

This is in response to Sorenson's Petition for "CLARIFICATION AND DECLARATORY RULING", Docket No. 03-123; WC Docket No. 05-196 on E911 Requirements for IP Enabled Service Providers.

This is to advise that on, Friday, August 28 between 8:20 & 8:26 a.m. I made two 911 test calls with prior agreement with the management of my PSAP 911 call center. The first time I used my MVP (Mobile Video Phone) with the ten-digit number assigned by Purple Communications and the second time I used my VP 200 with the ten-digit number assigned by Sorenson Communications. I have only one Internet service provider, one router (Westell 327W wireless modem/router), and 1 public IP address with each VP having their own private ip addresses. Both calls to 911 via HOVRS and Sorenson were successful. And my location was identifiable. Therefore, Sorenson's concern, as quoted from their docket below, about the safety of recommending only one VRS provider per geographic location, etc. has no validity.

"For the foregoing reasons, Sorenson respectfully requests clarification that only one provider may assign telephone numbers to any particular iTRS device for a particular service, and a declaratory ruling affirming that only one VRS provider may assign telephone numbers associated with a single IP address. In addition, the Commission should consider penning only one default provider per geographic location. This solution would be the simplest way to avoid the problems caused by multiple default providers, and would be consistent with the Commission's existing orders on numbering."