qualified in Orange County  
Commission Expires October 3, 09

AFFIDAVIT OF BEVERLY PAPE

State of Texas     ]  
                          ]  
County of Dallas   ]

ss.

June 1, 2009  
#09-31

BEVERLY PAPE being duly sworn deposes and says:


1. My Name is Beverly Pape. I live at 5931 Encore Dr., Dallas, Texas 75240
2. I currently experience EMR sensitivity when using the telephone and the computer. Telephone calls are kept to a short duration as I experience a spike in blood pressure and am not able to tolerate blood pressure medication. I do not use the computer at all as I am hypersensitive to its output. I enter into a general malaise, my thinking becomes confused, a headache can occur, and I can feel quite ill.
3. I have had breast cancer and continue to be under doctors' care for that and for other immune system disorders.
4. My concerns about EMR have prompted me to research the issue. I am aware of the following:  
  
The International Association of Firefighters in August, 2004, adopted a petition by its membership which included a refusal to use fire stations for cell phone towers and/or antennas because firemen were suffering ill effects from such placements "until a study with the highest scientific merit and integrity on health effects of exposure to low intensity RF/MW radiation is conducted and it is proven that such sitings are not hazardous to the health of our members."
5. I wish to adopt the above statement as one I would echo in calling on the United States government to withhold permission for moving forward with present plans to increase low intensity RF/radiation with the installation of Wi Max and other such systems.
6. Based on my research of the issue and on my own personal experience, I consider low level RF/MW radiation to be an immune system stressor, a hazard that no one can afford.
7. Because I believe that science is yet to prove safety and there is increasing worldwide evidence as to the hazard of EMR exposure I hereby designate the EMR Policy Institute to speak on my behalf on this FCC proceeding for the purpose of defending my rights to be safe in my own home.

*Beverly Pape*  
Beverly Pape

Sworn to before me

This 3 day of June, 2009

EXHIBIT 18

*Eloise M. Moran*  
Notary Public  
  
ELOISE M MORLAN  
My Commission Expires  
December 17, 2010

AFFIDAVIT OF VALETTA KAYDA

State of Washington

County of Cowlitz

SS.

Valetta Kayda being duly sworn deposes and says:

1. My name is Valetta Kayda. I live at 506 S. Goble Creek Rd., Kelso, Washington 98626.
2. I have lived in Kelso, Washington since August 2008. I moved here after I could no longer live in my Seattle apartment (~~684~~ NW 52nd Ave, Seattle, WA 98107), or my Redmond, Oregon home (2320 SW Indian Ave., Redmond, Oregon 97756) due to my severe hypersensitivity to electromagnetic fields, which developed in March 2008.
3. The high level of electricity in general and my neighbor's work on computers from his tri-nov. Co. H1. EXHIBIT 19

painful to me.

4. During the 2 years I lived in Seattle a change had taken place in Redmond, Oregon. A cell phone tower had been placed on Ray's grocery store across the street from my home. The pain I felt from the cell phone tower made it impossible for me to return to live in my Redmond home.
5. Although there are fewer cell phone towers near my rural Kelso home, than there were in Seattle, or Redmond, I am unable to live in a safe haven free of their influence and I continue to have symptoms.
6. I have been unable to work since April 14, 2008 due to my EMF hypersensitivity.
7. Thirty-one years ago I had a benign acoustic neuroma removed surgically by Dr. David Zorub, of Pittsburgh, PA.
8. I worked most recently from August

of 2006 to April 14 2008 for Edmonds School District in Lynnwood, WA. Those years of work were in Wi-Fi buildings. I had not worked in Wi-Fi buildings before.

9. Although I had not had any CAT-SCAN indications of tumors (since my surgery) in the years preceding my work at Edmonds school District, following almost 2 years of work there in WI-FI buildings an MRI showed a recurrent schwannoma.

10. I received 2 MRIs and Radiation treatment for the Schwannoma. Following (Gamma Knife Radiation) treatment I quickly developed the severe hypersensitivity to electromagnetic fields which I continue to have today.

11. I believe the symptoms I originally went to the doctor for were related to a mild form of EMF hypersensitivity, but when the small tumor was found these other symptoms were not investigated. I believe my work in Wi-Fi is

symptoms of EMF hypersensitivity, as well as for the return of my benign tumor.

12. Since all of this has happened I have thought differently about the new technologies and their rapid spread. I have grave concerns for my own safety and for the safety of others exposed to the electromagnetic radiation in the environment. I do not wish for anyone else to have their life turned upside down like mine has been. I also fear that I may not survive the changes that are proposed for providing high-speed internet service throughout the country — FCC 09-31, A National Broadband Plan for Our Future.

13. My hypersensitivity to EMF has greatly negatively impacted my elderly parents (both 85 years old) lives and my daughters lives. They have provided me with emotional support and physical help throughout this ordeal. and continue to do so.

14. We have read many studies which support our concern that this technology is dangerous. My daughter has personally spoken with professors doing such research at the University of Washington. Because it is so vital to my health we are trying to learn all we can about EMF research. Until there is a way to ensure my safety and the safety of others from the overlapping signals we are exposed to in our environment and until the health effects of long term exposure to EMF is better researched and understood, I and my family would like to see a moratorium on further development of these wireless systems across our country.

15. I feel I have already lost my health, my apartment, my home and my job. If this national wireless system goes through will I lose my country too? I fear I will. I also fear it will go worldwide and then I will have no escape. Although it is currently my problem, it is now

become the problem of many other people, for I fear as more people are exposed to WI-FI they too will develop EMF hypersensitivity.

16. I do not want to continue being a guinea pig in this real life experiment in EMF exposure.

17. I think as a citizen of the United States I should have the right to choose whether or not I live, work and play in WI-FI environments. I should not have them forced on me unvoluntarily, against my will.

18. I should as a U.S. Citizen have a right to be safe in my home, school, workplace, and other environments.

19. It is my understanding that the EMR Policy Institute is preparing to submit comments in the current Federal Communications proceeding to develop the policy for

providing high-speed internet service throughout the country -  
FCC 09-31, A National Broadband Plan for Our Future.

20. I, the undersigned and all of my family (2 parents and daughter) hereby designate the EMR Policy Institute to speak on our behalf on this FCC proceeding for the purpose of defending our rights to be safe in our own homes, schools, workplaces and neighborhoods from an invasion of wireless signals that many (and we strongly believe will) hurt us. The FCC's current RF exposure guidelines are inadequate to protect us as we can clearly see from current scientific studies.

21. I request that the FCC accept and consider this document and the attached exhibits into evidence for consideration under FCC 09-31, A National Broadband Plan for Our

of the existence of an individual with EMF hypersensitivity and of signals to which my family and I are subject against our will, yet without proper standards based on current science.

Sworn to before me Valetta A. Kayda  
This 4 day of Valetta A. Kayda  
June 2009

6/4/09

Jacq A. Ward  
Notary Public



Diary of Valetta Kayda's symptoms (past and current)

12/12/08

Prior to gamma knife radiation, the symptoms my mom had were:

- mild transient supra auricular temporal area heat and movement sensations
- a slight change in balance (could not balance standing on one foot with eyes closed)
- slight visual changes (occasional blurred vision)
- a mild increase in blood pressure

At that time she was still able to work full time and lead a normal life

In the first few days after radiation she experienced:

- enormous face and head swelling and numbness on the entire top of her head
- intense lower back pain that made it difficult to sit, lie down and walk
- extreme fatigue
- shooting stabs of pain to general head area and left chest areas (sensations like that of electrical shocks being administered), not relieved by aspirin
- sensations of intense whole body vibrations that disrupted her sleep. She describes this sensation as similar to that created by touching an electric fence with a wooden stick, but much more intense.

The face and head swelling, and the back pain went away within the first month. The numbness remained for several months, but finally diminished.

She attempted to return to work from April 7 to April 11 of 2008, but the shooting stabs of pain in her head and chest increased in intensity, frequency and duration, creating a sensation that felt like a continual electric current running through her head. This pain and sensation was not relieved by aspirin. The sensation of whole body vibrations also increased, becoming disconcerting, distracting and extremely uncomfortable which greatly interfered in her ability to sleep and concentrate. Her fatigue increased severely and she began to experience other symptoms as well, including:

- cognitive dysfunction, including impaired memory and concentration
- muscle and joint pain in hips, arms, feet, legs
- feeling of heat and pressure in upper back
- sensations of heat and tingling throughout whole body
- difficulty sleeping. Any sleep she did achieve was fitful, painful sleep with numerous awakenings, chills and night sweats.
- hand and arm numbness
- shooting pains and pressure in chest accompanied by shortness of breath
- instances of irregular pulsing of heartbeat
- instances of intense abdominal shooting pains
- blurred vision and instances of bright flashes of light
- extreme tinnitus

- severe sensitivity to sound resulting in headaches (general ache and shooting pains throughout head)
- throat swelling and hoarseness
- uncontrollable shaking and shivering
- sensitivity to odors that caused her to feel nauseated. Especially chemical odors.
- inability to sustain mental concentration, thinking ability or work effort over any length of time
- reduced speech articulation (developed a lisp)
- reduced language word finding ability
- due to the extreme pain, fatigue and cognitive difficulty she was experiencing she became extremely anxious, fearful, irritable and depressed, often crying uncontrollably during the day and throughout the night.

By the end of the week of April 7-11, 2008, in which she attempted to return to work, her symptoms were so severe that she was unable to continue working.

When Dr. Srinivasan initially suggested steroids to reduce brain swelling my mother was concerned that steroids might lower her immune system. As her health continued to deteriorate further she decided to try taking them, but she did not see much improvement in her symptoms.

Shortly after her final contact with Dr. Srinivasan on April 14, 2008 I, her daughter, had to take over for her in decision making for activities of daily living due to her worsening condition. She was able to dress, bathe and feed herself, but aside from that she was incapacitated by the pain and fatigue she was experiencing. I acted in this capacity until late summer when she finally began to experience some improvement in her health that allowed her to once again take on some of the decision making for her daily activities. Even with this improvement she still relied heavily on the care and support of her mother and me, who took turns watching over her and caring for her.

