

August 6th, 2009

Commission's Secretary  
Marlene H. Dortch  
Office of the Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW  
Room TW-A325  
Washington, DC 20554

Deena Shetler: [deena.shetler@fcc.gov](mailto:deena.shetler@fcc.gov)  
FCC Contractor: [fcc@bcpiweb.com](mailto:fcc@bcpiweb.com)

Re: WC Docket No. 06-210  
CCB/CPD 96-20

## **EXPARTE REQUEST TO THE FCC TO END THE AT&T FRAUD CASE**

Dear FCC Staff:

----- Original Message -----

**From:** [A. Inga](mailto:A.Inga)

**To:** [Charlie.Crist@MyFlorida.com](mailto:Charlie.Crist@MyFlorida.com) ; [Jeff.Kottkamp@MyFlorida.com](mailto:Jeff.Kottkamp@MyFlorida.com)

**Cc:** [lori.alexiou@fcc.gov](mailto:lori.alexiou@fcc.gov) ; [fcc@bcpiweb.com](mailto:fcc@bcpiweb.com) ; [KJMWEB@fcc.gov](mailto:KJMWEB@fcc.gov) ; [Michael.Copps@fcc.gov](mailto:Michael.Copps@fcc.gov) ;

[Jonathan.Adelstein@fcc.gov](mailto:Jonathan.Adelstein@fcc.gov) ; [dtayloratateweb@fcc.gov](mailto:dtayloratateweb@fcc.gov) ; [Robert.McDowell@fcc.gov](mailto:Robert.McDowell@fcc.gov) ; [Julie.Veach@fcc.gov](mailto:Julie.Veach@fcc.gov) ; [Gerald.Scala](mailto:Gerald.Scala) ; [Phillip.Okin](mailto:Phillip.Okin) ; [pamela.arluk@fcc.gov](mailto:pamela.arluk@fcc.gov) ; [Frank.Arleo](mailto:Frank.Arleo) ; [chh@commlawgroup.com](mailto:chh@commlawgroup.com) ; [Deena.Shetler](mailto:Deena.Shetler) ; [Al](mailto:Al)

**Sent:** Friday, July 31, 2009 12:49 PM

**Subject:** Fw: Dear Ms Veach--Regarding the AT&T fraud case.

Dear FCC's Ms. Veach

Is it possible to please advise petitioners and the State of Florida when we can expect the AT&T intentional fraud case be resolved?

As the evidence shows The State of Florida Department of Revenue also wants this case resolved as it may be owed many millions of dollars which Florida badly needs.

Other former AT&T resellers/Aggregators such as 800 Services, Inc., are also anxiously awaiting an FCC decision.

The public comments in this case have been over about 20 months ago in a case that now is a clear AT&T intentional fraud. Petitioners believe that if the FCC's case contact manager Deena Shetler is asked by the FCC staff if she believes it is a clear fraud--petitioners would venture to guess that Deena Shetler she will advise that it is the most egregious intentional fraud that she has ever witnessed at the FCC in all her years working at the Commission.

Based upon the now explicitly clear evidence, if the case was actually being worked on by the FCC it seems that the case would surely have been issued many months ago. Petitioners doubt that the AT&T scam case has even moved to the OGC let alone the Commissioners offices for voting.

If the FCC is not issuing a decision because it believes that petitioners will take AT&T's hush money settlement offer and therefore the case will be resolved without issuing an FCC decision --petitioners are not interested in taking AT&T's non disclosed settlement agreement (hush money). Now that all the evidence against AT&T became clear as can be, AT&T now wants to settle, but petitioners want no part of AT&T's proposed hush money to bury the AT&T intentional fraud.

The FCC will notice that even though AT&T was copied on the last filing to the FCC, AT&T had absolutely no explanation for its ridiculous tariff interpretation.

AT&T counsels cavalier attitude that petitioners should simply take AT&T's hush money based upon AT&T's statement to petitioners that it will be several years before the case is resolved is a travesty of the judicial system.

Even though it was well within the capability of the courts to issue a decision AT&T strongly argued to the courts under "The Doctrine of Primary Jurisdiction" that the FCC must decide. AT&T's goal was simple--to bury the "resource deprived" FCC with yet another case that it would take the FCC an incredible time to resolve.

**Petitioners are not being unreasonable in its request for expedited resolution of this clear intentional AT&T fraud. This case will be 15 years old in January!**  
**Yes 15 years to decide this case and AT&T will surely to waste more time appeal it to the DC Circuit.**

The FCC made petitioners wait 7 years ( 1996-2003) to get the first FCC decision! If petitioners were a major player like Verizon or Sprint the FCC would never make such companies wait this long--especially when it is an obvious AT&T intentional fraud.

Petitioners incredible wait in line for FCC justice is the reason why petitioners are simply begging the FCC to not allow AT&T to continue to get away with its obvious fraud.

Petitioners would like an FCC decision and I am sure The State of Florida would also like one too.

The FCC must refer the case to the DOJ. If ever there were a case in which there was a clear attempt to intentionally scam the Courts and the FCC and abuse the FCC's limited resources this is it. Petitioners are not lawyers but there must be some law that prohibits AT&T counsel to intentionally scam the FCC and misuse the FCC's limited resources in an attempt to force petitioners into accepting AT&T's hush money.

Sincerely,

**This email is being filed in the case 06-210. The attachment has already been filed.**

CC: Florida Governor Charlie Crist  
Florida Lt. Governor Jeff Kottkamp

Al Inga  
973 618 9906  
Tips Marketing Services, Corp  
Regarding Case 06-210

Submitted by  
Al Inga Pres  
Tips Marketing