I had located Dr. Ogle to be my mother's primary care physician. Under his care she began to see improvements in her health and a decrease in the intensity of her symptoms. She is still experiencing the majority of her symptoms, though, and is still unable to lead a normal life or perform the material duties of her own occupation or any occupation. She no longer cries uncontrollably due to pain but still experiences:

- Pain that leaves her fatigued, irritable and anxious
- vibrations throughout her whole body (as described above)
- word-finding difficulty
- reduced speech articulation (a lisp)
- cognitive dysfunction, including impaired memory and concentration
- occasional shooting or aching muscle and joint pains in arms, hands, feet and legs
- tingling in arms, legs, feet, hands and top of head
- heat and burning sensation in chest, head, hands, arms and legs
- difficulty sleeping, including arm, hand and head numbness
- visual disturbances: seeing bright flashes of light, especially when awakened at night

- instances of rapid and irregular heartbeat
- fatigue
- tinnitus
- sensitivity to sounds resulting in headache (general ache or shooting pains throughout head)
- sensitivity to odors resulting in nausea
- sensitivity to artificial lighting resulting in headaches (general ache or shooting pains throughout head) and inability to concentrate and think clearly

These symptoms have been chronically present in her since they appeared eight months ago and they appear to intensify and be exacerbated when she is exposed to electromagnetic fields (especially those emitted from computers and wifi). She has modified her life to avoid electromagnetic fields, irritating sounds and irritating odors as much as she can, which has helped decrease the intensity of her symptoms, but the symptoms are still constantly present and disabling.

Her occupation requires:

- a good memory
- precise articulation
- excellent language skills
- hours of intense concentration
- complex problem solving
- patience with clients
- hours of documentation, evaluation and report writing
- presentations in meetings to parents and colleagues
- use of computers

With her present condition she does not meet any of these requirements that are necessary to perform her occupation.

The above information is all information that my mother has dictated to me to send in an email to Dr. Ogle. I think it may also be of note to mention a few more things that I have noticed:

- In compiling this information it took multiple times for me to explain to her what information I needed from her about her symptoms. I repeatedly had to reword my questions because she did not understand what I was asking.
- It has taken several short increments of thought and conversation spread out over a couple of days to compile this information because my mother was not able to sustain concentration for any length of time.
- She has great difficulty verbalizing what she is feeling. This never used to be a problem for her.
- Although she is no longer reliant on me for decision making of daily activities she still requires my help and her mother's help in many areas of her life due to the fatigue, pain

and cognitive dysfunction she is experiencing. These include grocery shopping and help filling out paperwork among other things.

---



6. The human body has no defense against microwaves. Installing a national broadband system jeopardizes everyone's health and that of the next generation.

7. I urge the FCC to value human health above convenience.

8. I understand that the EMR Policy Institute is preparing comments to submit in the current Federal Communications Commission proceeding to develop the policy for providing high-speed internet service throughout the country via FCC 09-31, A National Broadband Plan for Our Future.

9. The undersigned hereby designates the EMR Policy Institute to speak on my behalf on this FCC proceeding for the purpose of defending my right to be safe from signals that may cause harm in my own home, workplace and neighborhood. The FCC's current RF exposure guidelines are inadequate in light of the findings of current science.


10. I ask that the FCC accept this affidavit and the attached exhibit into evidence for consideration under FCC 09-31, A National Broadband Plan for Our Future, as it is material evidence of the existence of signals to which my students and I are subject without proper standards based on current science.

*Katie Singer*  
Katie Singer

Sworn before me

This 5th day of June, 2009

*Olivia Ortiz*  
Notary Public



State of New Mexico

County of Santa Fe

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 05 day of June 2009 by Katie E. Singer.

My Commission Expires: 06-20-2009  
Notary Public



Many of these regulations were ignored when the antennas moved over<sup>to</sup> the Municipal property housing the Water Tower.

7. In January of 2007, approximately two and one half years ago, I became aware of the enormity and totality of the cell tower issue while taking a walk in the neighborhood. I met a man with a petition opposing the proposed Police microwave radio antennas to be added to the Water Tower. There had already been two public hearings on the issue, but although I read Newsday and the Local Papers frequently, did not see a public notice for these particular hearings. He told me that the third meeting was to be held the following week (as it turned out the date was changed, but having found out the correct date, February 1, 2007, I made plans to attend the meeting), asked me to sign the petition, which I did, and at that moment discovered that there were already 52 cell phone antennas up on the Tower. This was amazing news to me, given that I only live one block away and was never notified as to what was going on. I think the sanding and painting further delayed the truth of the situation.

8. I attended the third public hearing for the police antennas, and together with my husband (who is a health professor with experience teaching environmental health), voiced our deep concerns about the location of these new antennas, as well as the numerous antennas previously installed. **The fact that they sit on our water supply, are across the street from our elementary school children, and are located in a densely populated neighborhood, concerned us deeply.** More disturbing than this was that they were installed and proliferated to such a great number without my knowledge.

9. From February 1, 2007 until the Police vote on April 23, 2007 myself and other residents wrote several letters to the Mayor, a letter to the Village Attorney, got a petition and letter out to Executive Suzzo that was signed by 50 residents, wrote to Congressmen and Senators, contacted an expert in environmental policy making (Cindy Sage) and encouraged the Mayor and Village Trustees to speak to this environmental consultant. We shared a DVD entitled, "Public Exposure. DNA, Democracy, and the Wireless Revolution," that featured the expertise of Ms Sage and many other members of the scientific community. Nothing appeared to change the minds of our Governing body.

10. The petition I signed along with 250 other residents was given no credibility. When I questioned the Mayor as to why she did not take these petitioners seriously, I was told that she had gotten several calls from residents stating that they felt pressured to sign the petition because a friend or family member asked them to and, thus, decided to reject all 250 of them. If there were 5 such calls (the residents who asked for signatures were young concerned mothers—hardly threatening), there was no reason to reject all of the other petitions.

11. These antennas clearly violate 2 restrictive covenants of the deeded "gifted" property to the Village of Bayville. When, during my conversations with the

Mayor, I questioned breaking the clearly written covenants of the deed of this property, she told me on a number of occasions (and even stated this in a letter to me) that had she been the Mayor in 1993 she would never have permitted the first antennas to be placed on the Water Tower and implied that she felt that they were illegally placed in the first place, but since the Telecom Act of 1996 and the events of 9/11, that her hands were tied. She further felt that Federal Law trumps State and Local Law (even though the Telecom Act does give great authority to Local Government to decide the proper location for cell towers.

12. I attended the April 23, 2007 meeting to see how the Village would vote on the Police equipment. The vote was sandwiched between a couple of other minor issues (even though the standing room only crowd was there for this decision—the majority clearly opposed to the addition of more antennas to this already compromised tower) and before we knew it, the decision was passed (5 yes 2 no).

13. Also at this meeting, some residents opposing the antennas challenged Dr. Cotton's (the Village "expert") earlier remark wherein he stated that the residents should not be concerned with the proposed police antennas **but, rather, with what is up there already.**

14. My husband and I, and several other residents voiced our dismay over the vote. When one of the residents said to the Board, "What can we do now?" the Mayor replied, "Take it to Court"—**we have for the last 2 and ½ years at our own great personal expense.**

15. I hope I have been able to convey how I was really kept in the dark regarding the original installation and proliferation of the cell tower, and when finally becoming fully aware of the situation (during the Police Hearings) went into full swing to try and correct a completely unjust, not to mention dangerous situation in our Village.

16. After researching the dangers of radiation from cellular telephones and cell towers, I have personally discarded the cell phone that I owned. This is my free choice, but a cell tower with 52 antennas and more to come, located 150 feet from my home is not my choice and has caused me mental, emotional (having a dearly loved granddaughter, age 8, attending the school 50 feet away) and financial stress.

17. I feel that the location of this cell tower just 50 feet from our Elementary school—slightly further for the Intermediate School down the hill—is just too close for my comfort. There are too many unknowns as to the long term health effects from this type of radiation—especially to children.

18. The fact that the present Government safety standards used to site cell towers are being questioned all over the world, should send a clear message to our tiny Village of Bayville to adopt the Precautionary Principle—and relocate this cell tower away from this location until the enforcing bodies can state unequivocally that there are no long term ill effects to the general population living near cell towers. We should not have to wait for the body count, or cause needless illness and suffering.

19. My husband has suffered a bout of prostate cancer. There are at least 3 other gentleman on my block (that I know of) that have been diagnosed with this type of cancer. My husband certainly should not have been a candidate for this disease as he has always had the most impeccable health habits including good diet, regular exercise regimens and a genetic background that did not predispose him for prostate cancer. I can only wonder if the cell tower, in such close proximity to our home, was a contributory factor.

20. We also have an above average number of children with EMR Cancers such as leukemia and brain cancer. Three children have died and two are presently in remission. As the mother of one of the boy's who is blessedly in remission has stated repeatedly to the Village officials, "I cannot say that the cell tower was the ultimate cause of my son's cancer, but you cannot say that it was not."

21. As a proud American I have always felt so fortunate to enjoy the freedoms that we all enjoy. In the case of the building out of the wireless network, I feel laws have been passed (the Telecommunications Act of 1996) that take away our freedom to argue health concerns, when these cell towers appear in our neighborhoods. In our Village, laws have been broken, deeds have been violated and residents' concerns have been completely ignored. My question is, "Who is being protected here—the Telecommunications Industry or the general population affected by this infrastructure?"

22. Wireless communications are amazing—and will probably be able to accomplish great good—but **never, never, never** at the immeasurable cost of loss of health and well being to the general population.

WHEREFORE, based on the foregoing, it is respectfully requested that the FCC consider the latest scientific research (like the Bioinitiatives Report), when determining appropriate safety standards for this proliferating wireless industry. Further might I suggest large setbacks of cell towers in relation to schools, nursing homes, hospitals, parks, neighborhoods, or any place where human beings (especially children) congregate.

I also respectfully request that the EMR Policy Institute represent my interests before the FCC and other bodies considering these issues.

Jo-Tina DiGennaro  
Jo-Tina DiGennaro

Sworn before me this 30  
day of June, 2009

Madeleine C. Petrara  
Notary Public

**MADELEINE C PETRARA**  
**Notary Public, State of New York**  
**No. 02PE6142629**  
**Qualified in Nassau County**  
**Commission Expires March 20, 2010**

**AFFIDAVIT OF MADELEINE C. PERRIN**

State of New York	]	
	]	ss.
County of Nassau	]	

MADELEINE C. PERRIN being duly sworn deposes and says:

1. I live at 10 Bell Lane, Bayville, New York with my husband, Rene, and two young children, Michelle and Julia, ages 6 and 4, respectively. My house is approximately 1/2 mile from the Bayville cell tower (the Bayville water tower).

2. I submit this Affidavit in support of the FCC's consideration of FCC 09-31, A National Broadband Plan for Our Future, as it evidences my opinion that the current FCC guidelines on electromagnetic radiation are inadequate and need to be revisited taking into consideration the current science demonstrating non-thermal effects of long term exposure.

3. I have lived in Bayville a little more than three years and absolutely love my small, hometown community. About two years ago, at a local meeting for the proposal of additional police antennae on top of the Bayville water tower, I discovered that there were already existing on the Bayville water tower approximately 52 cell phone antennae of various cell phone companies.

4. I was first shocked and then became overwhelmingly worried because my daughter Michelle was attending the Bayville Primary School for 8 hours a day, five days a week. The Bayville Primary School is no more than 50 feet from the foot of the Bayville water tower (a/k/a the cell tower hosting 52 antennae).

5. I, along with many other residents and parents of school-age children plead with our Village officials to reject the proposal of new antennae and to move the current antennae off the water tower and away from the schools.

6. When the Village officials flat out rejected the proposal of its citizens - because the RF radiation emitted from the current antennae were purportedly "within FCC guidelines" - I attempted to register my daughter in two of the neighboring elementary schools (Oyster Bay and Locust Valley). I was turned down by both of these schools.

7. My younger daughter Julia will begin Bayville Primary School in September 2009 and now I am even more worried as I will have two children attending the school - just 50 feet across from the cell tower.

EXHIBIT 22

8. Since my discovery of the abundance of cell phone antennae across from the Bayville schools, I have read a multitude of literature, articles, essays, reports, etc. regarding electromagnetic radiation and the potential health hazards associated with such radiation. The more I read, the more I become worried.

9. While I do notice there is some dispute in the scientific community as to the health effects of RF radiation, the one common thread throughout, or rather, the absence of one common thread – is that there is no one who can say that such antenna are absolutely safe!

10. While the 52 antennae just 50 feet across from my daughter’s school are “within FCC guidelines”, no one can promise me they are safe. This is a grave concern to me and it does not take a rocket scientist to figure out that maybe it is the FCC guidelines which must be called into question.

11. Is the FCC beyond reproach that they may have been wrong at the inception of this new technology which has gotten so widespread in such a short period of time? I doubt the FCC guidelines and implore our government, of which I am so proud, to revisit them with the health and safety of its people at the forefront of its concern.


12. I understand that the EMR Policy Institute is preparing comment to submit in the current Federal Communications Commission proceeding to develop the policy for providing high-speed internet service throughout the country - FCC 09-31, A National Broadband Plan for Our Future.

13. I, Madeleine Perrin, designate The EMR Policy Institute to speak on my behalf on this FCC proceeding for the purpose of defending my right, as an American citizen, to raise and educate my children in a safe environment free of harmful exposures.

Sworn to before me

  
Madeleine C. Perrin

This 4 day of June, 2009



**Ralph Scannell 3**  
**Notary Public, State Of New York**  
**No: 015c6105276**  
**Qualified In Suffolk County**  
**Commission Expires 2-9-12**

## AFFIDAVIT OF FRANCES MARIAN P ROLLANS and JAMES F ROLLANS

State of North Carolina

County of Rowan

MARIAN ROLLANS AND JAMES ROLLANS being duly sworn deposes and says:

1. My name is Marian Rollans. I am a 65 year old retired school teacher/farmer. I live at 14460 NC Hwy 801, Mount Ulla, North Carolina. We have for years planned and worked on our 277 acre farm so that it is a renewable, sustainable, productive operation that provides both food and timber to supply both local and regional markets.
2. My husband, James, and I have made Mount Ulla North Carolina our home for the past 39 years. This 1895 house is our home that we rescued from deterioration by spending 5 years restoring. When we moved here in the 70's only one tower was visible from our house. That was a radio/cell tower on Young's Mt. in Cleveland, NC. which is about 7/8 miles away. Two additional cellular towers have been added on the Young's Mountain site.
3. Now when I go outside my house at night I can see lights from 3 tall broadcast towers and 4 cellar towers.
4. I suffer from electro-hypersensitivity. I noticed several years ago when we would drive into Mooresville NC on 150 Hwy where 3 towers are clustered that I could feel the bombardment of EMR rays which would make my head hurt and actually hurt my ears. I could not even use a cell phone without feeling a piercing sensation going into my ears.
5. We purchased Q-Links and a neutralizer for our cell phone as well. This has helped some but with the accumulation of rays coming from 2 towers within 2 miles and 5 within a 7/8/9 mile radius the Q-Links are like putting a band aid on a 5" cut.
6. In 2003, Davidson County Broadcasting Inc began trying to put a 1350' radio tower off Hwy 801 on Richard Parker's active dairy farm. Our farm is adjacent to the Parker's farm. This site is also close to an airport. The permitting of this tower was turned down by Rowan County Commissioners on a safety issue. The Commissioners decision has been upheld in 3 courts in North Carolina. We have court records and legal documentation from expert witnesses on the Rowan County Commissioner's decision and briefs from court appeals. Now DCBI is back trying to site a 1200' radio tower on the same site. The amount of power these telecommunication companies have over individual's health, safety, and welfare is appalling. If another tower goes in within ¼ mi from my house I suppose I will have to move because of my sensitivity to EMR radiation. Where do an individual's rights fit into the picture?
7. I am concerned that EMR sensitivity is more prevalent in children and women as my own personal experience indicates.

Exhibit A. NATIONAL RESEARCH CENTER FOR WOMEN & FAMILIES: Can Cell Phones Harm Our Health?

Trent University, Peterborough, Ontario, Canada has been involved in EMF research for many years.

EXHIBIT 23

Exhibit B: 1) Analysis of Health and Environmental Effects of Proposed San Francisco EarthLink WI-Fi Network; 2) Testimony by Magda Havas, PhD concerning Health Effects Associated (with EMF) from Power Line; 3) "Health Effects Associated with Radio Frequency Radiation" (This was her expert testimony at the quasi-judicial hearing before the Rowan County Commissioners on Oct 10<sup>th</sup> 2005);

Exhibit C: SERIOUS FLAWS WITH THE FCC RF/MW SAFETY GUIDELINES adapted from B. Blake Levitt. The FCC doesn't have the manpower to monitor cumulative emissions from numerous towers nor do they accept such responsibility. Therefore, all of us and especially those more sensitivity to EMF radiation (women & children) are at the mercy of a multibillion dollar industry who is asked to monitor itself. "The fox is monitoring the henhouse."

Exhibit D: 1)Connecticut PTA Resolution; 2) Resolution passed by the Los Angeles Unified School District B, June 27, 2000; 3) In Mount Ulla NC near my home (that already has 7 towers surrounding it) there is an elementary school 1 and ½ mi from my house and from the proposed DCBI tower site. Since cumulative effects are not monitored by the FCC should towers be prohibited close to elementary schools because of the sensitivity of children to low frequency RF emissions? What and why are we allowing our children to be bombarded by EMF's from towers?

The issues:

Above is a small sample of the voluminous body of research seeking to reach a definitive conclusion to the relationship between human health and electro-magnetic-radiation. Though concrete answers are not yet available the following facts are established which give cause for concern and call for further study. In the meanwhile we ought to proceed with extreme caution.

There are no international standards for tolerable and safe levels of exposure.

Women and children are more sensitive to EMR exposure than adult males.

The FCC is not charged with, nor prepared, to monitor EMR emissions.

The allowable level of EMR emissions in the USA is higher than most other countries.

The number of transmissions and receptions involving EMR is increasing daily.

The communications industry is the primary provider and generator of EMR.

The communications industry is motivated, not by concern for the long term health of consumers, but by the immediate level of return for its monetary investment. (profit)

Comprehensive regulatory authority for the health safety and welfare of the exposed public is non-existent. Regulation for public safety with regard to EMR exposure is a splintered, ineffective system of local state and federal agencies. The protective system is replete with overlaps and gaps and filled with underfunded, untrained individuals burdened with solving a complex and highly technical problem that changes so rapidly that the target moves faster than the speed of the bullet that pursues it.

There is a growing belief within local school boards, city and county governments and other public and private bodies that since the body of research has been unable to prove that human exposure to even low levels of EMR is safe in the long and short run that the prudent policy is to limit or mitigate avoidable contact.

8. Our family, in concert with our community, has spent money and hundreds of hours of study and preparation to oppose the permitting of a large radio broadcast tower on property that joins our farm. The issue has divided the community and caused us much vexation and anguish. It is safe to say that the issue has consumed our lives for the past six years. The potential threat of such a large emitter of EMR so close has drained us emotionally to the point that sleep is no longer a natural and refreshing experience and normal daily activities are impossible because the constant and repeated attempts to site the tower are always lurking in the corner of our minds. It even affects the normal family relations with our children. When they visit they become irritated and complain that all we talk or think about is that "potentially dangerous" tower.
9. At a planning retreat this spring the county communications officer made a presentation to the Board of Commissioners. His program included the changes needed to bring the county into compliance with the FCC mandated digital conversion over the next few years. He explained that there are so many new cellular and police and EMS users now that the air waves are increasingly crowded to the point that reliable emergency communications are no longer reliable. We are concerned that safety precautions for this increased communications activity is not keeping pace with the accelerated growth of EMR emissions.
10. With the increased number of signals in the airways across the spectrum of cell phones, radio waves, broad band TV, etc. how are we the public to know that safe levels of EMR have not been exceeded? In our quest for security from terrorist activities and safety from natural disasters have we created a new health threat that is impossible to see, smell, taste or feel? How can the office of Home-Land Security monitor this increased number of signals with continued accuracy?
11. Without monitoring of the accumulative effects of EMR emissions, we the American public are at the mercy of a giant telecommunications industry with their powerful lobbying groups. We do not want to be guinea pigs for the government-sanctioned rollout of new technologies that have insufficient safety standards based on insufficient knowledge about long-term effects of these wireless signals.
12. We want the FCC to establish standards that have public safety as the top priority and the regulation of permitting as a service to those who wish to use the public airways. Also we are very concerned that the monitoring of existing and cumulative EMR levels be established,

funded and carried out on a continuing schedule by a division that is independent from the division that has the authority too permit.

- 13. Since we live in an area that is exploding with urban growth we want the assurance that demand for expanded wireless services will not receive precedence over the public necessity to feel and be safe from the dangers of this unseen health threat. We look to the FCC to provide that security.
- 14. We urge you to extend careful consideration to the forthcoming recommendations from the EMR Policy Institute with regard to development of a nation-wide high-speed internet service.
- 15. The undersigned and all persons in our household hereby designate The EMR Policy Institute to speak on our behalf on this FCC proceeding for the purpose of defending our rights to be safe in our own home, in our schools and workplaces and neighborhoods from the invasion into our home, schools and workplaces of signals that may cause harm to us, because the FCC's current RF exposure guidelines are inadequate in light of the findings of current science.
- 16. I ask that the FCC accept this affidavit and the attached exhibits into evidence for consideration under FRCC 09-31, A National Broadband Plan for Our Future, as it is material evidence of the existence of signals to which my family and I are subject, yet without proper standards based on current science.

*Frances Marian P. Rollans*

Frances Marian P Rollans


*James F Rollans*

James F Rollans

Sworn to before me

This 4<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2009

*Mariella E. Sandवाल*  
 Notary Public  
 MCE 0110/2013




AFFIDAVIT OF BETSY WEBSTER

State of North Carolina
SS.
County of Rowan

Betsy Webster being duly sworn deposes and says:

- 1. My name is Betsy Webster. I live at 14230 Highway 801, Mount Ulla, North Carolina.
2. My husband and I have lived in Mount Ulla for 30 years. We live in a rural agricultural community and have been fighting the construction of a 1300 foot radio broadcast tower for 5 years. The community is surrounded by as many as 15 existing towers.
3. The operation of these towers has given me and my family cause for concern. This fast growing industry has done little to research itself and towers continue to go up at an alarming rate.
4. Because of our concern we do own a cell phone but it is seldom on except for emergencies
5. Please note the North Carolina tower numbers by height class 1998/2004 submitted as Exhibit A You can see the incidence of tower growth in this state
6. Because of the number of towers operating in our area, we have many overlapping signals and are concerned that there are insufficient safety standards to manage the exposure to our family to these signals.
7. We are concerned about health effects of long term continuous exposure to one or many signals
8. We do not want to be guinea pigs for the government sanctioned rollout of new technologies with insufficient safety standards or without sufficient knowledge about the long term health effects of these wireless signals
9. Without strong FCC standards and the enforcement of such standards, we fear the hazards to our family's health of this low level radiation over time.
10. We understand that the EMR Policy Institute is preparing comment to submit in the current FCC proceeding to develop the policy for providing high speed internet services throughout the country FCC 09-31. And designate the EMR to speak on our behalf on this proceeding. We feel we are unsafe and current exposure guidelines are inadequate in light of the findings of current science.
11. I ask that the FCC accept this affidavit into evidence for consideration under FCC 09-31 as it is material evidence of signals to which my family and I are subject, yet without proper standards based on current science.

Sworn to before me
This 02 day of June, 2009




Betsy Webster signature

Tiffany Yotheimer
Notary Public
my commission expires March 8, 2014

EXHIBIT 24

Table with 3 columns: Tower Classes, 1998, 2004. Rows include 200-299 Feet, 300-499 Feet, 500-799 Feet, 800+ Feet.

"EXHIBIT A"



United States - North Carolina

**AFFIDAVIT OF Ruth Ann Davis**

State of Colorado     }  
                                  }  
County of Ouray       }

ss.

Ruth Davis being duly sworn deposes and says:

1. My name is Ruth Ann Davis. My mailing address is POB 1422, Ouray, CO 81427.
2. I am extremely hypersensitive to all Electro-Magnetic Fields. Being around any source of EMF causes me **severe disruption of sleep function, headaches, body pains, short-term memory loss, arthritic flare-ups, 'brain fog', loss of the ability to concentrate,** and more.
3. Due to this sensitivity, I have lost home, job, life savings, family and friends, and must, in order to survive, live in very remote locations, with no electricity, free from all sources of electro-magnetic radiation...including that from cell phone towers. I do this on both public and private lands, seeking out safe havens where I can live in relative health and free of pain.
4. I know first-hand the debilitating effects caused by cell phone tower radiation, having just spent the last 3 weeks (stranded) living under one. (there was no other source around, so all effects were directly from the cell phone tower - address and carrier unknown, but near Colona, CO, and possibly others in the area - south of Montrose, CO).
5. During those 3 weeks, I suffered from **constant, throbbing headaches, intense body pain, disruption of sleep, nausea, agitation and irritability, increasing exhaustion to the point where I nearly became unable to function.**
6. I was finally able to leave, removing myself to a 0 field environment. After 4 days, my headaches are gone, my body pain is fading, sleep is beginning to return. However, I am left with a complete, debilitating exhaustion. I am barely able to function, using my scant energy to write this affidavit only because this is such an important matter. Yes, I also react to computers and am pushing myself dangerously beyond my limits of pain and exhaustion to write this affidavit. This is indeed, a matter of our very survival.
7. We know, from past, personal experience, that these fields cause damage to the endocrine system, in particular depletion of the adrenal glands. This exhaustion takes weeks, if not months to recover from. Some research says that the adrenals may never completely recover from such an attack.
8. There are numerous studies on the dangers of this cell tower radiation, many cited at [www.weeksmd.com](http://www.weeksmd.com). I am including 24 pages of documentation, including scientific studies, books and website links. **Studies definitively linking cell tower radiation with brain tumors, cancers, leukemia, the early on-set of Alzheimer's, elevated blood pressure , damage to the blood brain barrier and ADD in children.** These studies are telling us what we as hypersensitives already know...that these towers are deadly. Exhibit A: a copy of the

**EXHIBIT**  
**25**

AFFIDAVIT OF KATHERINE HINSON

State of Vermont

County of Windsor

KATHERINE HINSON being duly sworn deposes and says:

My name is KATHERINE HINSON. I live at 225 Falcon Ridge, Plymouth, Vermont.

My husband and I lived in Atlanta, Georgia for 27 years. We lived within 1-3 kilometers of several cell phone towers. Last fall we moved to an area with poor cell phone reception. We see dramatic health improvements until we travel to areas where cell phone towers have heavy coverage.

We resorted to home schooling to keep the problems from getting worse, not realizing that home <sup>(Atlanta)</sup> was not a safe location either. Yet even with interventions from knowledgeable medical doctors, the symptoms were extremely difficult to treat.

As 3G technologies were rolled out, the symptoms became even worse despite medical interventions.

Our fifteen year-old and our thirteen year-old sons are now electro-hypersensitive and suffer severe nervous system derangement even when near a computer or television at home. Their symptoms are aggravated during visits to libraries with wifi, we believe under the influence of bombardment from wireless signals at such public buildings.

Because of the number of cell service carriers operating in the Shallowford Rd <sup>(Atlanta)</sup> area, we had many overlapping signals and were concerned that there are insufficient safety standards to manage the exposure of our family to these signals.

As a result, we are concerned about health effects of long-term continuous exposure to one or many signals.

We do not want to be guinea pigs for the government-sanctioned rollout of new technologies with insufficient safety standards, or without sufficient knowledge about the long-term health effects of these wireless signals.

Without strong FCC standards and the enforcement of such standards, we fear the hazards to our family's health of this low level radiation over time.

We are concerned about having to live next to antennas and transmitters if wireless internet is built out in our local environment. We have a right to be safe in our homes

EXHIBIT 26

and our schools and workplaces, and we have a right to current safety standards based on current science.

We understand that the EMR Policy Institute is preparing comment to submit in the current Federal Communications Commission proceeding to develop the policy for providing high-speed internet service throughout the country - FCC 09-31, A National Broadband Plan for Our Future.

The undersigned and all the persons in our household hereby designate The EMR Policy Institute to speak on our behalf on this FCC proceeding for the purpose of defending our rights to be safe in our own home, in our schools and our workplaces and neighborhoods from the invasion into our home, schools and workplaces of signals that may cause harm to us, because the FCC's current RF exposure guidelines are inadequate in light of the findings of current science.

I ask that the FCC accept this affidavit and the attached exhibits into evidence for consideration under FCC 09-31, A National Broadband Plan for Our Future, as it is material evidence of the existence of signals to which my family and I are subject, yet without proper standards based on current science.

*Katherine Hinson*

Sworn to before me Katherine Hinson  
This 3rd day of June, 2009

*Elizabeth A. Greaves Lombard*  
Notary Public

2/10/11

\*\*\*\*\*

~~2 - Comment on FCC launches E-911~~

TO: 304-932-0022  
FCC

**AFFIDAVIT OF KRISTIN RUSSO**

From: Kristin Russo  
Affidavit

State of Massachusetts]

ss.

Middlesex County ]

KRISTIN RUSSO being duly sworn deposes and says:

1. My name is Kristin Russo. I live at 5 Kingsdale Street, Burlington, MA 01803.
2. I just recently moved to Burlington, MA one year ago. I previously lived in Stoneham, MA for over 36 years. When we chose to move we spent countless hours looking at where antennas were sited in other towns and how the zoning was developed to allow for these companies. Even with the effort of the communities to limit the placement of these antennas in areas away from schools and residential neighborhoods, the wireless industry keeps coming before the town of Burlington and Stoneham demanding they be placed outside of the wireless overlay. I have watched the rights of citizens in both communities be tested and compromised by the wireless industry's efforts to expand their business plan. I am deeply concerned by the lack of rights we, as citizens and a town, have regarding wireless bylaws and the placement of antennas and towers near our homes, schools, workplaces, etc.
3. My husband and I have three children; all of whom receive exposure to wireless technology at a level far greater than we are comfortable. The Marshall Simonds Middle School and the Memorial Elementary school in Burlington, MA both lie within direct site of the town's water tower which has over three carriers on it. My parents are in their late seventies. My mother has battled cancer. I am concerned about what effects this technology may be having on their health.
4. I have read studies and articles that lead me to believe this technology is dangerous. Although there are many credible experts here in the U.S. raising concerns about the safety of wireless technology, these concerns have not been downplayed by the wireless industry and our government. The independent research from European countries and Canada gets press and recognized in those countries, unlike here in the United States. I am concerned about allegations that the industry uses its power to prevent and halt studies here in the U.S. In both towns' public hearing, Stoneham and Burlington, I have witnessed wireless companies manipulating their coverage maps by turning off sectors of their antennas to make their hole appear large. In both cases the wireless companies admitted to this after it was discovered by an independent RF engineer hired by the towns. It amazes me that a business can be so deceitful and then stand behind the Telecommunications Act to take a town to court. If this were any other business that tried to do this the town would be the one threatening lawsuit!

EXHIBIT 27

5. I am troubled by the fact that the FCC does take the precautionary principle to heart. This exposure much like that of pesticides is low-level, long-term and continuous. We are starting to in many other countries that have had this technology in effect longer their citizens become the test cases for what can happen to people who are exposure to this technology over time. It is only a matter of time before our health system begins to see a true medical health link that can not be ignored as other countries are now acknowledging.

6. As I come to understand the Telecommunications Act of 1995, I am troubled by the amount of input the wireless industry was allowed to have in creating the laws that govern its own practices. I am further disillusioned by the fact that the rights of citizens are overshadowed by the financial and business interests of the wireless industry. I urge this committee to learn from the history of prior industries (such as tobacco), where public policy took far too long to catch up to the pressures and the powers of big business. I look to the FCC and to this committee to set standards of safety that protect Americans first. I have faith that due diligence will be done on the part of the FCC, and the industry will no longer be permitted to create the laws that govern it—and benefit its “bottom line.” I respectfully request that the FCC make protecting the health and well-being of American citizens its first priority.

6. My children are under the age of ten but they already know not to use anyone’s cell phone. We keep our phones off and if they need to be left on, we keep them away from where we spend our time in the house.

7. At the last public hearing I attended in Burlington in May for an antenna placement, the petitioner stated they are looking to come into every neighborhood within the next three years with antennas. We now have another company looking to come into the town in addition to the four already in town. Because of the number of cell service carriers operating in our area, we have many overlapping signals. There is no long-term study completed that looks at how all these signals can affect our health. I am concerned that there are insufficient safety standards to manage the exposure of our family to these ever increasing signals. These companies are looking using stronger levels than back in 2000, going from -84dbm to -76dbm. I am concerned that the service strength is increasing to be strong enough to penetrate cement, while little regard is given to what our bodies can handle over the long term.

8. I do not want my family to be guinea pigs for the government-sanctioned rollout of new technologies with insufficient safety standards, or without sufficient knowledge about the long-term health effects of these wireless signals. There is too much being learned about how our bodies are altered by this technology not to be concerned and put safety measures in place.

9. Without strong FCC standards and the enforcement of such standards, I fear the hazards to my family’s health of this low level radiation over time.


6007/E/9

10. I am concerned about having to live near antennas and transmitters if wireless internet is built out in my local environment. We have a right to be safe in our homes and our schools and workplaces, and we have a right to current safety standards based on current science.

12. I understand that the EMR Policy Institute is preparing comment to submit in the current Federal Communications Commission proceeding to develop the policy for providing high-speed internet service throughout the country - FCC 09-31, A National Broadband Plan for Our Future.


13. I, the undersigned, and all the persons in my household hereby designate The EMR Policy Institute to speak on our behalf on this FCC proceeding for the purpose of defending our rights to be safe in our own home, in our schools and our workplaces and neighborhoods from the invasion into our home, schools and workplaces of signals that may cause harm to us, because the FCC's current RF exposure guidelines are inadequate in light of the findings of current science.

14. I ask that the FCC accept this affidavit and the attached exhibits into evidence for consideration under FCC 09-31, A National Broadband Plan for Our Future, as it is material evidence of the existence of signals to which my family and I are subject, yet without proper standards based on current science.

  
Kristin Russo

Sworn to before me

This fourth day of June, 2009

  
Notary Public 03/13/10

**AFFIDAVIT OF GAYLE H. CLARK**

State of Kansas        ]  
                                  ]  
County of Harvey     ]        ss.

Gayle H. Clark, being duly sworn, deposed and says:

1. My name is Gayle Hensley Clark and I, my husband, and 14 year old son live at 2032 E. 7<sup>th</sup>, Sedgwick, Ks, 67135.

2. We have lived at this location since December 2004. We chose this rural homestead for it's location and distance away from "modernization" and a couple of months ago Harvey County, Kansas passed the erection of a 199 foot cell tower which will be approximately 770 feet from our front door and approximately 350 feet from the front of our property line.

3. The operation of this cell tower gives me and my husband great concern because of the negative health effects of RF and the close proximity to our home in which the tower will be located.

4. Our son is exposed to wireless technology all day at his school (Sedgwick High School, POB K, Sedgwick, Ks, 67135) and me at work (Daland Corporation, 2414 N Woodlawn, Ste 201, Wichita, KS, 67220) and we all three (3) carry cell phones either for business or emergency purposes, but when we are at home they are put away. We can't "put away" or "turn off" emissions from a 199 foot tower. Towers can, however, be limited in their placement in relation to distance from homes, schools, nursing homes, and wildlife, their levels of emissions, and simply the number of towers that are allowed to be built.

5. Lives of workers have been put in jeopardy by excess exposure from malfunctioning cell towers that emit RF higher than allowable (Decision of the Supreme Court of the State of Alaska No. 6139 – July 6, 2007: AT&T Alascom and Ward North America, Inc. v. John Orchitt and the State of Alaska, Dept. of Labor and Workforce Development, Division of Workers' Compensation). Insurance companies are preparing for the next "asbestos" claim run (The Cincinnati Insurance Company, in 2007, notified their insured, Horvath Communications, owner of several cell towers, that they were "not entitled to either a defense nor indemnity incurred in the \*underlying litigation ... under the Cincinnati policies issued ... the alleged damages caused by the microwave radiation were reasonably expected by the insured, and further that the microwave radiation which the plaintiffs complain is a pollutant, and therefore coverage is excluded." (\*Hicks et.al. v. Horvath Communication, Cause No. 71C01-0107-CP-1690) and "Debra Avery, a Washington resident and secretary/treasurer of the EMR Policy Institute, noted that even

EXHIBIT 28

*G. Clark*

big insurance companies, notably Lloyds of London, have refused to insure cell companies, presumably because the health effects are yet unknown." ("If Tower Arrives, Washington Could Be Part of a Key Study", Rebecca Ransom, Litchfield County Times, 06/07/2007)). We can no longer turn our heads and rely on the fact that the glass is half empty.

6. We are also concerned with the biological affects, not just thermal, that constant and long-term exposure to RF will have on both humans and on animals.

7. Because of height of the tower that is to be erected near our home, under 200 feet and to our understanding does not have to be registered with the FCC, we are concerned that there are insufficient safety standards and manpower by the FCC and local telecommunication companies to manage the exposure of our family to these signals.

8. We are concerned about health effects of long-term and continuous exposure. The health community has provided equally as much research proving negative health effects of RF exposure as they have proving the opposite, yet the Government has taken the approach that "the glass is half empty" and protected the FCC in the TCA of 1996 and restricted municipalities of denying construction of cell towers based on health risks. We need to take the approach that "the glass is half full". We do not want to be the "oops...sorry, we were wrong about RF."

9. Without strong FCC standards and the enforcement of such standards, we fear the hazards to our family's health of this low level radiation over time will be significant. We fear the unknown and have historical events to back our fear; asbestos, lead in drinking water pipes/containers and the effects of smoking to name a few. The approach to the broadband plan needs to be a cautious one. Lives are not secondary to technology. We should not be guinea pigs for technology.

10. We are concerned about having to live next to antennas, towers, and transmitters if wireless internet and having them built in our local environment. We believe that because we live in a rural area it is quite possible that municipalities will attempt to "push" the towers out of their towns and into the country...into our backyards, quite an outward display of the "out of sight out of mind" mentality. We ALL have a right to be safe in our homes and our schools and workplaces, and we ALL have a right to current safety standards based on current science. The glass is half full.

11. Secondary to the negative health effects is the impact of cellular towers on property values. Sandy Bond, PhD, a director on the Board of the International Real Estate Society, and former appraiser, and Ko-Kang Wang, a tutor in the Statistics Department at the University of New Zealand, confirmed through statistical analysis that public opinion of cell towers and their negative effect on home prices was supported by actual regression analysis of home sales. "The results of the sales analysis show prices of properties were reduced by around 21% after a cellular phone base station (CPBS) was built in their neighborhood." (Article: "The Impact of Cell Phone Towers on House Prices in Residential Neighborhoods").

12. We understand that the EMR Policy Institute is preparing comment to submit in the current Federal Communications Commission proceeding to develop the policy for providing high-speed internet service throughout the country - FCC 09-31, A National Broadband Plan for Our Future.

13. The undersigned hereby designate The EMR Policy Institute to speak on our behalf on this FCC proceeding for the purpose of defending our rights to be safe in our own home, in our schools and our workplaces and neighborhoods from the invasion into same places by signals that may cause harm to us, because the FCC's current RF exposure guidelines are inadequate in light of the findings of current science.

14. I ask that the FCC accept this affidavit into evidence for consideration under FCC 09-31, A National Broadband Plan for Our Future, as it is material evidence of the existence of signals to which my family and I are subject, yet without proper standards based on current science.


Sworn to before me

This 4th day of June, 2009

*Gayle H. Clark*  
Gayle H. Clark

*Terry R. Clark*  
Terry R. Clark

*DeAnna M. Custer*  
Notary Public



**AFFIDAVIT OF LUCY HACKETT**

State of Ohio            )  
                                  )  
County of Summit        )        ss.

LUCY HACKETT being duly sworn deposes and says:

1. My name is Lucy Hackett (now 35 yrs. old) . I live at 2607 Chamberlain Rd. Apt. #2, Fairlawn, OH 44333. My phone number is 330-835-4118 and email is [lucyangel@alumni.nd.edu](mailto:lucyangel@alumni.nd.edu). My former address was 56635 Westfield Rd., South Bend, IN 46619. My former maiden name was Lucy Rzeszutek in 2008 and my new husband is Andrew Hackett (now 38 yrs. old) and my two stepsons Josiah and Silas (now 10 and 9).

2. Before moving to Ohio in August of 2008, I lived in South Bend, IN for 8 years since the year 2000. I worked 100 feet from two cell towers in one work location and approximately 500 feet from a main cell tower in another location, both operated by Hayes, Charles S., 1634 Jefferson Blvd., South Bend, IN 46617. I worked with family members such as my mother, sister, brother, and sister-in-law in a small family owned business. During this time, I met my husband Andrew Hackett and my two stepsons.

3. The operation of these towers has given me and my family concern ever since they were constructed and made operational. The reason being because notable changes in our health began. I noticed in myself how forgetful, tired, dizzy, and drained I felt. I would feel symptoms in addition, but not limited to tingles, heart palpitations, inability to focus, electrical shocks, and more. I am consistently hearing a high frequency (sometimes the pitch will change), but my ear doctor said my hearing was perfect. He said he didn't know why this was happening to so many of his patients. I also have contracted endometriosis while living in South Bend, IN. My husband Andrew Hackett also lived in South Bend for several years and complained about symptoms such as headaches, dizziness, and forgetfulness and contracted a thyroid condition during this time. He also lived near towers and transmitters. After we married and moved to Akron, OH, towers erected near us caused symptoms to flare up. We then moved to another area in Akron where no towers are close by, thus alleviating the symptoms.

4. Upon becoming aware of EMR and investigating, I found out people were protesting against the cell towers because cancer clusters formed around towers in the St. Joseph County area. Four lawsuits were taking place during this time against the cell tower owner Hayes. After speaking with the plaintiffs, I found they were suffering from similar, if not exact, ailments and symptoms.

5. I am electrically hypersensitive and even after eliminating electromagnetic fields, am still suffering from headaches, dizziness, and nausea at differing times. I noticed symptoms are aggravated or increase when near towers, cell phones, computers, monitors, televisions, and power grids. Cloudy and/or rainy days intensify the

EXHIBIT 29

electropollution. As I also was a student at the time, I was appalled to find how quick and intense headaches, pain throughout my body, and dizziness would come upon me wherever there was Wi-Fi offered in the building. After becoming sick, I would look around me and notice students on wireless internet and cell phones in designated areas. I was taken aback as I noticed the symptoms would almost leave instantaneously when I would leave the premises where clustered Wi-Fi was in use.

6. After seeking help from doctors, holistic practitioners, dietitians/nutritionists, professors, and scientists over the years, I know this pollution is real. I have decided not to own a cell phone. I have limited my time on a computer, will not watch much television, and have moved multiple times, so as not to be near towers and power grids or any other such technological threat. I have attempted to find a location where I feel best. Electropollution has caused me added stress as my field is in Film and Television where I would be exposed to many electromagnetic fields. I have failed one internship and have been unable to find work in my field as a result of becoming forgetful and dizzy while on the job. This field requires one to be fully engaged physically and there is much to remember with daily tasks and writing. My present job is where there is not as much electropollution and the internships I did succeed in, I had to take medicine and worked extra hard to compensate for my physical sufferings and setbacks.

7. Throughout my illness and sufferings I have read countless studies, websites, and news articles. I have consulted countless professionals and have taken a proactive and preventative approach to my health and physical protection. I have read studies such as *Health Effects of Mobile Phone Transmitter Masts and the Planning Application by Orange plc for a mast in St. Michael's Church, Aberystwyth* by Chris Busby and Roger Coghill where they state "planning authorities should seek to locate mast installations as distant as possible from human habitations" (12). During another study titled *Pooled Analysis of Two Case-control Studies on the Use of Cellular and Cordless Telephones and the Risk of Benign Brain Tumors Diagnosed During 1997-2003* by Lennart Hardell, Michael Carlberg, and Kjell Hansson Mild, they found an increased risk in brain tumors. Another study is titled "*Nerve Cell Damage to Mammalian Brain after Exposure to Microwaves from GSM Mobile Phones*" by Leif Salford, Arne Brun, Jacob Eberhardt, Lars Malmgren, and Bertil Persson. I have been to websites such as [www.microwavenews.com](http://www.microwavenews.com), [www.bioinitiative.org](http://www.bioinitiative.org), [www.safewireless.org](http://www.safewireless.org), [www.emrnetwork.org](http://www.emrnetwork.org), Swedish Association for the Electrosensitive [http://www.feb.se/index\\_int.htm](http://www.feb.se/index_int.htm), [www.emrpolicy.org](http://www.emrpolicy.org), [www.cmfacts.com](http://www.cmfacts.com), [www.wave-guide.org](http://www.wave-guide.org), and many more. According to my doctor Dr. William Lyden, Exhibit A, "EMF stress due to cell phone use and proximity to cell phone towers is a significant factor if not sole cause" of my health problems.

8. The realization of what was happening to my body has caused me a great deal of emotional stress along with the physical. I've lost friends, faced quarreling amongst family members, lost sleep, work days and productive time for school work and other tasks and more. I have suffered much from worrying, attempting to get residents and officials to understand through letter writing, petitions, passing out literature, facing the counsels, holding meetings, and sharing my concerns for people's health and longevity.

9. Towers keep multiplying, in spite of the protests from local groups. The towers carry multiple cell services and overlapping signals from radio and television. All of this causes concern over the insufficient safety standards that do not manage the exposure over people's houses, schools, and work places.

10. As a result, we are concerned about health effects of long-term continuous exposure to radiofrequency signals.

11. We do not want to be guinea pigs. There are insufficient safety standards and insufficient knowledge about the long-term health effects of these wireless signals.

12. Without strong FCC standards and the enforcement of such standards, we fear the hazards to our family's health as their immune systems become compromised by low level radiation over time.

13. We are concerned about having to live next to antennas and transmitters that service wireless technology. We have a right to be safe in our homes and our schools and workplaces, and we have a right to be protected by current safety standards based on current science and other findings.

14. We understand that the EMR Policy Institute is preparing comment to submit to the upcoming Federal Communications Commission proceedings, in order to develop a policy for providing high-speed internet service throughout the country - FCC 09-31, A National Broadband Plan for Our Future.

15. The undersigned and all the persons in our household hereby designate The EMR Policy Institute to speak on our behalf at this FCC proceeding for the purpose of defending our rights to be safe in our homes, in our schools, in our workplaces. The FCC's current RF exposure guidelines are inadequate in light of the findings of current science.

16. I ask that the FCC accept this affidavit and the attached exhibits into evidence for consideration under FCC 09-31, A National Broadband Plan for Our Future, as it is material evidence of the existence of signals to which my family and I are subject, yet without proper standards based on current science.

Sworn to before me

*Lucy Hackett*  
Lucy Hackett

This 5 day of June, 2009

*Kristen A. Data*  
Notary Public



KRISTEN A. DATA  
Notary Public, State of Ohio  
My Commission Expires Oct. 1, 2013

William Lyden, D.C.,  
D.A.C.B.N., D.A.B.C.I.



**MICHIANA**  
WELLNESS & LONGEVITY CLINIC

605 W. Edison Rd., Suite G  
Mishawaka, IN 46545-8823

Diplomate in Nutrition - Board Certified 1989  
Diplomate in Internal Disorders - Board Certified 1990

PHONE: 574-258-4444 FAX: 574-258-4445  
Email: MWLC@SBCglobal.net

November 15, 2006

To Whom It May Concern:


The following is a report respectfully submitted with the permission of Ms. Lucy Rzeszutek regarding her ongoing health condition. Due to persistent symptomatology, Ms. Rzeszutek sought care at this office on March 9, 2005. The following report is based on the information I have on file related to her condition.

I conducted a comprehensive consultation (Evaluation and management) on this date with her. In her history she has significant symptoms that are consistent with health effects due to electromagnetic stress. The four major areas of stress that contribute to health conditions are: 1) Structural stress, 2) Biochemical stress, 3) Electromagnetic stress, 4) Emotional stress. Of these the least understood or appreciated is electromagnetic field (EMF) stress. The body normally produces a natural EMF due to the electrochemical processes within cells during metabolism. It is also a well known fact that when electrical fields interact with each other there will be an additive or subtractive effect to the combined field or wave. That is, if the frequencies are in phase with each other they will add together and the resulting wave will be increased to the level of the sum of the amplitudes of the original waves. On the other hand, if the waves are out of phase the resulting wave will be the difference of the amplitudes of the original waves. In the body a similar effect can occur by the interaction of exogenous (external) fields on the endogenous (internal) fields normally produced by cellular processes. This results in a stress on the body.

In my 20 years of clinical experience, I have found that approximately 25% of the patients that I have seen and tested, have EMF stress that interferes with or is a component of their health status and effects their health condition. The problem in proving this is that their symptoms can be caused by more than one stressor, however, their health is determined by their adaptation to their overall stress load. Some patients are the proverbial "canary in a coal mine" and are much more sensitive to such stressors than the general population. Given Ms. Rzeszutek's history of onset and persistency of symptoms that are worsened with intensity or accumulation of exposure to EMF fields, it is my professional opinion that she has 1) EMF sensitivity reaction (ICD9 code 994.8), coupled with 2) Dermatitis due to Fatty Acid Deficiency (692.1) and 3) Dysbiosis, abnormal bowel flora (558.9). Given that fact that Ms. Rzeszutek did not pursue the examination procedures and laboratory testing due to financial concerns, I cannot fully determine or rule out any other potential causes to her symptoms at this point. However, I would include EMF stress due to cell phone use and proximity to cell phone towers as a significant factor if not sole cause of her health problems.

If you have any further questions, please contact me at the address or telephone number above.

Sincerely,

  
Dr. William Lyden

CHIROPRACTIC PHYSICIAN•APPLIED KINESIOLOGY•NEURO-EMOTIONAL TECHNIQUE (NET)  
FIRST LINE THERAPY™•DETOXIFICATION•WEIGHT MANAGEMENT•MENOPAUSE TYPE™ TESTING  
DIGESTIVE, ALLERGY & IMMUNE DISORDERS•HEALTH COACH•VITAMIN COUNSELING

Exhibit A

**AFFIDAVIT OF RUTH DANNER**

State of Alaska                    ]  
  ]        ss.  
City & Borough of Juneau    ]

Ruth Danner being duly sworn deposes and says:

1. My name is Ruth Danner. I live at 1028 Arctic Circle, Juneau, Alaska.
2. My husband and I have lived in this house for over 20 years.
3. We live in clear view of the Mendenhall Glacier, a natural wonder located in the Tongass National Forest and visited by over a million people each year.
4. On January 13, 2009, AT&T Alascom applied for two permits to install new WiMax towers and antennas in two residential neighborhoods.
5. One is sited within 500 feet of our home, and is a 180' lattice tower without consideration for visual impact.
6. The other is a 150' monopole 25' from the main street about 3 miles away in a church parking lot where childcare is provided daily and across the street from a middle school.
7. The 150' pole permit was granted and the 180' tower was continued due to the a Planning Commission request for further information.
8. Up to four co-locators are proposed to be added to this tower over time and we have no idea who those service providers will be or what they will attach to the tower.
9. A group of us filed an appeal against the granted permit and are waiting, now, for a decision by the City Assembly.
10. We were not allowed, due to Section 704 of FCC TCA of 1996, to argue that public concern over radio frequency emissions should carry any weight in the Commission or the Assembly's decision, but concern over RF emissions was by far the most voiced concern by people we spoke with in our research and information gathering stage of our appeal.
11. The requirement that radio frequency emissions must be in compliance with FCC limits has no teeth -- we were provided nothing in writing to say what the standards were or how these WiMax antennas would perform.

EXHIBIT 30


12. The Commission made no attempt to seek ongoing evidence of compliance as the facility ages, equipment is modified, or standards change.
13. The assumption seems to be that the Feds have it under control, but very little evidence in life says that the government has ANYTHING entirely under control.
14. In the absence of conclusive scientific evidence that prolonged exposure to low level RF exposure causes no harm, regulations should err on the side of caution.
15. I understand that the EMR Policy Institute is preparing comment to submit in the current Federal Communications Commission proceeding to develop the policy for providing high-speed internet service throughout the country - FCC 09-31, A National Broadband Plan for Our Future.
16. I have submitted my comments here for their use in presenting their arguments.
17. I ask that the FCC accept this affidavit into evidence for consideration under FCC 09-31, A National Broadband Plan for Our Future.

Sworn to before me

  
Ruth Danner

This 4 day of June, 2009

  
Notary Public





Here is my medical diagnosis:

- Exhibit C – Toxic Encephalopathy 349.82 associated with chemical and electromagnetic sensitivity per Dr. Jonathan Walker, MD, Board Certified Neurologist

I have read multiple studies to understand this condition of electrohypersensitivity:

- Electrohypersensitivity: State of the Art of a Functional Impairment by Olle Johansson, 2006: Explains the physiology related to electrohypersensitivity and verifies my experience.
- Disturbance of the Immune System by Electromagnetic Fields A potentially underlying cause for cellular damage and tissue repair reduction which could lead to disease and impairment by Olle Johansson, 2009: Explains the detrimental biological effects EMF's have on the body and explains the physiology of electrohypersensitivity. This article explains my symptomology.
- www.bioinitiative.org *The Bioinitiative Report*, 2007: This is a summary of over 2,000 studies by a group of scientists and public health officials supporting a new safety standard for electromagnetic radiation. I have experienced the biological impacts that EMF's have on the body and I know that the safety exposure standards in our country are inadequate. If a person becomes ill as I have, then the standards are not protective enough.
- www.feb.se – Swedish website for the electrohypersensitive ... book of personal experiences of people in Sweden with this illness called "Black on White" available for download. I was able to identify with these stories because many of the experiences sound like mine, verifying my condition.
- www.electromagnetichealth.org –e-book available for download: "Public Health SOS: The Dark side of the Wireless Revolution." This book begins with Section I on electrohypersensitivity, once again recognizing the seriousness of radiation exposure as I can verify.

8. I am concerned that our political entities are not taking this condition of electrohypersensitivity and other health issues related to EMF exposure seriously.

9. I am concerned that political entities are unaware of the long term economic impact if an ever increasing number of people in the United States become ill due to unregulated electromagnetic exposures. This illness is disabling with no known cure. I have been ill for 6 years and the medical cost has been extensive.

10. In my previous line of work as an administrator, I was exposed to high levels of EMFs: Daily use of a two way radio, computer, cell phone, PDA and wireless internet. I was exposed to multiple cell phone towers and high power lines during my commute to and from work. I became sick with electrohypersensitivity because of the synergistic effect between long-term continuous exposures to these electromagnetic fields and chemicals.

11. Because of the number of cell service carriers operating in our area, (see Exhibits A&B) and unregulated WiFi in our neighborhood, we have many overlapping signals and are concerned that there are insufficient safety standards to manage the exposure of our family and the children at an elementary school several blocks away, to these signals.

12. My husband and I do not want to be further "test rats" for the government-sanctioned rollout of new technologies with insufficient safety standards, or without sufficient knowledge about the long-term health effects of these wireless signals. I am living proof of the detrimental health effects of these technologies.

13. My health has been severely compromised because of the lack of FCC Standards based on current research that demonstrates biological effects on the body due to electromagnetic frequencies.

14. My husband and I are concerned about having to live next to antennas and transmitters of wireless technology (see Exhibits A&B). We have a right to be safe in our homes. We have a right to current safety standards based on current science. I have a right to accessibility which is being denied to me by my involuntary exposure to wireless technology in my city and neighborhood. My body reacts to wireless technology (radiation) and I am homebound because wireless technology prevents me from going anywhere. Austin, Texas is canopied in electrosmog. There is no "safe" place for me.

15. My husband and I understand that the EMR Policy Institute is preparing comment to submit in the current Federal Communications Commission proceeding to develop the policy for providing high-speed internet service throughout the country - FCC 09-31, A National Broadband Plan for Our Future.

16. The undersigned and all the persons in our household hereby designate The EMR Policy Institute to speak on our behalf on this FCC proceeding for the purpose of defending our rights to be safe in our own home, in our schools and our workplaces and neighborhoods from the invasion into our home, schools and workplaces of signals that already causes harm to us, because the FCC's current RF exposure guidelines are inadequate in light of the findings of current science.

17. I ask that the FCC accept this affidavit and the attached exhibits into evidence for consideration under FCC 09-31, A National Broadband Plan for Our Future, as it is material evidence of the existence of signals to which my family and I are subject, yet without proper standards based on current science.

  
Michelle Bubnis

Sworn to before me

This 2<sup>nd</sup> day of June, 2009


  
Notary Public



**Improve Cell Phone Signal**  
 Amplify Signal Inside Vehicles and Buildings/ Excellent Sales Support  
 www.myCellularSolutions.com

Ads by Google

• **Tower Structures - (11012 Crossland Dr, Austin, TX 78726)**



**Tower Search Results!**

- Alert!** 33 Towers (17 Registered, 16 Not Registered) found within 4.00 miles of 11012 Crossland Dr, Austin, TX 78726.
- Info!** The NEAREST Tower is .82 miles away and is owned by Stc Five Lic.
- Alert!** One New Tower Application found within 4.00 miles of 11012 Crossland Dr, Austin, TX 78726.

Tower Type	ID Num	Site Owner	Height	Dist
Registered	(1)	Stc Five Lic	108 feet	.82 miles
	(2)	Crown Castle Gt Company Lic	152 feet	1.36 miles
	(3)	Stc Flvo Lic	112 feet	1.60 miles
	(4)	T-mobile West Corporation	180 feet	1.93 miles
	(5)	Kundell, Allen R	458 feet	2.48 miles
	(6)	Crown Castle Gt Company Lic	230 feet	2.55 miles
	(7)	Stc Five Lic	115 feet	2.57 miles
	(8)	Texas, State Of Texas Dept. Of Transportation	325 feet	3.04 miles
	(9)	New Cingular Wireless Services, Inc.	86 feet	3.10 miles
	(10)	Crown Communication Inc	260 feet	3.22 miles
	(11)	Austin, City Of	300 feet	3.40 miles
	(12)	Stc Five Lic	114 feet	3.48 miles
	(13)	Crown Communication Inc.	247 feet	3.51 miles

Exhibit A  
Pg 1

	(14)	Mobilite Investments II, Llc	120 feet	3.66 miles
	(15)	Crown Castle Gt Company Llc	309 feet	3.79 miles
	(16)	Stc Five Llc	100 feet	3.84 miles
	(17)	Stc Five Llc	115 feet	3.86 miles
Not Registered	(1)	Crown_Castle_Usa_For Sprint	115 feet	1.08 miles
	(2)	T-mobile	135 feet	1.09 miles
	(3)	Cingular_Wireless-dallas	127 feet	1.15 miles
	(4)	Crown Castle	189 feet	1.90 miles
	(5)	Mccaw Cellular Communications, Inc.	160 feet	2.19 miles
	(6)	Cingular_Wireless-dallas	126 feet	2.48 miles
	(7)	Anderson Mill Municipal Utility	35 feet	2.77 miles
	(8)	Nextel Communications	250 feet	2.95 miles
	(9)	Mccaw Communications	120 feet	3.10 miles
	(10)	At&t Wireless Services	75 feet	3.10 miles
	(11)	Nextel Communications	240 feet	3.12 miles
	(12)	Nextel Communications	250 feet	3.16 miles
	(13)	Cingular_Wireless-dallas	157 feet	3.36 miles
	(14)	Crown Communication Inc	247 feet	3.68 miles
	(15)	Gte Mobilnet Of Austin Lp	82 feet	3.64 miles
	(16)	American Tower	189 feet	3.83 miles
Future	(1)	City_Of Cedar Park Tx	250 feet	3.79 miles

© 2004,05,06 by General Data Resources, Inc.



Choose a **FREE** phone from some of the most popular top-rated models.

- Moto™ EM330
- Pantech C630
- Sony Ericsson W350

[Get a Free Phone](#)




\*Two-yr. contract and signif. restrictions apply.

Exhibit A  
pg 2

**Improve Cell Phone Signal**  
 Amplify Signal Inside Vehicles and Buildings/ Excellent Sales Support  
 www.myCellularSolutions.com

Ads by Google

• **Antenna Sites - (11012 Crossland Dr, Austin, TX 78726)**



**Antenna Search Results!**

- Alert!** 161 Antennas found within 4.00 miles of 11012 Crossland Dr, Austin, TX 78726.
- Info!** The NEAREST Antenna is .97 miles away and is owned by Professional Licensing Consultants.

Site Type	Site Num	Antenna Owner	Height	Dist
Multiple	(1)	Fcd 900, Inc.	NA	1.59 miles
		Nextel Of Texas, Inc.	NA	1.59 miles
(2)		Nextel Of Texas, Inc.	NA	1.90 miles
		Nextel Of Texas, Inc.	NA	1.91 miles
		Nextel Of Texas, Inc.	NA	1.91 miles
(3)		Paging Network Of America, Inc.	NA	2.26 miles
		Paging Network Of America, Inc.	NA	2.26 miles
		Paging Network Of America, Inc.	NA	2.26 miles
		Paging Network Of America, Inc.	NA	2.26 miles
		Usa Mobility Wireless, Inc.	NA	2.26 miles
(4)		Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority (ctma)	NA	2.44 miles
		Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority	NA	2.44 miles
(5)		Pagemart II, Inc	NA	2.48 miles
		Asap Paging, Inc.	NA	2.48 miles
		Metropool Usa, Inc.	NA	2.48 miles
		Safe Way Rental Equipment Company, Inc.	NA	2.48 miles
		Kenwood Systems Incorporated	NA	2.48 miles

Exhibit B  
 pg 1

	Asap Paging Inc	NA	2.48 miles
	American Paging Inc	NA	2.48 miles
	Pagemart II Inc	NA	2.48 miles
	Use Mobility Wireless, Inc.	NA	2.48 miles
	Rundell Communications, Inc	NA	2.48 miles
	American Messaging Services, Llc	NA	2.48 miles
	Travis, County Of	NA	2.48 miles
	Metrocall Usa, Inc.	NA	2.48 miles
	Mobilemedia Paging, Inc., Debtor-in-possession	NA	2.48 miles
	Mastec North America Inc	NA	2.48 miles
	Electronic Corporate Pages, Inc.	NA	2.48 miles
	Freescale Semiconductor Inc.	NA	2.48 miles
	Electronic Corporate Pages, Inc.	NA	2.48 miles
	Basic Communications	NA	2.48 miles
	Rundell Communications Inc	NA	2.48 miles
	Multi-technology Services Lp	NA	2.48 miles
	Motorola Inc	NA	2.48 miles
(6)	Nextel Of Texas, Inc.	NA	2.48 miles
	Fel 900, Inc.	NA	2.48 miles
(7)	Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority (ctirma)	NA	2.57 miles
	Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority	NA	2.57 miles
(8)	Nextel Of Texas, Inc. Dba Nextel Communications	NA	3.23 miles
	Nextel Of Texas Inc Dba Nextel Communications	NA	3.24 miles
(9)	Nextel Of Texas Inc Dba Nextel Communications	NA	3.58 miles
	Nextel Of Texas, Inc. Dba Nextel Communications	NA	3.58 miles
(10)	Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority (ctirma)	NA	3.93 miles
	Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority (ctirma)	NA	3.93 miles
	Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority	NA	3.93 miles
	Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority	NA	3.93 miles
(11)	Anderson Mill Municipal Utility District	NA	.97 miles
	Anderson Mill Municipal Utility District	NA	.97 miles
(12)	Anderson Mill Municipal Utility District	NA	1.08 miles
	Anderson Mill Municipal Utility District	NA	1.08 miles
(13)	Anderson Mill Municipal Utility District	NA	1.81 miles
	Anderson Mill Municipal Utility District	NA	1.81 miles
(14)	Anderson Mill Municipal Utility District	NA	2.12 miles
	Anderson Mill Municipal Utility District	NA	2.12 miles
(15)	Archstone-smith Operating Trust	NA	2.32 miles
	Archstone-smith Operating Trust	NA	2.32 miles
(16)	Anderson Mill Municipal Utility District	NA	2.79 miles
	Anderson Mill Municipal Utility District	NA	2.79 miles
(17)	Texas, State Of Texas Dept. Of Transportation	NA	3.04 miles
	Texas, State Of Texas Dept. Of Transportation	NA	3.04 miles
(18)	3m Company	NA	3.09 miles
	3m Company	NA	3.09 miles
	3m Company	NA	3.09 miles
	3m Company	NA	3.09 miles
(19)	E.o. Sharp Butane Co., Inc.	NA	3.27 miles
	E O Sharp Butane Company	NA	3.27 miles
(20)	Austin, City Of	NA	3.40 miles
	City Of Austin, Texas	NA	3.40 miles
	Austin, City Of	NA	3.40 miles
	Austin, City Of	NA	3.40 miles
	Austin, City Of	NA	3.40 miles
	Austin Energy	NA	3.40 miles
	County Of Travis, Texas	NA	3.40 miles
	City Of Austin, Texas	NA	3.40 miles
	Travis, County Of	NA	3.40 miles
	Austin Energy	NA	3.40 miles
	Travis, County Of	NA	3.40 miles
	Travis, County Of	NA	3.40 miles
	Austin, City Of	NA	3.40 miles
	Travis County Of	NA	3.40 miles
	County Of Travis, Texas	NA	3.40 miles
	Austin Energy	NA	3.40 miles
	Austin, City Of	NA	3.40 miles
	City Of Austin, Texas	NA	3.40 miles
	Travis, County Of	NA	3.40 miles
	City Of Austin, Texas	NA	3.40 miles
	Austin, City Of	NA	3.40 miles
	City Of Austin, Texas	NA	3.40 miles

Exhibit B  
Pg 2

## AntennaSearch - Search for Cell Towers, Cell Reception, Hidden Antennas and more.

	County Of Travis, Texas	NA	3.40 miles
	Austin, City Of	NA	3.40 miles
	Travis, County Of	NA	3.40 miles
	Austin, City Of	NA	3.40 miles
	Travis, County Of	NA	3.40 miles
	Travis, County Of	NA	3.40 miles
	Austin, City Of	NA	3.40 miles
	Austin, City Of	NA	3.40 miles
	Austin Water Utility	NA	3.40 miles
	Austin Water Utility	NA	3.40 miles
	County Of Travis, Texas	NA	3.40 miles
	Austin, City Of	NA	3.40 miles
(21)	Skytel Corp.	NA	2.31 miles
	Freescale Semiconductor Inc.	NA	2.31 miles
	Skytel Spectrum Llc	NA	2.31 miles
(22)	Fibertower Network Services Corp.	NA	1.08 miles
	Fibertower Network Services Corp.	NA	1.00 miles
	Fibertower Network Services Corp.	NA	1.08 miles
	Fibertower Network Services Corp.	NA	1.08 miles
(23)	Fibertower Network Services Corp.	NA	2.51 miles
	Fibertower Network Services Corp.	NA	2.51 miles
(24)	Austin Energy	NA	3.48 miles
	Austin Energy	NA	3.48 miles
(25)	Fibertower Network Services Corp.	NA	3.79 miles
	Fibertower Network Services Corp.	NA	3.79 miles
† Single (26)	Nextel Of Texas, Inc.	NA	1.15 miles
(27)	Texas, State Of Texas Dept. Of Transportation	NA	3.57 miles
(28)	Texas, State Of Texas Dept. Of Transportation	NA	3.63 miles
(29)	Lci 900, Inc.	NA	3.73 miles
(30)	Nextel Of Texas, Inc.	NA	3.87 miles
(31)	Austin, City Of	NA	1.12 miles
(32)	Anderson Mill Municipal Utility District	NA	1.13 miles
(33)	Concordia University Of Austin	NA	1.66 miles
(34)	Westwood High School	NA	1.79 miles
(35)	Jollyville Fire Department	NA	1.94 miles
(36)	Anderson Mill Municipal Utility District	NA	2.00 miles
(37)	Anderson Mill Municipal Utility District	NA	2.11 miles
(38)	Toys R Us Inc	NA	2.17 miles
(39)	Dillard's, Inc.	NA	2.18 miles
(40)	Target Stores T0334	NA	2.21 miles
(41)	Gap Inc	NA	2.27 miles
(42)	Jollyville Fire Department	NA	2.29 miles
(43)	J.M.Zabaneh Enterprises	NA	2.33 miles
(44)	Jopenney Corp Inc	NA	2.33 miles
(45)	Lake Line Mall 2324	NA	2.33 miles
(46)	Home Depot U.s.a., Inc.	NA	2.38 miles
(47)	Austin, City Of	NA	2.39 miles
(48)	Sas Institute	NA	2.44 miles
(49)	Dillard's Inc Lakeline Mall	NA	2.52 miles
(50)	Cedar Park Vfd	NA	2.53 miles
(51)	Austin, City Of	NA	2.59 miles
(52)	Twin Creeks Country Club	NA	2.60 miles
(53)	Austin Community College	NA	2.65 miles
(54)	Charlotte Russe	NA	2.75 miles
(55)	Home Depot U.s.a., Inc.	NA	2.82 miles
(56)	Austin, City Of	NA	2.82 miles
(57)	Cypress Creek Crossings	NA	2.88 miles
(58)	Speedread Technologies	NA	3.02 miles
(59)	Mc Donalds	NA	3.05 miles
(60)	Austin, City Of	NA	3.08 miles
(61)	Balkman, Doug	NA	3.10 miles
(62)	Target Store T1953	NA	3.12 miles
(63)	Austin, City Of	NA	3.17 miles
(64)	Twin Creeks Country Club Ltd	NA	3.31 miles
(65)	Austin, City Of	NA	3.38 miles
(66)	Austin Community College	NA	3.60 miles
(67)	Great Hills Golf Club Inc	NA	3.83 miles
(68)	Focus Interconnect Technology Corp	NA	3.90 miles
(69)	Leander Independent School District	NA	3.98 miles
(70)	Metrocall Usa, Inc.	NA	3.10 miles
(71)	Texas Cellular Telephone Company, L.p.	NA	3.36 miles
(72)	Bmp Austin License Company, L.p.	NA	3.56 miles
(73)	City Of Cedar Park	NA	3.73 miles
(74)	Time Warner Entertainment - Advancenewhouse Partnership	NA	3.90 miles



## Neurotherapy Center of Dallas, Inc.

**Jonathan E. Walker, M.D.**  
Neurology  
Clinical Neurophysiology  
EEG Biofeedback

April 21, 2008

To Whom It May Concern:

I agree with Michelle Bubnis's designated doctor, Dr. Velazquez, that she is 30% impaired, and that maximum medical improvement was reached on 2-19-08. Her diagnosis is toxic encephalopathy, associated with chemical and electromagnetic sensitivity. Her prognosis is uncertain.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Jonathan E. Walker'. The signature is fluid and cursive, written over the printed name.

Jonathan E. Walker, M.D.  
Board Certified Neurologist

Exhibit C